REPORT OF

The Court of Wards,

NORTH-WESTERN PROVINCES,

FOR THE REVENUE YEAR 1889-90,

Ending the 30th September 1890.

ALLAHABAD:

NORTH-WESTERN PROVINCES AND OUDH GOVERNMENT PRESS.

1891.

Price Rs. 2-0-0.

the revenue year 1889-90, *ending* 30*th September* 1890—(continued).

Mode in which donor's wishes have been carried out.	Assets of the year under report.			Expenditure of the year under report.	Surplus, if any.	Remarks.
	Surplus of former years.	Income of the year.	Total.			
8	9	10	11	12	13	14
	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	
Scholarship ...	387 13 7	308 5 4	696 2 11	280 15 5	415 3 6	
Ditto ...	79 4 2	59 10 0	138 14 2	40 0 0	98 14 2	
Ditto ...	40 11 7	149 13 9	190 9 4	78 5 6	112 3 10	
Ditto ...	98 0 3	48 0 0	146 0 3	...	146 0 3	
A school has been started as desired.	347 12 5	* 579 2 6	926 14 11	409 4 3	517 10 8	* In the account the tuition fees of the school are included.
By aiding the Moradabad High School establishment.	120 13 7	3,548 8 6	3,669 6 1	3,347 13 9	321 8 4	
Assigned to College students under sanction of Inspector of Schools, Rohilkhand Division. ...	136 0 5	163 13 8	299 14 1	139 8 6	160 5 7	
The amount of interest, *viz.*, Rs. 120 per annum, is drawn by the Head Master of the Zila School to award scholarships and medals.	175 1 2	119 11 2	294 12 4	120 0 0	174 12 4	

BENGAL SECRETARIAT LIBRARY

ORDERS OF GOVERNMENT

No. $\frac{2068}{1-427}$ OF 1891.

FROM

THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
N.-W. PROVINCES AND OUDH,

TO

THE SECRETARY TO THE BOARD OF REVENUE,
N.-W. PROVINCES AND OUDH.

DATED NAINI TAL, THE 18TH AUGUST 1891.

SIR,

REVENUE DEPT.

I AM directed to acknowledge the receipt on the 3rd instant of the Board's report on the administration of the estates under the superintendence of the Court of Wards of the North-Western Provinces.

2. For the second year in succession extreme delay has occurred in submitting the corrected accounts of the Bamrauli Estate; the Board have called for an explanation from the officers concerned. This should, when received, be forwarded for the information of Government. The explanation of the Collector of Bánda of the delay in the submission of the reports of the estates in his district cannot be accepted as sufficient. While periodical visits to the estates are necessary for the proper administration of them, they are not an essential preliminary to the report of that administration. Should however such a visit for special reasons have been necessary, the Collector should have arranged to make it in the early part of the cold weather; or rather to have submitted his report and any supplementary remarks in a subsequent letter. The first of his reports was not received by the Board till the 10th of March.

3. The report deals with 116 estates, of which 108 were in charge of the Court at the commencement of the year, eight being taken under management during the year. Of the latter five are the properties of minors, and were made over to the Court of Wards by order of the Civil Court. In the other three estates the shares of the minor heirs were taken over by the Court of its own motion, the adult female sharers having being declared incompetent by Government. The most important of the estates thus brought under management are the Rámpur Estate in the Moradabad district and the Madhopur Estate in the Bareilly district. Seven villages in the Bijnor district belonging to the first named estate are held by a mortgagee on a usufructuary mortgage of which seven years have still to run. The shops, gardens and lands belonging to the estate, and situated in Rámpur, are, it is understood, not included in the Court's management. In the meanwhile the greater

property belonging to the estate in the Rámpur territory, the actual worth of which is not more than Rs. 10,000 or Rs. 12,000. The Collector of Moradabad reports that it is very doubtful whether Musammat Hamayun Tajdar Begam will make a further claim ; that, if a further claim is made, it must be instituted in the British Courts ; and that it is extremely doubtful whether the decision there would be the same as that of the Rámpur Courts. The accounts and affairs of the estate, which were in great confusion when it came under the Court of Wards, have now been brought into order. The minor, Sajjad Ali Khan, is about two years old and lives at Moradabad under the care of his mother.

Madhopur estate, Bareilly district.—This estate belonged to the late Sheikh Nur Ahmad of Madhopur in the Pilibhít district, who died on 24th December 1889, leaving three widows, Musammats Akbari Begam, Zainab Begam and Rabbani Begam. The first has two sons, Aziz Ahmad and Zamir Ahmad, aged 14 years and 3 months and 7 years and 3 months, respectively, on 30th September last. The other two widows have no children by Nur Ahmad. Musammat Rabbani Begam has one son and one daughter by a former husband, but the Court of Wards has no concern with them. When Nur Ahmad died cash to the amount of Rs. 92,500 was lying at the credit of the estate in the hands of a banker at Pilibhít, and Rs. 5,4000 in the hands of a native banking firm in Bareilly. In addition to this a sum of Rs. 20,000 was paid in at Pilibhít by Abdul Ghaffur Khan, a karinda of the estate, who claimed that this sum with Rs. 5,000 more which he had spent, and a village, Pohta by name, had been made over to him by the late proprietor on his deathbed for charitable purposes. Large stocks of grain belonging to the late proprietor were also lying in different villages of the estate. The legal heirs to the estate were the two minor sons and the three widows of the late Nur Ahmad, and the Collector and Commissioner recommended the assumption of charge by the Court of Wards on behalf of the minors. As there was no male member of the family qualified to manage the property, the Board sanctioned the assumption of charge by the Court of Wards under clause (*b*), section 194, Act XIX of 1873, on the 7th February 1890. Subsequent events, however, showed the necessity for bringing the entire estate under the Court of Wards, and the widows, Musammats Akbari Begam, Zainab Begam and Rabbani Begam were, on the recommendation of the Board, declared disqualified by Government under section 194 (*a*) of Act XIX, 1873, by G. O. No. $\frac{358}{1-608A.}$, dated 4th March 1890. Shortly after the introduction of the Court's management all the grain lying in the villages and miscellaneous articles belonging to the estate were sold. The estate comprised 28 whole mahâls and eight shares in mahâls, of which 21 mahâls and five shares were in the Bareilly district, and seven mahâls and three shares in the Pilibhít district. Mutation of names has, however, recently been sanctioned in respect of three mahâls in favor of Amir Ahmad, a grandson of Nur Ahmad, who claimed these mahâls in virtue of a deed of gift which Nur Ahmad is said to have executed during his last illness. The question of contesting the validity of this deed is under consideration. Musammat Rabbani Begam's daughter also, on the strength of a deed of sale, has had her name recorded in respect of another mahâl. Further, the claim of Abdul Ghaffur Khan has, under legal advice taken by the Court of Wards, been admitted, and the village of Pohta, together with the sum of Rs. 20,000, has been made over to him. This leaves the estate apparently now in possession of 23 whole mahâls and eight shares in mahâls. Of the eight shares in mahâls belonging to the estate, the Court of Wards is lambardár for three only. The estate is managed by the Collector of Bareilly, as the bulk of the property lies in that district. Besides the interests in Nur Ahmad's estate to which the widows succeeded on his death, they have also separate properties, which were transferred to them during his lifetime. Owing to a misapprehension of the Board's order, charge of these properties was not assumed till after the close of the year under report. The ordinary income of the whole estate now under the Court of Wards is estimated at Rs. 75,000, and the Government demand for revenue and cesses at Rs. 28,658. The debts due to the estate on assumption of charge amounted to Rs. 17,886, while those due by the

estate amounted only to Rs. 3,564. Including the cash balance in hand on the date of assumption of charge, the available assets for the period the estate was under management during 1889-90 amounted in all to Rs. 2,38,765, of which Rs. 21,005 were expended, leaving a balance of Rs. 2,17,760 in hand at the close of the year. The necessary instructions for the investment of this sum have been issued. The elder ward, Aziz Ahmad, is being educated at the Wards' Institute at Agra, while Zamir Ahmad lives with his mother in Bareilly.

Khiriya Rasúlpur estate, Sháhjahánpur district.—This estate was placed under the Collector's charge by order of the Judge of Sháhjahánpur under Act XL of 1858, dated 14th December 1889. It consists of one entire village and fractional shares in five others, besides some moveable property. The rent-roll is Rs. 1,285, and the Government demand for revenue, cesses and pátwari rate Rs. 732. The property is burdened with debts amounting to Rs. 4,648, including interest up to 30th September 1890; and as the income, after meeting the necessary charges, would not suffice to pay the interest of the debts, even if a loan which would cover its liabilities were obtainable at 7 per cent. per annum, sale of shares in three villages has been ordered. The Collector reports that it will probably be necessary to sell a further portion of the property. The minor proprietors, Kamta Prasad, aged 12, Phul Chand, aged 8, and Beni Ram, aged 6, live with their mother at Khiriya Rasúlpur, and the two elder are being educated at the Halkabandi School there.

Wahid-un-nisa's estate, Fatehpur district.—This estate belongs to Musammat Wahid-un-nisa, a minor. Her step-brother took out a certificate of administration; but, owing to his mismanagement, the Civil Court cancelled the certificate and directed the Collector, under the provisions of Act XL of 1858, to take charge of the property, which he did on 3rd March 1890. It comprises one entire village and shares in three others, including a share held on a usufructuary mortgage for Rs. 150. The income is estimated at Rs. 909, and the Government demand for land revenue, cesses and patwári rate is Rs. 575. The estate is indebted to the extent of Rs. 242. The ward, who is now 17 years of age, is under the care of her father-in-law.

Pahalwan Singh's estate, Lalitpúr district.—This estate, which is composed of one whole village (ubári) and shares in two other villages, one of which is revenue-free, is the property of Pahalwan Singh, a minor, aged 11 years, 6 months and 23 days on 30th September 1890, and was taken under the superintendence of the Court of Wards under clause (*b*), section 194, Act XIX of 1873, on 1st June 1890, there being no male relative of the minor to whom the management could be entrusted. The estate owes Rs. 425. Its present estimated income is Rs. 1,636, and the Government demand for revenue, cesses and patwári rate is Rs. 498. There were no receipts or payments during the year, the rabi collections having been made before assumption of charge. The mother of the minor has been appointed guardian of his person. Pahalwan Singh is being educated at the Lalitpur Zila School.

APPENDIX I.
Classification of estates.

6. The estates under the management of the Court of Wards are classified as follows :—

Clause of section 194, Act XIX of 1873.	Number of estates.
(*a*) Females deemed by the Local Government incompetent to manage their estates ...	11
(*b*) Minors ... { Estates made over by the Civil Court	42
(*b*) Minors ... { Estates taken over by the Court of Wards on its own motion	46
(*c*) Idiots	1
(*d*) Lunatics	3
(*e*) Persons otherwise rendered incapable by physical defects or infirmities from managing their estates	1
(*g*) Persons declared by the Local Government, on their own application, to be disqualified from managing their estates	31
Estate managed under section 11, Act XXXV of 1858	1
Encumbered estate managed by, but not under the jurisdiction of, the Court of Wards ...	1
Total ...	137

The difference between 137 shown above and 116, the actual number of estates under management, is accounted for by some of the estates coming under more than one clause.

7. As in 1888-89, so also during the year under report, the Board have, as far as possible, given effect to the policy of Government of releasing estates held principally with a view to clearing off their liabilities. Of this class of estates the following have been released during the year :—Bharthna in the Etáwah district; Kakra in the Sháhjahánpur district; and Ata Husain Khan in the Fatehpur district. The Majhauli estate will be clear of its liabilities during the current year, but owing to the death of the Rája, which was reported to Government in the Board's No. $\frac{738}{X-51}$, dated 21st May 1891, the Board will continue the management of the estate on behalf of his minor grandson, who is 11 years old.

Release of estates held solely with a view to clear off their liabilities.

8. Compared with the figures of the previous year, the extent of the property under the management of the Court of Wards during the year shows a falling off, as appears from the subjoined figures :—

APPENDIX I. Extent of landed property of estates.

Year.	Revenue-paying.			Revenue-free.		
	Whole villages.	Shares.	Plots of land (*arázis*).	Whole villages.	Shares.	Plots of land (*arázis*).
1887-88	1,392	1,432	5	6	74	3
1888-89	1,430	1,640	4	5	72	7
1889-90	1,129	1,567	3	5	76	6

9. The total area, with details of the irrigated and unirrigated cultivated area, and the population settled on the estates, are given for three years, in the following table :—

APPENDIX I. Area and population of estates.

Year.	Total area.	Cultivated area.			Population.
		Irrigated.	Unirrigated.	Total.	
	Acres.	Acres.	Acres.	Acres.	
1887-88	831,257	172,062	304,025	476,087	1,029,978
1888-89	814,649	170,331	294,255	464,586	1,028,053
1889-90	686,662	119,493	283,230	402,723	866,619

The falling off in the extent and area of the estates is chiefly due to the release of the large Dube estate in the Jaunpur district in the previous year.

10. The table below shows the gross rental compared with the Government demand for land revenue, cesses and patwári rate for the past three years :—

Rental, revenue, cess and patwári rate demand.

Year.	Gross rental demand.	Government demand.			
		Land revenue.	Cesses.	Patwari rate.	Total.
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
1887-88	16,87,018	7,90,110	97,713	...	8,87,823
1888-89	18,31,634	8,13,925	1,00,419	14,403	9,28,747
1889-90	15,40,061	6,87,887	85,096	28,340	8,01,323

The release of the large Dube (Jaunpur) and Kishan Chand-Gokal Chand (Bánda) estates in the previous year is the cause of the falling off in the rental and revenue demands. Of the estates which have been under management during the whole of the past two

years, the following show considerable variations in the gross rental demand compared with that of the previous year :—

Increases.	Rs.	*Decreases.*	Rs.
Mohreni (Aligarh)	1,503	Bamrauli (Shâhjahânpur) ...	3,261
Karhai (Bânda)	4,418	Rawatpur (Cawnpore)	2,324
Dhagwan Khurd, No. 1 (Jalaun) ...	1,391	Misri Lal (Cawnpore)	1,651
		Partab Chand (Allahabad) ...	5,521

The increases in these three estates are due to purchase and redemption from mortgage of villages or shares in villages, and the decreases in the Bamrauli and Misri Lal's estates to sale of villages. In Rawatpur the decrease is attributable to the soil of a village, situated in close proximity to the river Ganges, having become impregnated with *reh*, which has told greatly upon its capabilities. The cultivators of the village are, therefore, in impoverished circumstances, and occupancy tenants who paid rents to the extent of Rs. 1,000 have relinquished their holdings. But if the soil, which has now improved a little owing to the floods of the Ganges, remains good, and if the rabi harvest of 1891 proved successful, it was hoped by the Collector that the village will recover its normal area during the current year. This estate has, however, been lately released from management. The falling off in the demand in Partab Chand's estate is due to loss of lands by diluvion and to the redemption by the mortgagors of lands held on mortgage by the estate.

APPENDIX III
Rental demand and collections.

11. The full rental of the year amounted to Rs. 15,40,061. Of this decrees were obtained for Rs. 15,500, Rs. 2,681 were realized in advance, Rs. 540 were remitted and Rs. 13,72,486 were collected in cash during the year, leaving a balance of Rs. 1,48,854 outstanding at the close of the year. The advance collections on account of 1890-91 (Rs. 4,155) were large in no estate except Sahanpur, where payments in advance are made by lessees by way of cash security. The demand on account of past years amounted to Rs. 1,73,841, of which Rs. 62,760 were collected, leaving Rs. 1,11,081 outstanding at the close of the year.

The demand and collections are summarized for each division in the subjoined table :—

Division.	Gross rental demand.	Demand at commencement of the year.			Collections.			Balance.	Advance collections on account of the following year.
		Current.	Arrears.	Total.	Current.	Arrears	Total.		
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Meerut ...	1,47,399	1,46,564	12,269	1,58,833	1,35,184	4,882	1,40,066	18,767	...
Agra ...	1,52,165	1,51,142	18,592	1,69,734	1,38,149	5,744	1,43,893	25,841	26
Rohilkhand ...	3,16,899	3,13,285	49,991	3,63,276	2,94,200	18,205	3,12,405	50,871	3,944
Allahabad ...	4,74,949	4,64,684	28,077	4,92,761	4,40,332	8,370	4,48,702	44,059	136
Benares ...	4,28,671	4,25,714	63,350	4,89,064	3,45,671	24,958	3,70,629	1,18,435	16
Jhânsi ...	19,978	19,951	1,562	21,513	18,950	601	19,551	1,962	33
Total ...	15,40,061	15,21,340	1,73,841	16,95,181	13,72,486	62,760	14,35,246	2,59,935	4,155

APPENDIX III.
Percentages of collections.

12. The percentages of the collection to the demand are as follows :—

Division.	Percentages of collections to total demand.					
	1888-89.			1889-90.		
	Current.	Arrears.	Total.	Current.	Arrears.	Total.
Meerut	95	58	91	92	40	88
Agra	92	17	84	91	31	85
Rohilkhand	94	26	89	94	36	86
Allahabad	88	43	84	95	30	91
Benares	90	53	86	81	39	76
Jhânsi	94	35	92	95	38	91
For the Provinces ...	91	42	86	90	36	85

Except in the Agra and Allahabad Divisions, the percentage of total collections to the demand shows a falling off. The slight improvement in the Agra Division was entirely in arrear collections, while the marked advance in the realization of the current demand in the Allahabad Division is to be attributed to the release of the Dube estate, the poor collections in which used to lower considerably the average for the division. Were the Kunjpura figures excluded, the percentage of collection in the Meerut Division would stand at 96, a satisfactory result. The Kunjpura estate is managed by the Panjáb Court of Wards, and only 50 per cent. of the demand was collected. The short collections in the Sambalhera, Bidauli and Bhandura estates in the Muzaffarnagar district have also helped to reduce the percentage of the division. The large arrears in Sambalhera are explained to be due partly to a light rabi harvest, partly to the fact that, after Musammat Husaini Begam obtained a decree against the estate for some of its villages, certain parties interfered with the collections, and partly to want of energy on the part of the late manager. The Collector has been asked to maintain close supervision over the work of the present manager. In the Bidauli estate, where more than half of the rental is paid in kind, the short collections were due to the heavy floods of the Jumna in August last. The Collector writes :—"The roads are so bad "that great difficulty was experienced in finding buyers to take the grain stored, and "considering everything (*i.e.*, the nature of the tenantry, the remote situation of the "estate and the heavy floods) I cannot say that the explanations offered are inadequate. "During the present season I hope to examine thoroughly the condition of the estate "on the spot." In Bhandura, owing to failure of rain at the time it was most wanted, the rabi crops in unirrigated lands were very light, and in consequence collections were backward.

Although the total collections in the Agra Division were slightly better than in 1888-89, they would have been higher had not the poor collections in the Arjunpur and Musammat Jasoda Kuar's estates in the Mainpuri district, the Roshangpur estate in the Etáwah district and Chet Singh's estate in the Etah district brought down the average. The impoverished condition of the estate and the partial failure of the kharíf crops account for the large outstanding balances in Arjunpur. The tenants are reported to be in a depressed condition, and it is to be hoped that the revision of settlement, which has been extended to the deteriorated villages of this estate, will do something, by a reduction of the land revenue demand and also by a revision of the rent-roll, to improve the estate and render its administration less difficult than it now is. There is a slight improvement, however, on the figures of the previous year. The deficient collections in Musammat Jasoda Kuar's estate are ascribed to unfavorable seasons and to the tenantry on the estate being in somewhat depressed circumstances. The Collector hoped to visit the villages, and see how far the outstandings could be recovered without pressing the tenants. Of the outstanding balance of Rs. 3,127 in the Roshangpur estate, Rs. 1,163 have been remitted since the close of the year, and the remainder, it is expected, will be realized in the current year. The Collector writes :—"The recoverable "balance is, I regret to say, larger than it should have been. The muharrir was new to "his work, and took so long making out his accounts and getting off the report last "year that he wasted nearly a month at the sadr just at the time when he ought to "have been getting in his kharíf rents. This threw him back, and unluckily not only "was the rabi somewhat injured by frost, but the indigo crop of 1890, to which "he confidently looked to clear off arrears, proved an almost total failure, owing to the "heavy rain of July and the consequent flooding of the canal-irrigated land on which "this crop was grown." Of the balance in Chet Singh's estate Rs. 1,306 have been remitted. It is not known how much of the remainder is recoverable, since it is due from tenants in villages in the distressed tracts, whose crops suffered more or less from excessive rain in the kharíf, followed by drought in the cold weather of the year of report. Efforts are, however, being made to realize the arrears, and it is hoped, with favorable harvests, to clear them off during the current year. In the large estates of Parna and Chakkarnagar the current demand was realized in full, and the arrears of previous years were trifling.

In the Rohilkhand Division the percentage of current collections was the same as in the previous year, *viz.*, 94, while the percentage of arrear collections shows an increase of 10 per cent.; yet, owing to the larger proportion the arrears bear to the total demand, the total collection is 3 per cent. lower than that of the previous year. This is accounted for by the fact that in the Madhopur, Kakra, Azizganj, Khameria and Bhatpura Rasúlpura estates the collections fell short of the total demand by 20 per cent. or more. In several other estates the collections were also more than 20 per cent. short of the demand, but, the rental of these estates being small, the figures for them do not materially affect the percentage of the division. The poor results in the five estates mentioned above are due, except in Madhopur, to the kharíf crops having suffered from excessive rains and to the rabi having been damaged by frost in February 1890. Of the large arrear balance in the Madhopur estate, which was taken under management during the year, Rs. 7,451 have been remitted since the close of the year, and Rs. 4,114 are said to be doubtful. It is explained that the patwári's papers showed no arrears as due to the estate, and that the demand was arrived at from the late proprietor's private accounts. It will probably be found necessary to strike off a large proportion of the balance. Had not this estate been brought under management, the percentage of collections for the Rohilkhand Division would stand at 89, the same figure precisely as in the two preceding years.

The percentage of collections in the Allahabad Division shows an increase of 7 per cent. over that of the previous year, and, but for the deficient collections in the estates in the Bánda district and Batuk Prasad's estate in the Jaunpur district, it would have been still better. The short collections in Ata Husain Khan's estate also helped to reduce the percentage, but the estate was released early in the year, and they were unavoidable. In the Bánda estates the short collections, though due in considerable measure to the ravages of *káns* grass, are attributed also by the Collector to apathetic management and neglect on the part of the managers of several estates to realize the rent of the year within the year. The present Collector inspected all the estates during his last cold weather tour, and it is hoped that better results will be shown during the current year. The short collections in Batuk Prasad's estate are explained to be due to a bad kharíf harvest and in a great measure to the negligence of the sazáwal, who did not carry out the special orders issued by the Collector. With a change of sazáwals the Collector hopes to show better results during the current year.

The serious falling off in the Benares Division is due partly to the whole year's demand being entered in Statement III in the case of Sarju Prasad's estate, which was under management for only 4½ months of the year when it was released, and partly to the deficient collections in the Majhauli estate. If the figures for Sarju Prasad's estate are excluded, the divisional percentage of collections of both the current and arrear demands would be nearly 86. In explanation of the short collections in the Majhauli estate the special manager writes :—"Some of the finest villages of "the estate are situated on both banks of the Ghogra, beginning with Barhaj in this "district (Gorakhpur) and extending down to Darauli in Saran; there are about 15 "villages with a rent-roll of over Rs. 20,000 affected by the rise of this river. The "floods of last year completely destroyed the kharíf crop in all these villages and "materially affected the rabi, inasmuch as the lands had not been properly prepared, "and seed grain was both expensive and scarce. The kharíf kist had consequently to "be suspended, and the rabi crop was not such a bumper one as to make up for both "harvests; when, to crown it all, came the abnormally heavy rainfall and disastrous "floods of the present season. The tenantry were literally on the verge of starvation, "and, if the least pressure had been brought to bear upon them to pay up their rents in "full, they would have absconded in hundreds. Under the circumstances I was "obliged to suspend collections in all the villages bordering on the Ghogra." Another reason for the short collections is that the *abádkárs* have, as usual, withheld payment of their rents, although the questions at issue in the Settlement Court have been finally

decided by the Board. The balances in the Narharpur estate are explained to be due to the floods of the Rapti and Ghogra rivers, between which the estate is situated. The entire estate was submerged for several weeks during the inundations of 1889, and there was not a handful of the kharíf crop harvested. It was also with the greatest difficulty that the tenants were able to get seed grain to sow their rabi. The Collector writes that "the tenantry of this estate are mostly poor, and one bad "season cripples them completely. During the winter months the poorer classes were "actually starving, and kept body and soul together by living on wild roots and grasses. "Under the circumstances it was quite out of the question to demand rent from a "starving peasantry; on the contrary, the sazáwal's time was devoted to arranging "with the local banias to advance food grain to the tenants to keep them from "absconding."

The results in the Jhánsi Division remain much the same as before.

13. The table below shows the balances, agregating Rs. 2,59,935, classified by divisions :— APPENDIX III. Balances.

Division.	Balance.		
	Current.	Arrears.	Total.
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Meerut	11,380	7,387	18,767
Agra	12,993	12,848	25,841
Rohilkhand	19,085	31,786	50,871
Allahabad	24,352	19,707	44,059
Benares	80,043	38,392	1,18,435
Jhánsi	1,001	961	1,962
Total ...	1,48,854	1,11,081	2,59,935

As noticed above, the large balance in the Madhopur estate chiefly accounts for the heavy balance in the Rohilkhand Division. The balance in the Allahabad Division includes Rs. 11,859 due to the Bara estate. Of this Rs. 2,370 are doubtful, being due from tenants the value of whose property falls short of their liabilities, and Rs. 1,562 have been remitted since the close of the year. The remainder is recoverable and is chiefly due from tenants, who were, in consequence of the unfavorable harvests of the past two years, unable to pay up their rents, and against whom the adoption of stringent measures was considered detrimental to the interests of the estate. Excluding the balance of Rs. 59,234 outstanding in Sarju Prasad's estate, which was released early in the year, the actual balance in the Benares Division amounted to Rs. 59,201. Of this Rs. 33,243 are due to the Majhauli estate. Rs. 6,320 of the current demand and Rs. 4,312 of the arrear demand, or Rs. 10,632 in all, are due from *abádkárs* or settlers on this estate who claimed proprietary rights. Suits for a sum of Rs. 8,709 have been instituted against them, and as soon as decrees are obtained the money will be realized. The cause of the non-realization of the remainder has been explained above.

14. The receipts from interest on Government securities amounted to Rs. 31,579, or Rs. 5,255 less than in the previous year. The decrease is chiefly due to the release of Sarju Prasad's estate, which had Rs. 1,48,200 invested in Government securities, and to the sale of securities to the value of one lakh belonging to Partab Chand's estate in the Allahabad district for the purpose of advancing a loan to the Benares municipality for the water works project. Government promissory notes to the value of two lakhs belonging to Begam Mashuk Mahal's estate in the Bareilly district have also been sold for the same purpose, but the receipts from interest were not affected. Securities to the value of Rs. 8,500 belonging to the following estates were also sold: Bidauli, Rs. 3,000; Ram Saran Das, Rs. 5,000; and Sakarwan, Rs. 500. The securities belonging to the Bidauli estate were sold to meet the cost of purchasing APPENDIX IV. Interest on Government securities.

certain landed property which adjoined a portion of the estate; but, before the transaction was completed, the proprietor of the property in question sold it to a third party. The re-investment of this amount in Government securities has been sanctioned by the Board. Those belonging to Ram Saran Das' estate were sold for the purchase of landed property; while the security for Rs. 500 belonging to the Sakarwan estate was sold to meet the personal expenses of the ward, the receipts of the year having fallen short of the expenditure.

The amount held in Government securities at the close of the year, excluding those of estates released, was Rs. 6,38,300, a considerably lower sum than in the preceding year.

APPENDIX IV. Other receipts of the year.

15. Below are the details of the other receipts of the year :—

	Rs.
Court of Wards and other loans	44,000
Debts recovered	1,19,443
Takávi advances recovered	9,261
Rent of houses	11,497
Occupier's rate	47,568
Patwári's cess	13,362
Sale proceeds of land and houses	19,537
Ditto Government securities	3,16,880
Miscellaneous receipts	1,65,901
Total ...	7,47,449

APPENDIX IV. Total income of the year.

16. The gross receipts from all sources amounted to Rs. 22,18,429, and the cash balance in hand at the beginning of the year being Rs. 5,49,396, the income available for expenditure during the year amounted to Rs. 27,67,825.

APPENDIX IV. Government revenue, cesses and patwári rate.

17. Rupees 6,87,887 were paid on account of the demand for land revenue, Rs. 85,096 on account of cesses and Rs. 28,340 on account of the patwári rate. The percentage of land revenue to rental receipts of revenue-paying lands is 48, the same figure as in 1888-89. In the following estates the percentage of land revenue paid to rental receipts was high :—

	Percentage of revenue actually paid shown in column 19 of Statement IV to rental receipts shown in column 9 of Statement IV.	Percentage of current revenue demand to full rental shown in column 5 of Statement III.
Bamrauli (Sháhjahánpur) ...	168·	45·
Ata Husain Khan (Fatehpur) ...	85·	51·
Bhamba (Bánda)	88·	61·
Sultan Husain, &c. (Bánda) ...	71·	49·
Singhpur (Bánda)	124·	42·
Baghel (Bánda)	76·	70·
Mailwar Andela (Lalitpur) ..	71·	50·

The high percentage of 168· in the Bamrauli estate is due to the inclusion in column 19 of Statement IV of a sum of Rs. 1,186 on account of arrears of revenue. The actual current demand was only 45 per cent. of the full current rental. In Ata Husain Khan's estate the percentage of revenue to the rental demand for the period the estate was under management during the year was 51.· The high percentage of 85· is due to short collections, owing to the estate having been released before the total rental demand for the year became payable. No explanation has been offered for the high percentage (88·) in the Bhamba estate, but it would appear that it is partly due to short collections owing to the spread of *kána* grass. The estate is now being re-assessed, and when assessment operations have been completed, it is to be hoped that the revenue demand will be adjusted to the capabilities of the estate. In Sultan Husain and Musammat Shams-un-nissa's estate the high percentage is accounted for partly by short collections and partly by a sum of Rs. 450 on account of arrears of revenue being included in column 19 of Statement IV. The current

land revenue demand is 49 per cent. of the full rental. The causes for the high percentages (156· and 80·) in the previous year in the Singhpur and Baghel estates were reported to Government in the Board's No. $\frac{1012}{X-2}$, dated 11th September 1890. It will be observed that some improvement has taken place in these two estates, the percentage of the land revenue demand to the rental receipts having been reduced to 124· and 76· respectively in the year under report. The percentage of the yearly revenue demand on the full current rental of revenue-paying lands is 61· and 70· respectively. But Singhpur derives a large portion of its rental from revenue-free lands, so that the land revenue demand amounts to only 42 per cent. of the rental of the entire property including revenue-free lands. The Collector is giving his careful attention to the affairs of these two estates. Short collections account for the high percentage in the Mailwar Andela estate, and the current revenue is exactly half of the rental demand.

The outstanding balances on account of the current and arrear demands of land revenue amounted to Rs. 1,688 and Rs. 2,877 respectively. Of the total arrears Rs. 3,578 are due by Chet Singh's estate in the Etah district. Of this Rs. 1,189 are held under suspension, and the remainder could not be paid for want of funds. Over Rs. 1,700 were due by the estate before it came under the management of the Court of Wards. APPENDICES XI AND XII.

18. The sums paid to Government on account of owners' and occupiers' rates were Rs. 3,313 and Rs. 49,950 respectively. Of the occupiers' rate Rs. 47,568 were collected from the tenants. APPENDIX IV. Owners' and occupiers' rates.

19. The charges of management for the year amounted to Rs. 1,30,598, and fell at 7 per cent. on the receipts shown in columns 7 to 14 and 17 of Statement IV. As explained in the report for 1888-89, it has been found impracticable to reduce the cost of management in the following small estates :— APPENDIX IV. Cost of management.

	Percentage of cost of management to receipts.
Nagla Kisi (Etah) ...	15·
Nagla Amin (Etah)	11·
Fida Husain (Basti)	19·
Beona (Jalaun) ...	11·

Except in Beona, the normal income of these estates is under Rs. 2,000, and in Beona it is under Rs. 2,500. In petty estates lying at a distance from other properties under management, the cost even of the small establishment entertained must necessarily fall at a high rate on the income. The high percentage of cost of management in the Sakarwan estate (13·) is due to the payment during the year of arrears of pay, amounting to Rs. 182, of the previous year; while that in Fakr-ud-din Khan's estate (11·) is attributed to the introduction of the special scheme of management in the Sháhjahánpur district. In the other estates where the cost of management exceeds 10 per cent. of the normal receipts, short collections during the year have raised the percentage.

20. In para. 4 of the Government Review for 1888-89 the smallness of some of the estates of which the Court assumed charge was noticed. There are at present eight estates under management with incomes under Rs. 500. Of these four were made over by order of the Civil Court, and the Court of Wards had no option but to assume management. With regard to the other four, it has been ascertained that no co-sharer was willing to undertake the management, and that no one could be found to take a lease of the property. Under the circumstances, therefore, direct management was unavoidable.

21. The special scheme of management was in force in the following districts during the year :— Special scheme of management.

Sháhjahánpur.	Allahabad.
Cawnpore.	Gházipur.

Gorakhpur.

In the Muzaffarnagar district the manager receives a salary of Rs. 80 per mensem. As this pay is much lower than that contemplated by the scheme of special management, this district has no longer been shown as under the special scheme. The special scheme of management, which was abolished in April 1886, was re-introduced in the Sháhjahánpur district with effect from the beginning of the year under report. The income, cost of management and the percentage of cost to income for the above districts are shown in the following table :—

District.	Income for 1889-90, exclusive of opening balance, receipts from loans and sale proceeds of land and houses, and Government securities	Cost of special management.	Percentage of cost of special management.	Remarks.
	Rs.	Rs.		
Sháhjahánpur...	1,16,552	9,058	7·7	* These figures relate to Court of Wards' estates alone. Estates managed by the special managers, under sections 323 and 326 of the Civil Procedure Code, and State properties also pay their quota of the cost of special management.
Cawnpore ...	87,244	8,406	9·6	
Allahabad ...	4,04,844	*26,219	6·5	
Gházipur ...	67,246	*4,638	6·9	
Gorakhpur ...	3,23,218	18,138	5·6	

The working of the scheme continued to prove satisfactory, and the good work of the following Special Managers is commended :—Munshi Zain-ul-abdin, Allahabad; Mr. E. W. Ricketts, Gorakhpur; and Munshi Bikramjit, Gházipur.

The system in Bánda, described in previous reports, remained unaltered. The total cost of management amounted to Rs. 4,263 or 5·7 per cent. on a rent-roll of Rs. 74,023, which includes not only that of Court of Wards' estates, but also that of Government estates and estates managed in execution of decrees. The charges debited to the Court of Wards' estates alone came to Rs. 1,428, which falls at 5·7 per cent. on a rent-roll of Rs. 24,428.

APPENDIX IV. Miscellaneous charges.

22. The miscellaneous expenditure amounted to Rs. 2,81,965 against Rs. 1,97,844. The inclusion under this head of a sum of Rs. 1,02,025, cash balance, made over to the proprietor of Sarju Prasad's estate, accounts for the greater part of this excess.

APPENDIX V. Personal expenses of proprietors.

23. The expenditure under "ordinary" personal expenses was Rs. 1,39,148 against Rs. 1,60,699 in 1888-89. The charges for "extraordinary" personal expenses were Rs. 44,155 against Rs. 87,338 in the previous year. The falling off under the former head is chiefly attributable to the release of the Dube estate in the previous year. Under the latter head the expenditure was largest in the following estates :—Majhauli (Rs. 6,300); Begam Mashuk Mahal (Rs. 5,393); Partab Chand (Rs. 4,318); and Rámpur (Rs. 3,460). In Majhauli Rs. 5,000 were spent on the funeral rites of the Rája's son, and Rs. 1,300 in meeting expenses incurred by the Rája in attending an exhibition at Gorakhpur. The item of Rs. 5,393 in Begam Mashuk Mahal's estate includes Rs. 5,000 paid to Nawáb Begam and Khakan Begam as a portion of their marriage dowry. The chief items of the expenditure in Partab Chand's estate were Rs. 1,500 spent on the *thauna* ceremony of the ward and Rs. 1,000 on the *barkhi* ceremony of the ward's mother. The expenditure of Rs. 3,460 in Rámpur includes Rs. 2,871 paid to dismissed servants on account of arrears of pay.

APPENDIX V. Education and Wards' Institute.

24. The educational charges of this year amounted to Rs. 24,091 as compared with Rs. 17,846 in 1888-89. The admission of the following wards into the Agra Institute during the year accounts for the greater part of the increase :—

Saiyid Muhammad Mohsin of the Hapur estate.
Babu Dalip Narain Singh of the Bansgaon estate.
Lala Narain Das of Ram Saran Das' estate.
Sheikh Aziz Ahmad of the Madhopur estate.

In accordance with the instructions contained in para. 2 of G. O. No. $\frac{1879}{1-427}$, dated 14th November 1890, the accounts of the Wards' Institute are now

this respect, and Sarju Prasad's estate (Gorakhpur) and Khimsipur (Farukhabad) have been released during the year. In Sahanpur, owing to the nature of the subsoil, wells have in many cases turned out failures. The chief improvement possible in the tract in which this estate is situated is reported to be the building of a masonry channel in continuation of that in Garhwál for the Malin *gul*, and this work has since the close of the year been sanctioned. In the Anapur estate the works proposed in the Ballia district were not executed during the year. The explanation given, that the manager was much engaged in heavy cases and in clearing up the accounts of the estate, is hardly adequate. Although only Rs. 503 were spent in the Bhandura estate (Muzaffarnagar) during the year, the Board have since its close sanctioned the disbursement of a sum of Rs. 1,550 for sanitary works and other improvements. A proposal has also been made to carry out drainage works on this estate, and officers of the Irrigation Department are now engaged on the examination of plans. No works of improvement can be carried out on the Kunjpura estate, as it is entirely under the supervision of the Panjáb Court of Wards. The attention of the Financial Commissioner of the Panjáb has been invited to this matter. It has been ascertained that no wells or other improvements are required in the estate of the late Begam Mashuk Mahal (Bareilly). All that can be done in this estate is to carry out repairs to old wells.

The wells and tanks newly constructed and repaired in Partab Chand's estate (Allahabad) are together capable of irrigating an area of about 130 bíghas, should the tenants avail themselves of them. These works have been carried out for the good of the tenantry, and not with a view of increasing the rental, which has been sufficiently enhanced since last settlement. A sum of Rs. 40 is, however, expected to be realized in future years on account of royalty for water as a precautionary measure to prevent the tenants from acquiring rights of irrigation without payment. Rs. 6,500 have been provided for improvements during the current year. In this estate a sum of Rs. 50 was advanced to a tenant for the construction of a masonry well. The manager was also successful in inducing tenants to plant 300 fruit trees during the year under report. The 2,412 trees planted during the last four years, together with those planted in years previous, are reported, with few exceptions, to be doing well. Written agreements have been executed by the tenants to the effect that they will be entitled to the enjoyment of the fruits and fallen wood of these trees; that in the event of the trees being sold privately or brought to the hammer half the price will be paid to the owner of the estate, and that the tenants will pay rent for cultivated lands on which the trees may be planted for six years, after which the rent will cease to be payable and the said trees will be subject to the rules applicable to those planted on waste lands. In advancing money to tenants in the Bara estate (Allahabad), who applied for advances and were found deserving of assistance, the tenants were not pressed to provide full security, as without this indulgence they would not have cultivated their fields. Distressed tenants on this estate were further assisted in the year of report by the distribution of 305 maunds of seed grain kept in stock for this purpose; and wood and bamboos are given to the tenants on a liberal scale at times of marriage and funeral ceremonies, as also for construction of houses. In spite of these indulgences the tenants, the Collector writes, are apathetic in the matter of improving their holdings. In the Kiratpur estate (Bijnor) an unused well was repaired by cultivators, which they now use for drinking purposes, while another unused well was filled up by tenants residing in its vicinity. Small advances were made to the tenants of the Sakarwan estate (Cawnpore) for sinking two kachcha wells, which are used for irrigation. Regarding improvements by tenants in the Harchandpur estate (Etáwah) the Collector states that the tenants of this estate have done a good deal for the improvement of the estate by well making, and that the estate has assisted in this work by furnishing security for advances of Government takávi. A sum of Rs. 1,875, Government takávi, was advanced to 31 tenants during the year on the security of the estate, and three pakka and 28 kachcha-pakka wells were made, representing in value quite double the sum advanced. It is satisfactory to note that the tenants had sufficient capital to supplement the Government advances materially.

In the Anapur estate (Gházipur) 98 fruit trees were planted by the tenants on *parti* land and on the sides of tanks constructed last year in the Allahabad portion of the estate.

During the year inquiry was made by Government as to whether agricultural holdings could be found for Sánsiahs in certain of the Court of Wards' estates, and families of Sánsiahs have been settled in a few estates. The reports for the year say little as to the measures taken to establish them in their holdings and to train them in the cultivation of the land; and the question will be more suitably dealt with in the current year's reports.

26. In 26 estates takávi advances, aggregating Rs. 11,798, were made to tenants during the year. APPENDIX V. Takávi advances.

27. The sums repaid by the various indebted estates on account of the principal of loans made by the Court of Wards or Government or on account of other debts amounted to Rs. 2,40,873, details of which are given below:— APPENDICES V AND VII. Repayment of loans.

	Rs
Towards Court of Wards or State loans	72,991
Other debts	1,67,882
	2,40,873

The balance of these debts due at the close of the year was Rs. 8,11,405, as shown in column 11 of Statement VII. The progress made in the payment of these debts since the estates concerned were taken under management is also shown in this Statement, of which the following is an abstract:—

	Rs.
Debts due when superintendence was assumed	31,73,059
Incurred after ditto	10,99,074
	42,72,133
Debts paid off by incurring other debts or by sale of property	14,27,887
Ditto from cash balance in hand or otherwise	20,32,841
	34,60,728
Balance due at the close of the year as shown above	8,11,405
Total ...	42,72,133

28. Rupees 35,000 were advanced during the year by the Court of Wards from one estate to another. Of the principal and interest which had fallen due, Rs. 44,701 were repaid during the year, and a sum of Rs. 8,887 remained outstanding from lack of funds, at the close of the year. Rs. 3,00,000 were advanced, under the direction of Government, to the Benares municipality for water works projects, and Rs. 13,000 were advanced from the funds of the Dumri estate (Gorakhpur) to a private individual. The period of one year for which the loan of Rs. 3,00,000 for water works projects was required has expired, and inquiry has been made from Government as to when the loans will be repaid. APPENDIX VIII. Court of Wards' loans.

29. The balance due at the close of the year on account of State loans amounted to Rs. 51,920. Of this Rs. 21,765 are due by the Arjunpur estate (Mainpuri), Rs. 27,374 by the Majhauli estate (Gorakhpur) and Rs. 2,781 by the Sangaband estate (Mirzapur). The balance of the debts due by the Majhauli estate will be liquidated during the current year. Of the balance due by the Arjunpur estate, Rs. 15,381 are on account of arrear instalments of principal. With regard to these arrears the Board's No. $\frac{251}{X-2}$, dated 21st February 1891, and APPENDIX VIII. State loans.

G. O. No. $\frac{1237}{X-213}$, dated 3rd March 1891, may be referred to. It is difficult to see how the loan can be repaid within a reasonable time under the existing conditions of the estate, but by the revision of settlement now in progress it is hoped that these conditions may be improved.

APPENDIX V. Investments.

30. The surplus funds of the several estates were invested as follows :—

	Rs.
In Government securities	1,71,468
In landed property and houses	29,079
Total ...	2,00,547

APPENDIX V. Closing balance.

31. The total expenditure of the year amounted to Rs. 22,99,969 and the cash balance at its close was Rs. 4,67,856.

APPENDIX IX. Notices of ejectment.

32. The number of notices of ejectment issued under the Rent Act in the estates under the Court of Wards during the year was 582, a large increase on the number in 1888-89, 351. The average area of the holdings to which they related fell, however, from 6½ to 4 acres. Forty notices were contested successfully and 72 unsuccessfully. That is, nineteen per cent. of the notices issued were contested, and only 7 per cent. with success. These figures contrast favorably, as they might be expected to do, with the general results for the Provinces. From the Revenue Administration Report it appears that out of the total number of notices of ejectment issued under section 36 of the Rent Act in the North-Western Provinces, 28 per cent. were contested and 15 per cent. with success. In 241 of the Court of Wards' cases the tenants relinquished their holdings, and in 228 they were permitted to remain in possession at enhanced rates. One notice was pending at the close of the year.

The largest number of notices was issued in the following estates :—Karam Ali Khan (Meerut), 94; Dumri (Gorakhpur), 90; Bara (Allahabad), 81; Partab Chand (Allahabad), 55; and Sarai Mir (Azamgarh), 44. With reference to the 94 notices issued in Karam Ali Khan's estate and the remarks of Government in para. 15 of their Review for 1888-89, the Collector of Meerut writes :—"Some instruction as "to the issue of these notices of ejectment would be welcome; my own practice is not "to issue notices to solvent tenants holding at fair rents even if the term of 12 years is "approaching its close; but this practice is not satisfactory to the wards' friends, who "have frequently urged on me the necessity of entirely preventing the growth of any "right of occupancy. This course is traditional in the Lal Khani family." The attention of the Collector has been drawn to the instructions contained in para. 50 of Circular No. 1—X, Board's Extant Circulars, Vol. I, as to ejectment notices and acquisition of occupancy rights. In this estate no less than 63 notices out of the 94 issued were contested. The Collector gives no explanation of the 12 notices in the case of which objections were lodged and the notices cancelled. It was found necessary to issue the large number of notices in the Dumri estate owing to the tenants of certain villages refusing to pay the enhanced rents they had agreed to in the time of a former Collector, in return for the wells built by the Court of Wards. After much consideration it was decided that the non-occupancy tenants should be served with notices of ejectment. The result of these notices was that 85 tenants agreed to pay the enhanced rates of rent, and they were allowed to remain in possession. Considering the extent of the estate, the number of notices (81) issued in the Bara estate does not appear excessive. The Rája's karinda had given collusive leases to certain tenants, and it was necessary in order to disavow the leases to eject the tenants. Owing to these ejectment proceedings it is expected that the lands hitherto rented at Rs. 1,962 will give an increased, but fair, rental of Rs. 2,392. It is noticeable that 66 of these tenants were allowed to retain their holdings at enhanced rates. In Partab Chand's estate the notices of ejectment were issued on certain recusant tenants who intentionally

suffered their rents to fall into arrears, and on certain well-to-do tenants who refused to pay in respect of their lands the enhanced rents payable for lands of a similar quality. In the Sarai Mir estate the extreme measure of issuing notices of ejectment was only taken after the tenants had refused to give a fair rate of rent. Many voluntarily accepted slight enhancements of rent, and so were not served with notices. Three out of the 4 notices issued in the Majhauli estate were contested with success, but this fact has received no explanation in the Collector's report.

APPENDIX X. Applications for enhancement of rent.

33. Forty-six applications for enhancement of rent, affecting 70 tenants, were filed during the year, the area to which the applications referred being 378 acres. The rate per acre was raised from an average of Rs. 3-8-8 to Rs. 4-2-10, and the rent enhanced from Rs. 1,339-14-6 to Rs. 1,579-15-3. As explained above, owing to certain tenants in the Dumri estate refusing to pay the enhanced rents they had agreed to, it was found necessary to institute suits for enhancement of rent against tenants with rights of occupancy. Accordingly the ringleaders in five villages were picked out, and suits instituted against 17 of them. The rent previously paid by them was Rs. 482-5-3, while the rent decreed was Rs. 510-10-3. The amount decreed is not large, but the result of the action taken by the Collector will, it is hoped, prove to the tenantry that they must fulfil their agreements with the Court of Wards. No explanation has been offered for the institution of the 24 applications in the Madhopur estate.

APPENDIX XVII. Civil litigation.

34. The Legal Remembrancer's report deals only with the Civil Court litigation of the Court of Wards, and not with the rent or revenue cases in which it was concerned. The return showing what portion of the amounts decreed in favor of the Court of Wards was realized or remitted during the year of report, and also the sums outstanding on 1st October 1890, is printed as Statement XVII. Including the amount outstanding on 1st October 1889, the total demand for principal, interest and costs amounted to Rs. 1,21,380. The results were as usual poor. Only Rs. 10,184 were realized and Rs. 36,507 were remitted, leaving a balance of Rs. 74,689 outstanding on 1st October 1890, the bulk of which is classed as recoverable.

35. The accounts of the Karauli estate in the Mainpuri district, to the unsatisfactory condition of which reference was made in para. 6 of the Government Review for 1888-89, have now been reduced to order. In consequence of this matter the necessity for rules prescribing forms in which the accounts of Court of Wards' estates should be kept within the offices of these estates was brought under the notice of the Board, and the issue of a Book Circular containing such rules is under their consideration.

Conclusion.

36. The Collectors in charge of Court of Wards' estates throughout the province seem generally to have administered the estates with care during the year of report. The year was not a very prosperous one, a good many estates having suffered from deficient harvests; and in some as much as might have been done for their improvement by irrigation works, sanitation, arboriculture and other measures was possibly not effected. But taking into account the many estates, where, from want of funds or room for really practical improvements, it is not possible to effect much, and the number of demands upon the time and attention of District Officers, it may be said that the year's administration was on the whole creditable to the management. The following Collectors are selected for special commendation :—Mr. R. S. Whiteway, Meerut; Mr. E. Rose, Mainpuri; Mr. E. B. Alexander, Etáwah; and Mr. F. S. Bullock, Bijnor.

Statements XIII to XVI show the accounts of the several Benevolent Trusts, and the year's management of the Trusts is noticed in Appendix A.

6763

In the Board's Office the Court of Wards' department was, during the year of report, in charge of Mr. J. C. Robertson from 1st October 1889 to 25th November 1889; of Mr. J. J. F. Lumsden from 26th November 1889 to 26th January 1890; and of Mr. W. Kaye from 27th January 1890 till the end of the year. It has fallen to the lot of the present Junior Member only to review the annual reports for the various estates since the year closed. Upon these reports such orders as seemed necessary in respect to details of account, management, improvements, the control and education of minor wards, and other matters were issued by him.

I have the honor to be,

SIR,

Your most obedient servant,

J. M. HOLMS,

Junior Secretary.

APPENDIX A.

Benevolent Trusts, North-Western Provinces.

The number of charitable and other trusts on the Board's list was the same as in the previous year. The receipts and expenditure are compared in the following table with the figures of the preceding year :— APPENDICES XIII, XIV AND XV.

Receipts.	1888-89.	1889-90.
	Rs.	Rs.
Opening balance	15,026	13,305
Receipts	40,173	35,655
Total ...	55,198	48,960
Expenditure.		
(*a*) For trust purposes	34,352	26,203
(*b*) For other purposes	7,536	9,889
Total ...	41,888	36,092
Closing balance	13,305	12,868

The falling off in the receipts is chiefly under "Cash rents" and "Other contributions" in Ráni Kishori Kuar's trust, where only Rs. 1,062 were realized on account of the former and nothing on account of the latter, against Rs. 2,748 and Rs. 3,364 in 1888-89. The decrease under "Cash rents" was due to the fact that the lessee of the villages belonging to the trust had become a defaulter. Proceedings were being taken against him and his surety for the recovery of the arrears due, and there is every hope of their being realized in full. The falling off under "Other contributions" requires no explanation, as the contributions in the previous year were made for a specific purpose.

All the items of expenditure show a falling off except those under "Dieting and clothing" and "Miscellaneous charges."

Rupees 1,200 were invested in Government securities during the year.

The surplus assets to the credit of the several trusts at the close of the year, inclusive of the Rs. 2,19,000 invested in Government securities, amounted to Rs. 3,72,214.

The subject of the vesting of trust funds and of schemes of management for carrying out the objects of the trusts, under Act VI of 1890, is at present under the consideration of the Board in accordance with G. O. No. $\frac{12}{\text{III}-719\text{A.}}$, dated 8th January 1891. Numerous references have been found necessary to present administrators and other persons interested in the trusts.

Educational Endowments.

Statement XVI contains a list, together with the accounts, of these endowments, which are under the immediate control of the Director of Public Instruction. APPENDIX XVI.

The Director explains that the "Duke of Edinburgh's Scholarship" and the "Duke's Donation" are two different expressions for one and the same endowment. The latter has therefore been omitted from the list.

Including the opening balance of Rs. 7,516, the available income was Rs. 49,228. Rs. 40,708 were expended in carrying out the wishes of the donors, leaving the cash balance at the close of the year at Rs. 8,520.

BENGAL SECRETARIAT LIBRARY.

STATEMENTS.

STATEMENT No. I.—*Estates in charge or under the superintendence*

Serial number of estate.	District.	Name of estate.	Superintendence when assumed.	Proprietor.	Date of birth of minor.	Age of minor on the 30th September 1890. Years.	Months.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
				Under clause (a), section 194, Act XIX of 1873. Females			
4	Muzaffarnagar ...	Bidauli ...	4th May 1880 ...	Musammat Mahbuban ...	...	...	...
				Ditto Mehdi Begam ...	...	...	...
				Ditto Umrao Begam ...	...	...	...
26	Etáwah ...	Chakkarnagar ...	4th April 1876 ...	Thakurain Baisni ...	...	...	...
35	Bijnor ...	Kiratpur ...	15th April 1879 ...	Musammat Hashmi Begam ...	...	...	...
36	Ditto ...	Malakpur Dehri ...	17th October 1879 ...	Ditto Lali	...	...	...
47	Moradabad ...	Rámpur ...	1st April 1890 ...	Ditto Nanhi Begam ...	...	...	...
52	Bareilly, Pilibhit ...	Madhopur ...	2nd February 1890 ...	Ditto Zainab ...	...	...	...
				Ditto Akbari Begam ...			
				Ditto Rabbani Begam ...			
56	Sháhjahánpur ...	Fakhr-ud-din Khan ...	25th September 1878 ...	Ditto Ajb-un-nissa ...	...	...	...
				Ditto Soghra ...			
				Ditto Khatim-un-nissa ...			
61	Ditto ...	Bamrauli ...	July 1888 ...	Ditto Hulas Kuar ...	...	...	...
				Ditto Dharm Kuar ...			
				Ditto Durga Kuar ...			
83	Allahabad ...	Sarai Pitha ...	13th February 1875 ...	Thakurain Ganesh Kuar (a) ...	...	...	...
84	Ditto ...	Gambhira ...	16th March 1877 ...	Ditto Gajraj Kuar (b) ...	...	...	...
				Ditto Balraj Kuar ...			
109	Jalaun ...	Beona ...	21st July 1880 ...	Ditto Larai Dulaiya ...	...	...	...
					Total ...	...	...
				Under clause (b), section 194, Act XIX			
1	Saháranpur ...	Raghunath Das ...	14th June 1886 ...	Raghunath Das ...	24th June 1875 ...	15	3
6	Muzaffarnagar ...	Batheri (c) ...	29th November 1887 ...	Saiyad Azmat Ali ...	20th October 1869 ...	20	11
12	Meerut ...	Kithauli ...	1st July 1885 ...	Mukhtar ...	9th March 1879 ...	11	7
13	Ditto ...	Murari Lal ...	1st October 1888 ...	Bulaki Das ...	2nd February 1880 ...	10	8
14	Bulandshahr ...	Belaun ...	23rd May 1879 ...	Aram Singh ...	June 1870 ...	20	3
15	Aligarh ...	Mohreni ...	2nd October 1880 ..	Bijai Indar Singh ...	26th December 1872 ...	17	9
16	Ditto ...	Lachman Singh ...	... .	Kesar Kuar ...	Exact date not known,	12	...
				Makund Kuar ...		8	...
20	Farukhabad ...	Abdul Majid Khan ...	5th December 1884 ...	Abdul Majid Khan ...	February 1875 ...	15	7
22	Ditto ...	Muhammad Raza Khan,	7th February 1890 ..	Muhammad Raza Khan ...	20th September 1879 ...	11	...
29	Etáwah ...	Roshangpur ...	23rd November 1888 ...	Raghubar Singh ...	7th October 1871 ...	19	...
30	Etah ...	Nagla Kisi ...	1st February 1878 ..	Girwar Lal ...	14th October 1870 ...	20	...
31	Ditto ...	Mati-ullah Khan ...	1st September 1884 ...	Mati-ullah Khan ...	25th November 1876 ...	18	10
32	Ditto ...	Arthara ...	May 1885 ...	Tej Singh ...	21st October 1879 ...	10	11
37	Bijnor ...	Kázi Imdad Ali ...	28th April 1881 ...	Abdul Razzak ...	22nd September 1871 ...	19	...
				Musammat Ummehabiba ...	27th September 1874 ...	16	...
41	Ditto ...	Musammat Anandi ...	20th September 1890 ...	Musammat Anandi (d) ...	20th May 1873 ...	17	4
42	Moradabad, Bijnor...	Budh Prakash ...	11th November 1878 ...	Budh Prakash ...	10th August 1871 ...	19	2
43	Moradabad ...	Mubarak Husain ...	8th August 1881 ..	Mubarak Husain ...	23rd February 1876 ...	14	7
45	Ditto ...	Kakrauli ...	...	...(e)	...	...	...
46	Ditto ...	Anand Sarup and Jagdish Sarup.	1st April 1890 ...	Anand Sarup ...	13th March 1875 ...	15	6
				Jagdish Sarup ...	18th April 1877 ...	13	5
48	Budaun ...	Sheikhupur ...	13th July 1886 ..	Jamal-ud-din ...	15th October 1882 ...	7	11
50	Bareilly ...	Ballia ...	8th December 1883 ...	Chatar Behari Lal (g) ...	9th February 1871 ...	19	8
51	Ditto ...	Srigopal ...	1st April 1886 ...	Srigopal ...	1st October 1876 ...	14	...
56	Sháhjahánpur ...	Fakhr-ud-din Khan ...	25th September 1878	Fida Husain Khan ...	20th September 1872 ...	18	...
				Ali Husain Khan ...	16th July 1875 ...	15	2
62	Ditto ...	Zafar Ali Khan ...	1st December 1888 ...	Zafar Ali Khan ...	1st April 1874 ...	16	6
65	Ditto ...	Khiriya Rasúlpur ...	17th December 1889 ...	Kampta Prasad ...	19th September 1878 ...	12	...
				Phul Chand ...	22nd July 1882 ...	8	2
				Beni Ram ...	20th December 1884 ...	5	9
69	Cawnpore ...	Sakarwan ...	1st October 1884 ...	Lok Nath ...	12th July 1871 ...	19	2
70	Ditto ...	Misri Lal ...	7th December 1888 ...	Misri Lal ...	3rd February 1876 ...	14	8
72	Fatehpur ...	Wahid-un-nissa ...	3rd March 1890 ...	Wahid-un-nissa ...	12th May 1874 ...	16	3
85	Allahabad ...	Partab Chand ...	27th June 1872 ...	Partab Chand ...	13th February 1871 ...	19	7
88	Jaunpur ...	Pathana ...	1st November 1882 ...	Muhammad Husain ...	28th October 1869 ...	20	11
				Musammat Ali-un-nissa ...	26th July 1875 ...	15	2
				Ditto Kaniz Bannu ...	11th August 1877 ...	13	1
89	Ditto ...	Ram Charan ...	26th August 1885 ...	Ram Charan Upadhiya ...	May 1869 ...	21	4
90	Jaunpur, Azamgarh,	Batuk Prasad ...	3rd November 1885 ..	Chaudhri Batuk Prasad ...	24th November 1871 ...	18	10

STATEMENT No. I.—*Estates in charge or under the superintendence*

Serial number of estate.	District.	Name of estate.	Superintendence when assumed.	Proprietor.	Date of birth of minor.	Age of minor on the 30th September 1890. Years.	Months.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
					Under clause (b), section 194, Act XIX		
91	Jaunpur ...	Hardial Lohar ...	25th January 1889 ...	Jholai ...	11th October 1871 ...	19	...
				Ram Narain ...	2nd November 1877 ...	12	11
97	Gházipur ...	Lakshmi Narain ...	14th August 1888 ...	Lakshmi Narain ...	6th April 1872 ...	18	6
98	Ditto ...	Kodai ...	1st October 1888 ...	Mahadeo Rai ...	8th December 1872 ...	17	10
99	Gorakhpur ...	Chaumukha ...	29th August 1879 ...	Nandan Chaube (a) ...	24th January 1868 ...	22	8
				Sahibzada Chaube ...	11th December 1872 ...	17	9
100	Gorakhpur, Azamgarh.	Bánsgaon ...	6th July 1880 ...	Dalip Narain Singh ...	1st May 1872 ...	18	5
102	Gorakhpur ...	Narharpur ...	5th January 1885 ...	Lal Bisheshwar Prasad Mal ...	27th December 1875 ...	14	9
104	Ditto ...	Sarju Prasad (b) ...	1st October 1888 ...	Bhagwati Prasad ...	1st December 1874 ...	15	10
106	Basti / Lucknow (Oudh)	Fida Husain ...	16th July 1885 ...	Fida Husain Khan ...	14th June 1873 ...	17	3
110	Jalaun ...	Dhagwan Khurd, No. I.	27th April 1886 ...	Gulab Singh ...	25th September 1871 ...	19	...
111	Ditto ...	Dhagwan Khurd, No. II.	July 1888 ...	Raghuraj Singh ...	1st August 1883 ...	7	2
					(Estates taken over by the Court		
2	Saháranpur ... / Muzaffarnagar ...	Kunjpura ...	November 1887 ...	Nawab Ibrahim Ali ...	20th July 1879 ...	11	2
3	Saháranpur ... / Muzaffarnagar ... / Meerut ...	Saunbalhera ...	15th January 1870 ...	Zamir Husain ...	20th September 1874 ...	16	...
				Hamid Husain ...	29th September 1876 ...	14	...
				Wazir Begam ...	25th February 1881 ...	9	7
4	Muzaffarnagar ...	Bidauli ...	4th May 1880 ...	Muhammad Ashgar Husain ...	14th February 1874 ...	16	7
				Musammat Kaniz Shabir ...	17th November 1871 ...	18	10
				Ditto Askari Begam ...	28th August 1877 ...	13	1
5	Ditto ...	Bhandura ...	8th April 1888 ...	Saiyid Asad Raza ...	2nd January 1874 ...	16	9
				Ditto Ikbal Raza ...	20th October 1876 ...	13	11
				Ditto Bakar Raza ...	29th August 1881 ...	9	1
				Musammat Hasni Begam ...	16th May 1878 ...	12	4
				Ditto Bannu Begam ...	23rd May 1883 ...	7	4
8	Meerut ... / Bulandshahr ...	Karam Ali Khan ...	10th June 1872 ...	Khurshed Ali Khan ...	December 1871 ...	18	9
9	Meerut ...	Daurala ...	16th March 1880 ...	Harkies ...	19th August 1875 ...	15	1
10	Ditto ...	Hápur ...	1st September 1881 ...	Muhammad Mohsin ...	6th December 1872 ...	17	10
11	Ditto ...	Ram Saran Das ...	11th May 1883 ...	Banarsi Das ...	1st August 1872 ...	18	2
				Narain Das ...	30th November 1876 ...	13	10
				Dhanpat Rai ...	29th November 1878 ...	11	10
18	Agra, Mainpuri ...	Parna ...	3rd October 1874 ...	...(f)	...	...	...
19	Farukhabad ...	Khimsipur ...	3rd October 1876 ...	...(g)	...	...	...
21	Ditto ...	Guthena ...	1st February 1888 ...	Tej Singh ...	22nd May 1874 ...	16	4
24	Ditto ... / Mainpuri ... / Cawnpore ...	Karauli ...	18th November 1879 ...	Lal Ishwari Prasad Narain Singh	2nd July 1872 ...	18	3
27	Etáwah ...	Bharthna ...	4th May 1875 ...	Kalian Singh ...	7th February 1869 ...	(h)	...
				Gauri Shankar ...	...		
				Lallu Singh ...	12th March 1872 ...		
28	Ditto ...	Harchandpur ...	16th April 1888 ...	Lal Sundar Singh ...	10th February 1876 ...	14	8
33	Etah ...	Nagla Amin ...	1st October 1885 ...	Lal Polandar Singh ...	30th August 1878 ...	12	1
34	Ditto ...	Chet Singh ...	5th September 1889 ...	Chet Singh ...	21st July 1878 ...	12	2
35	Bijnor ...	Kiratpur ...	15th April 1879 ...	Tabarruk Husain ...	8th December 1873 ...	16	10
				Musammat Bharwar Banu ...	15th October 1879 ...	10	11
				Ditto Akhtar Banu ...	24th May 1881 ...	9	4
36	Ditto ...	Malakpur Dehri ...	17th October 1879 ...	Tula Singh ...	9th August 1875 ...	15	2
38	Ditto ...	Parbin Singh ...	19th December 1883 ...	Parbin Singh ...	12th November 1872 ...	17	10
44	Moradabad ...	Kunj Behari Lal and Sipahi Lal (i)	15th February 1884 ...	Kunj Behari Lal ...	7th April 1870 ...	20	6
				Sipahi Lal ...	9th June 1881 ...	9	4
47	Ditto ...	Rampur ...	1st April 1890 ...	Sahibzada Sajjad Ali Khan ...	22nd October 1888 ...	1	11
49	Bareilly ... / Pilibhít ... / Budaun ...	Begam Mashuk Mahal	9th January 1884 ...	Muhammad Husain Khan ...	13th June 1877 ...	13	3
				Sikandar Begam ...	10th December 1871 ...	18	10
				Kaisar Begam ...	7th September 1874 ...	16	1
				Khakan Begam ...	15th February 1876 ...	14	7
				Nawab Begam ...	5th November 1879 ...	10	11
52	Bareilly ... / Pilibhít ...	Madhopur ...	20th February 1890 ...	Aziz Ahmad ...	July 1876 ...	14	3
				Zamir Ahmad ...	July 1883 ...	7	3
54	Sháhjahánpur ... / Kheri (Oudh) ..	Narainpur ...	13th February 1870 ...	Kunwar Jangi Singh ...	6th February 1873 ...	17	8
55	Sháhjahánpur ... / Pilibhít ...	Nahal ...	27th February 1875 ...	Gajraj Singh ...	9th March 1878 ...	12	7
				Gokran Singh ...	10th November 1883 ...	6	11

of the Court of Wards during the revenue year 1889-90—(continued).

Number of villages.						Total area.	Cultivated area.			Population.	Total income available for expenditure as in column 18 of statement IV.	Excess of total available income over expenditure chargeable to profits as in column 27 of statement IV.	Remarks.
Revenue-paying.			Revenue-free.										
Whole villages.	Shares.	Patches.	Whole villages.	Shares.	Patches.		Irrigated.	Unirrigated.	Total.				
9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
of 1873. *Minors.* (*Estates made over by the Civil Court*)—(concluded).											Rs. a. p	Rs. a. p.	
Rent-paying tenure measuring 3 roods and 7 poles.									...	...	86 5 5	84 13 5	
...	15	...	...	...	...	889	201	688	889	2,706	3,465 8 8	1,804 5 1	
(Tenant land).						...	...	...	...	...	131 8 11	74 12 7	
20	3	...	...	...	...	8,304	716	4,708	5,424	5,046	15,405 4 1	6,549 10 3	(*a*) The share of Nandan Chaube has been released.
6	21	...	...	...	...	9,744	997	6,347	7,344	14,128	30,243 7 4	16,075 14 7	
3	4	...	...	...	...	3,086	340	1,438	1,778	3,705	7,528 7 6	824 11 2	(*b*) Released. The statistics of area and population are not available.
51	199	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1,22,247 14 9	3,177 0 0	
...	20	...	...	...	...	1,913	244	1,041	1,285	1,772	2,007 4 7	912 9 10	
...	2	...	...	1	...	1,850	2	719	721	221	16,606 13 2	14,220 0 5	
...	1	...	...	1	...	634	2	428	430	164	3,429 4 1	1,993 2 3	
of Wards on its own motion.)													
5	2	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	20,590 8 4	17,162 6 6	(*c*) Partly revenue-paying and partly revenue-free.
6	12	...	...	6	...	15,810	2,071	4,082	6,153	8,945	24,741 9 10	7,048 11 7	(*d*) Of these, three shares are partly revenue-paying and partly revenue-free.
7	14	...	...	1	...	7,060	988	1,325	2,313	8,875	13,528 0 1	8,043 0 11	(*e*) The population shown in report for 1888-89 was incorrect.
5	25	...	(*c*)1	(*d*)5	...	14,844	4,600	7,579	12,179	26,938	64,718 5 0	28,777 6 0	(*f*) The minor proprietor died on 24th October 1888. The estate will continue under management until such time as the Civil Courts have decided in a regular suit who is the legal proprietor.
4	4	...	...	...	1	5,494	1,085	3,111	4,196	9,282	48,939 14 3	30,983 0 5	
...	4	...	...	...	...	189	54	129	183	1,345	1,611 5 5	986 12 2	
...	8	...	...	...	...	1,366	248	940	1,188	(*e*)18,701	7,166 6 11	4,297 7 5	
2	19	...	...	...	1	4,181	1,056	2,056	3,112	73,352	35,686 6 3	24,146 0 5	
1	1	...	...	...	...	4,535	29	2,459	2,488	2,838	15,707 0 5	7,931 2 5	
3	14	...	1	3	...	4,411	1,125	2,141	3,266	11,059	10,154 10 7	926 0 0	(*g*) The minor died on the 29th September 1887. The estate was made over to his widow on 31st October 1889.
...	1	...	...	...	...	186	40	43	83	78	900 8 9	539 9 1	
15	11	...	...	...	...	14,886	2,005	4,381	6,386	15,983	48,256 5 1	20,987 8 10	
...	5	...	...	...	...	1,842	602	630	1,232	3,432	3,218 9 3	412 2 11	(*h*) Released on 17th March 1890.
8	1	...	...	...	...	7,464	2,951	3,640	6,591	10,150	87,559 6 7	54,309 2 5	
...	4	...	...	...	...	403	98	147	245	215	1,791 8 7	965 0 10	(*i*) Released since the close of the year.
...	5	...	...	...	...	3,026	130	591	721	1,680	1,611 10 3	450 8 0	
3	38	...	...	1	...	2,619	...	1,382	1,382	2,014	12,723 4 9	5,847 8 4	
7	3	...	...	...	...	2,148	275	1,284	1,559	1,341	10,970 14 6	5,051 8 2	
2	1	...	...	...	...	666	...	468	468	468	2,639 1 11	1,263 7 0	
4	18	...	...	2	...	695	212	457	669	964	3,449 3 3	1,390 0 2	
1	1	...	...	...	...	940	171	593	764	1,390	40,398 12 3	33,538 0 4	
20	...	...	1	3	...	10,653	2,584	4,999	7,583	12,002	3,28,279 8 11	3,03,994 15 10	
28	8	...	...	...	...	13,117	6,311	6,591	12,902	15,072	2,35,201 5 5	2,17,251 11 7	
4	4	...	...	...	...	3,857	571	1,763	2,334	2,416	7,831 1 7	4,363 4 1	
29	...	...	...	...	...	14,111	2,463	7,015	9,478	11,481	27,127 9 8	9,635 1 10	

STATEMENT No. I.—*Estates in charge or under the superintendence*

Serial number of estate.	District.	Name of estate.	Superintendence when assumed.	Proprietor.	Date of birth of minor.	Age of minor on the 30th September 1890. Years.	Months.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
					Estates taken over by the Court of		
57	Sháhjahánpur ...	Mohanpur ...	March 1882 ...	Mohan Singh	14th August 1874 ...	16	1
				Mangal Singh	18th June 1876 ...	14	3
58	Ditto ...	Kakra (*a*) ...	1st July 1883 ...	Kasim Ali	5th January 1869 ...	21	9
				Nawazish Ali	2nd February 1871 ...	19	8
59	Ditto ...	Azizganj ...	6th April 1887 ...	Kanhaiya Lal	23rd November 1872 ...	17	10
60	Ditto ...	Dhukri ...	20th April 1887 ...	Bikram Singh	(Exact date not known).	16	...
61	Ditto ...	Bamrauli ...	July 1888 ...	Bilas Singh	17th June 1874 ...	16	3
				Gajraj Singh	13th November 1876 ...	13	10
				Mahtab Singh	21st July 1884 ...	6	2
63	Ditto ...	Khameria ...	23rd October 1888 ...	Kanhaiya Lal	28th September 1877 ...	13	...
64	Ditto ...	Bhatpura Rasulpura ...	24th September 1888 ...	Shiu Narain	4th August 1882 ...	8	2
67	Cawnpore ... Sháhabad (L. P.),	Ratanpur ...	16th December 1881 (*e*) ..	Munna Lal	...	...	...
				Ambika Prasad	...	...	...
68	Cawnpore ...	Darwapur ...	9th May 1884 ...	Ramzan Khan	3rd November 1873 ...	16	11
71	Ditto ...	Banajakha ...	10th November 1888 ...	Narbada Prasad	March 1874 ...	16	6
75	Fatehpur ...	Arampur Bushi ...	23rd April 1880 ...	Afzal Husain	15th November 1872 ...	17	10
				Mazhar-ul-Husain	1st November 1877 ...	12	11
76	Banda ...	Bhamba ...	30th January 1880 ...	Bansidhar Lal	January 1874 ...	16	8
82	Ditto ...	Baghel ...	24th November 1884 ...	Darshan Singh (*b*)	3rd April 1869 ...	21	6
				Sardar Singh	8th September 1877 ...	13	1
				Babu Singh	17th March 1877 ...	13	6
				Bular Singh	14th December 1875 ...	14	9
101	Gorakhpur ...	Dumri ...	May 1882 ...	Sardar Umrao Singh	10th May 1870 ...	20	5
				Sardar Sundar Singh	14th March 1871 ...	19	6
105	Ditto ...	Brijnandan Das ...	24th August 1889 ...	Sikhir Chand	20th August 1884 ...	6	1
108	Jalaun ...	Kukurgaon ...	26th November 1876 ...	Nirand Singh	11th September 1870 ...	20	1
109	Ditto ...	Beona ...	21st July 1880 ...	Gobind Singh	August 1873 ...	17	1
112	Lalitpur ...	Karora ...	6th June 1876 ...	Mahip Singh	... (*d*)	...	...
113	Ditto ...	Mailwár Andela ...	13th October 1884 ...	Newal Singh	25th July 1883 ...	7	2
114	Ditto ...	Manikju and Dulaju ...	9th August 1886 ...	Manikju	13th May 1875 ...	15	4
				Dulaju	30th June 1883 ...	7	3
115	Ditto ...	Pahalwan Singh ...	1st June 1890 ...	Pahalwan Singh	7th March 1879 ...	11	7
					Total ...	...	...
					Under clause (c), section		
73	Fatehpur ...	Patti Shah ...	8th April 1876 ...	Mir Wajid Husain	...	...	...
					Total ...	...	...
					Under clause (d), section 194,		
7	Muzaffarnagar ...	Karana ...	31st October 1887 ...	Dharmi Mal	...	...	...
				Malla Mal			
53	Bareilly ...	Durga Prasad ...	November 1881 ...	Durga Prasad	...	...	...
96	Gházipur ... Ballia ... Benares ... Allahabad ...	Anapur ...	16th January 1882 ...	Gauri Shankar Prasad Singh ...	...	...	...
					Total ...	...	...
					Under clause (e), section 194, *Act XIX of* 1873. *Persons infirmities from*		
40	Bijnor ...	Pedi ...	1st August 1888 ...	Raza Husain	...	...	...
					Total ...	...	...

of the Court of Wards during the revenue year 1889-90—(continued).

Number of villages.						Total area.	Cultivated area.			Population.	Total income available for expenditure as in column 18 of statement IV.	Excess of total available income over expenditure chargeable to profits as in column 27 of statement IV.	Remarks.
Revenue-paying.			Revenue-free.										
Whole villages.	Shares.	Patches.	Whole villages.	Shares.	Patches.		Irrigated.	Unirrigated.	Total.				
9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
Wards on its own motion.—(concluded).											Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	
8	7	...	...	...	...	5,041	613	2,841	3,454	6,413	8,328 14 10	2,436 15 7	(a) Released on 1st October 1890.
5	21	...	...	5	...	5,245	860	2,893	3,753	5,428	10,282 14 6	2,268 11 1	(b) Collector has been asked to obtain from Darshan Singh an application to be disqualified under clause (g), section 194, Act XIX of 1873.
13	19	...	...	6	...	11,729	3,005	5,167	8,172	12,933	31,685 1 8	10,686 10 7	
...	1	...	...	...	...	70	35	18	53	25	110 0 7	17 1 1	
...	4	...	...	...	...	627	32	252	284	715	13,552 10 2	11,837 14 0	
3	6	...	...	2	...	4,326	425	3,029	3,454	10,846	19,267 6 8	11,300 3 6	(c) Approximate figures.
14	4	...	...	...	1	8,153	1,468	4,016	5,484	4,652	19,338 12 6	4,296 3 10	(d) Released 16th September 1890.
...	4	...	...	...	...	467	69	247	316	216	1,669 1 11	420 6 9	
1	1	...	...	...	...	706	20	310	330	509	1,022 6 4	184 9 5	(e) Both these wards died during the year. They have been succeeded by their widows who are minors and on whose behalf management will be continued.
1	...	...	...	...	...	377	59	93	152	142	1,624 14 10	240 7 6	
...	56	...	...	...	...	5,795	1,712	2,312	4,024	7,929	19,961 6 9	6,454 8 11	
...	4	...	1	...	...	589	6	259	265	132	808 14 0	131 0 9	
...	1	...	...	...	...	931	...	339	339	360	611 13 0	52 7 3	
29	10	...	...	...	...	16,155	9,829	5,243	15,072	15,043	55,529 5 6	27,474 13 4	
19	49	...	...	1	...	7,287	(c)3,000	(c)2,000	5,000	10,114	53,169 4 10	31,503 10 3	
2	1	...	1	1	...	4,775	54	2,468	2,522	1,323	8,850 11 4	4,034 6 7	
1	5	...	...	...	...	1,495	6	816	822	659	2,549 13 4	1,521 9 3	
...	1	...	...	...	...	183	2	73	75	51	171 15 6	...	
...	2	...	...	...	1	503	...	130	130	95	198 12 9	109 5 8	
...	1	...	...	4	...	1,246	3	174	177	564	244 4 7	227 12 11	
No accounts were received as there were no receipts and charges.													
460	1,174	3	5	69	6	323,173	72,550	139,373	211,923	553,754	19,57,627 2 11	12,22,353 13 4	
194, *Act XIX of* 1873. *Idiots.*													
...	22	...	...	...	...	535	179	198	377	2,311	3,136 12 3	2,079 10 0	
...	22	...	...	...	...	535	179	198	377	2,311	3,136 12 3	2,079 10 0	
Act XIX of 1873. *Lunatics.*													
...	1	...	...	...	...	8	...	7	7	(f) 18,374	250 15 1	167 11 6	(f) Population of the entire village of Karana.
...	7	...	...	...	...	534	196	234	430	1,763	1,778 6 5	471 2 11	
54	156	...	...	...	...	32,487	9,249	14,386	23,635	73,777	1,08,047 15 11	52,455 4 7	
54	164	...	...	...	...	33,029	9,445	14,627	24,072	93,914	1,10,077 5 5	53,094 3 0	
otherwise rendered incapable by physical defects or managing their estates.													
...	8	...	...	...	...	402	...	362	362	854	11,626 5 5	9,975 14 2	
...	8	...	...	...	...	402	...	362	362	854	11,626 5 5	9,975 14 2	

STATEMENT No. I.—*Estates in charge or under the superintendence*

Serial number of estate.	District.	Name of estate.	Superintendence when assumed.	Proprietor.	Date of birth of minor.	Age of minor on the 30th September 1890. Years.	Months.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
				Under clause (g), section 194, Act XIX of 1873. Persons declared from managing			
3	Saháranpur ... Muzaffarnagar ... Meerut ...	Sambalhera ...	15th January 1870 ...	Wazir Husain ... ... Musammat Haidari Begam ... Ditto Tarif-un-nissa ... Ditto Afzal-un-nissa ...	...	...	...
4	Muzaffarnagar ...	Bidauli ...	4th May 1880 ...	Muhammad Abbas Husain ...	...	...	...
23	Mainpuri ... Farukhabad ...	Arjunpur ...	27th August 1877 ...	Kunwar Chattar Singh ... Musammat Dan Kuar ... Kunwar Himanchal Singh ...	...	...	...
25	Mainpuri ...	Musammat Jasoda Kuar.	1st April 1889 ...	Musammat Jasoda Kuar ...	...	...	...
27	Etáwah ...	Bharthna ...	4th May 1875 ...	Jhandi Lal ... Harbans Lal ... Pirthipal Singh ...	...	...	...
35	Bijnor ...	Kiratpur ...	15th April 1879 ...	Musammat Kudsia Begam ... Do. Nafisa Begam ... Tawakkul Husain ...	...	...	...
37	Ditto ...	Kazi Imdad Ali ...	28th April 1881 ...	Musammat Mukhtar Begam ...	...	...	...
39	Ditto ...	Sahanpur ...	1st January 1881 ...	Dal Chand ...	...	...	...
48	Budaun ...	Sheikhupur ...	13th July 1886 ...	Musammat Zakat Fatima Begam ...	...	...	...
50	Bareilly ...	Ballia ...	8th December 1883 ...	Dwarka Prasad ... Lakshmi Narain ... Hanuman Sahai ...	...	...	...
55	Sháhjahánpur ... Pilibhít ...	Nahal ...	27th February 1875 ...	Rani Takan ... Dal Singh ... Kharag Singh ...	...	...	...
57	Sháhjahánpur ...	Mohanpur ...	March 1882 ...	Musammat Sobran Kuar ... Do. Ajab Kuar ... Do. Khuman Kuar ... Do. Khusal Kuar ... Do. Banni Begam ...	...	...	...
58	Ditto ...	Kakra ...	1st July 1883 ...		...	...	...
66	Cawnpore ...	Rawatpur ...	24th June 1873 ...	Rawat Sheo Ratan Singh ...	...	...	...
71	Ditto ...	Banajakha ...	10th November 1888 ...	Musammat Shiam Kuar ...	...	...	...
74	Fatehpur ...	Ata Husain Khan (a) ...	29th July 1875 ...	Tafazzul Husain ...	...	...	...
77	Bánda ...	Karhai ...	5th June 1880 ...	Bakar Husain ... Mehdi Husain ... Ali Husain ...	...	...	...
78	Ditto ...	Bahuri ...	5th April 1881 ...	Ganesh Singh ... Satrjit Singh ...	...	...	...
79	Ditto ...	Sultan Husain and Musammat Shams-un-nissa.	1st May 1881 ...	Sultan Husain ... Musammat Shams-un-nissa ...	...	...	...
80	Ditto ...	Diya Chakwa ...	4th January 1882 ...	Jhabbu Khan ... Rustam Khan ... Pahalwan Khan ... Mangal Khan ...	...	...	...
81	Ditto ...	Singhpur ...	1st July 1882 ...	Bhim Singh ... Arjun Singh ...	...	...	...
82	Ditto ...	Baghel ...	27th November 1884 ...	Ram Prasad Singh ... Pargan Singh ...	...	...	...
86	Allahabad ...	Shahpur ...	1st August 1882 ...	Raghuraj Singh (b) ...	...	...	...
87	Ditto ...	Bara (c) ...	7th May 1886 ...	Rája Banspat Singh ...	...	...	...
92	Azamgarh ... Jaunpur ... Benares ...	Sarai Mir ...	1st March 1880 ...	Muhammad Taqi	...	...	...
93	Mirzapur ...	Gopalpur, No. I ...	24th September 1875 ...	Bindeshwari Prasad Singh ...	...	...	...
95	Gházipur ...	Karim-ud-dinpur ...	5th July 1876 ...	Ganesh Sonar	...	...	...
99	Gorakhpur ..	Chaumukha ...	29th August 1879 ...	Sheo Dayal Chaube ... ... Srinath Chaube	...	...	...
103	Gorakhpur ... Ballia ... Saran (L. P.) ...	Majhauli ...	22nd November 1871 ...	Raja Udai Narain Mal (d) ...	...	...	...
107	Jhánsi ...	Chirgaon ...	11th July 1873 ...	Musammat Dip Kuar ...	...	...	...
116	Lalitpur ...	Lalitpur ...	25th June 1887 ...	Ditto Nand Rani ...	...	...	...
					Total ...	...	...

of the Court of Wards during the revenue year 1889-90—(continued).

Number of villages.						Total area.	Cultivated area.			Population.	Total income available for expenditure, as in column 18 of statement IV.	Excess of total available income over expenditure chargeable to profits as in column 27 of statement IV.	Remarks.
Revenue-paying.			Revenue-free.										
Whole villages.	Shares.	Patches.	Whole villages.	Shares.	Patches.		Irrigated.	Unirrigated.	Total.				
9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
by the Local Government on their own application to be disqualified their estates.											Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	
(*Vide* (*b*) Minors).													
Ditto.													
5	12	...	...	...	...	11,471	821	5,680	6,501	8,588	17,827 9 3	2,333 1 6	
1	4	...	...	...	...	2,404	476	1,090	1,566	2,098	8,220 9 4	1,478 1 0	
(*Vide* (*b*) Minors).													
Ditto.													
Ditto.													
105	12	...	...	...	...	33,920	45	21,491	21,536	35,256	1,25,887 4 7	59,902 1 8	
(*Vide* (*b*) Minors).													
Ditto.													
Ditto.													
Ditto.													
Ditto.													
27	3	...	...	...	...	16,853	4,197	5,017	9,214	16,139	70,702 0 9	18,118 15 4	
(*Vide* (*b*) Minors).													
5	...	...	...	...	...	3,077	81	1,633	1,714	3,015	2,497 10 0	27 12 3	(*a*) Released 24th March 1890.
1	2	...	...	...	...	3,707	42	2,050	2,092	781	5,713 0 8	1,435 7 3	
...	2	...	...	...	...	1,480	...	501	501	869	1,467 15 0	602 7 4	
2	...	...	...	...	...	2,362	...	1,107	1,107	1,105	3,003 5 3	542 1 10	
...	9	...	...	...	...	3,953	14	1,673	1,687	4,003	5,602 4 3	1,034 10 6	
1	3	...	...	1	...	6,174	...	2,833	2,833	3,319	6,955 10 1	955 1 10	
(*Vide* (*b*) Minors).													
17	44	...	...	...	...	5,776	147	3,279	3,426	3,265	15,258 9 6	3,499 14 2	
171	33	...	...	...	...	105,484	398	43,968	44,366	14,293	1,23,617 6 5	9,653 15 0	(*b*) Disappeared in March 1887. No trace has yet been discovered.
18	39	...	...	3	...	8,552	2,665	2,147	4,812	17,408	33,750 6 3	6,561 15 7	
...	5	...	...	...	...	5,940	2	1,673	1,675	787	1,806 1 6	1,005 11 9	(*c*) Mortgaged with possession to Partab Chand's estate.
...	1	...	...	...	...	2,217	312	1,560	1,872	3,973	1,851 0 8	813 11 9	
(*Vide* (*b*) Minors).													
126	5	...	...	...	...	63,747	21,151	16,848	37,999	67,030	1,70,233 3 10	80,538 10 11	(*d*) The Rája died on 7th April 1891, and has been succeeded by his minor grandson, on whose behalf management will be continued.
...	6	...	...	...	...	1,245	9	593	602	827	3,884 3 7	2,211 12 1	
...	7	...	...	1	...	4,522	134	1,606	1,740	4,556	2,998 6 0	1,592 13 10	
479	187	...	...	5	...	282,884	30,494	114,749	145,243	187,312	6,01,276 10 11	1,87,308 5 7	

STATEMENT No. I.—*Estates in charge or under the superintendence*

Serial number of estate.	District.	Name of estate.	Superintendence when assumed.	Proprietor.	Date of birth of minor.	Age of minor on the 30th September 1890.	
						Years.	Months.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
							Estate managed under
17	Muttra ...	Parshadi Lal ...	1st September 1889 ...	Parshadi Lal	...	...	...
					Total ...	...	...
							Encumbered estate managed by, but not
94	Mirzapur ...	Sangaband ...	23rd December 1888 ...	Sheo Balak	...	...	...
					Total ...	...	...
					GRAND TOTAL ...	...	...

of the Court of Wards during the revenue year 1889-90—(*concluded*).

Number of villages.						Total area.	Cultivated area.			Population.	Total income available for expenditure as in column 18 of statement IV.	Excess of total available income over expenditure chargeable to profits as in column 27 of statement IV.	Remarks.
Revenue-paying.			Revenue-free.										
Whole villages.	Shares.	Patches.	Whole villages.	Shares.	Patches.		Irrigated.	Unirrigated.	Total.				
9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
section 11, *Act XXXV of* 1858.											Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	
...	7	...	...	2	...	768	464	249	713	929	2,946 13 10	1,647 2 11	
...	7	...	...	2	...	768	464	249	713	929	2,946 13 10	1,647 2 11	
under the jurisdiction of, the Court of Wards.													
1	...	...	...	...	...	3,567	7	988	995	803	515 1 6	147 11 11	
1	...	...	...	...	...	3,567	7	988	995	803	515 1 6	147 11 11	
1,129	1,567	3	5	76	6	686,662	119,493	283,230	402,723	866,619	27,67,825 8 8	15,00,676 5 5	

STATEMENT No. II.—*Assets and liabilities of the estates on the 30th September* 1890

Division.	District.	Serial number of estate.	Name of estate.	Amount held in Government securities.	Debts secured by hypothecation or mortgage of property.	Debts not secured by hypothecation or mortgage of property.	Takávi advances.	Recoverable arrears of rent, &c.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
				Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
MEERUT.	Saháranpur ...	1	Raghunath Das ...	...	...	...	...	...
		2	Kunjpura ...	...	...	...	...	7,469 1 3
	Muzaffarnagar,	3	Sambalhera ...	6,000 0 0	...	180 13 6	...	2,498 3 5
		4	Bidauli ...	...	...	390 1 2	20 0 0	1,012 11 4
		5	Bhandura ...	35,000 0 0	30,594 0 0	1,250 14 8	...	4,725 7 6
		6	Ratheri ...	...	...	...	...	...
		7	Karana ...	...	...	198 0 0	...	12 0 0
		8	Karam Ali Khan ...	10,500 0 0	41,002 15 5	147 10 0	...	214 0 7
		9	Daurala ...	500 0 0	...	...	...	3 5 7
	Meerut ...	10	Hápur ...	16,000 0 0	...	...	...	242 1 7
		11	Ram Saran Das ...	22,000 0 0	17,287 10 6	2,203 11 0	169 0 0	825 13 4
		12	Kithauli ...	...	...	...	...	...
		13	Murari Lal ...	...	196 0 0	6,649 11 11	...	258 10 5
	Bulandshahr ...	14	Belaun ...	10,000 0 0	...	...	...	76 8 8
	Aligarh ...	15	Mohreni ...	6,500 0 0	0 1 0	330 12 3	...	983 15 10
		16	Lachman Singh* ...	...	...	...	...	...
			Total ...	1,06,500 0 0	89,080 10 11	11,351 10 6	189 0 0	18,321 15 6
AGRA.	Muttra ...	17	Parshadi Lal ...	...	...	303 8 5	...	244 10 3
	Agra ...	18	Parna ...	...	45 0 0	71 10 0	400 0 0	...
	Farukhabad ...	19	Khimsipur ...	30,000 0 0	91 5 3	579 8 8	310 0 3	516 3 0
		20	Abdul Majid Khan ...	2,000 0 0	...	794 7 0	...	10 10 1
		21	Guthena ...	...	...	...	...	7 4 6
		22	Muhammad Raza Khan*	...	...	...	...	70 1 8
	Mainpuri ...	23	Arjunpur ...	...	1,535 13 6	2,799 14 0	...	5,758 12 0
		24	Karauli ...	41,500 0 0	13,471 1 0	4,598 3 6	737 1 1	1,408 3 9
		25	Musammat Jasoda Kuar	...	...	...	...	1,502 15 6
	Etáwah ...	26	Chakkarnagar ...	...	...	...	...	50 0 0
		27	Bharthna ...	...	...	138 8 1	...	1,832 7 10
		28	Harchandpur ...	...	...	2,261 13 8	1,857 4 2	2,432 14 9
		29	Roshangpur ...	...	...	...	913 8 3	2,708 7 6
	Etah ...	30	Nagla Kisi ...	3,000 0 0	497 0 0	283 13 11	...	61 3 1
		31	Mati-ullah Khan ...	...	2,694 6 4	...	...	203 14 5
		32	Arthara ...	...	...	...	...	24 6 7
		33	Nagla Amin ...	...	28 12 3	47 8 3	...	269 2 5
		34	Chet Singh ...	...	...	...	...	1,462 7 8
			Total ...	76,500 0 0	18,363 6 4	11,878 15 6	4,217 13 9	18,563 13 0
ROHILKHAND.	Bijnor ...	35	Kiratpur ...	5,000 0 0	...	1,765 5 3	282 7 6	310 6 2
		36	Malakpur Dehri ...	6,000 0 0	...	104 7 11	249 14 8	201 3 7
		37	Kazi Imdad Ali ...	1,300 0 0	...	...	...	2 12 0
		38	Parbin Singh ...	...	...	...	...	9 11 6
		39	Sahanpur ...	40,000 0 0	...	7,859 9 4	101 0 8	2,826 6 0
		40	Pedi ...	...	...	...	...	43 3 6
		41	Musammat Anandi ...	...	...	...	...	...
	Moradabad ...	42	Budh Prakash ...	15,600 0 0	...	838 13 1	...	293 14 6
		43	Mubarak Husain ...	...	133 5 4	...	...	3 0 0
		44	Kunj Behari Lal and Sipahi Lal.	...	12,716 6 0	9,010 4 0	25 0 0	190 13 3
		45	Kakrauli ...	...	...	...	...	...
		46	Anand Sarup and Jagdish Sarup.*	...	...	...	...	470 15 6
		47	Rampur* ...	...	...	...	...	1,207 7 3
	Budaun ...	48	Sheikhupur ...	1,000 0 0	...	...	...	...
	Barcilly ...	49	Begam Mashuk Mahal	80,000 0 0	93,231 15 11	2,10,457 1 1	995 0 0	1,516 1 2
		50	Ballia ...	...	...	637 4 5	240 0 0	472 5 10
		51	Srigopal ...	2,500 0 0	...	103 9 3	...	243 11 10
		52	Madhopur* ...	...	15,818 4 0	...	1,000 0 0	2,619 8 8
		53	Durga Prasad ...	1,000 0 0	...	...	...	29 12 3
		54	Narainpur ...	1,000 0 0	2,224 14 5	137 10 0	1 5 9	1,113 5 0
		55	Nahal	...	...	618 3 8	178 8 0	3,185 1 9
		56	Fakhr-ud-din Khan ...	...	...	237 7 8	...	292 1 8
		57	Mohanpur ...	...	...	38 6 0	...	790 3 2
		58	Kakra	...	1,300 0 0	2,719 11 4	...	3,284 15 7
	Sháhjahánpur...	59	Azizganj ...	...	308 2 0	3,323 7 6	24 12 0	7,168 10 2
		60	Dhukri	...	...	...	...	13 11 9
		61	Bamrauli† ...	...	...	584 5 1	...	1,054 9 3
		62	Zafar Ali Khan ...	...	...	...	...	419 15 3
		63	Khameria ...	5,000 0 0	6,196 2 7	10,804 0 5	...	3,214 5 8
		64	Bhatpura Rasúlpura ...	...	1,187 1 0	2,043 8 2	57 11 9	5,337 9 1
		65	Khiriya Rasúlpur* ...	...	...	65 0 0	...	545 14 6
			Total ...	1,58,400 0 0	1,33,116 3 3	2,51,298 2 2	3,155 12 4	36,861 11 10

* New estate.

† The figures against Bamrauli have been entered

STATEMENT No. II.—*Assets and liabilities of the estates on the 30th September* 1890,

Division.	District.	Serial number of estate.	Name of estate.	Assets. Amount held in Government securities.	Debts secured by hypothecation or mortgage of property.	Debts not secured by hypothecation or mortgage of property.	Takávi advances.	Recoverable arrears of rent, &c.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
				Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
ALLAHABAD.	Cawnpore ...	66	Rawatpur ...	...	12,208 11 1	3,613 9 0	30 0 0	4,238 0 10
		67	Ratanpur ...	...	...	...	...	72 11 10
		68	Darwapur ...	...	...	...	...	123 1 10
		69	Sakarwan ...	5,500 0 0	...	20 0 0	...	509 4 7
		70	Misri Lal ...	...	1,187 7 2	80 7 0	246 0 0	1,773 5 1
		71	Banajakha ...	...	1,427 11 4	...	...	26 4 2
	Fatehpur ...	72	Wahid-un-nisa* ...	...	150 0 0	...	...	136 11 9
		73	Patti Shah ...	2,000 0 0	...	...	...	...
		74	Ata Husain Khan ...	...	...	324 4 11	...	2,133 11 1
		75	Arampur Bushi ...	...	...	37 6 7	155 0 0	1,435 3 4
	Bánda ...	76	Bhamba ...	...	...	...	...	169 10 8
		77	Karhai	...	...	...	141 0 0	993 8 6
		78	Bahuri	...	...	...	...	18 2 7
		79	Sultan Husain and Musammat Shams-un-nisa.	...	...	363 8 7	19 11 0	702 11 3
		80	Diya Chakwa ...	...	...	...	83 13 0	910 6 4
		81	Singhpur ...	...	...	...	44 7 3	1,182 5 3
		82	Baghel	...	...	...	...	51 5 6
	Allahabad ...	83	Sarai Pitha ...	...	71 5 4	380 3 11	...	764 2 5
		84	Gambhira ...	...	...	278 15 2	...	347 2 10
		85	Partab Chand ...	1,21,800 0 0	2,95,407 12 2	1,16,635 11 2	50 0 0	5,701 13 5
		86	Sháhpur ...	...	78 1 5	160 10 10	...	20 9 10
		87	Bara ...	...	3,391 11 4	19,667 6 4	368 14 0	8,280 10 5
	Jaunpur ...	88	Pathana ...	1,000 0 0	7,581 8 0	...	...	46 7 9
		89	Ram Charan ...	...	...	8 5 0	...	8 7 3
		90	Batuk Prasad ...	...	813 9 5	1,639 2 9	...	2,402 7 8
		91	Hardial Lohar ...	800 0 0	...	...	...	...
			Total ...	1,31,100 0 0	3,22,317 13 3	1,43,209 11 3	1,138 13 3	32,048 6 2
BENARES.	Azamgarh ...	92	Sarai Mír ...	...	...	381 15 4	...	3,133 13 3
	Mirzapur ...	93	Gopalpur, No. I. ...	...	...	...	...	...
		94	Sangaband ...	...	...	...	...	10 15 0
	Gházipur ...	95	Karim-ud-dinpur ...	(a)1,600 0 0	...	...	...	11 9 0
		96	Anapur ...	68,000 0 0	...	40,360 14 2	40 0 0	8,479 2 6
		97	Lakshmi Narain ...	...	...	4,137 14 10	...	279 6 4
		98	Kodai ...	...	...	...	...	...
	Gorakhpur ...	99	Chaumukha ...	7,500 0 0	...	...	...	579 11 7
		100	Bánsgaon ...	26,000 0 0	...	138 4 6	...	1,905 0 5
		101	Dumri ...	78,000 0 0	17,273 13 4	55 4 0	193 12 9	3,188 5 5
		102	Narharpur ...	...	...	291 9 0	...	1,241 10 8
		103	Majhauli ...	...	...	6,775 14 5	...	32,536 10 8
		104	Sarju Prasad ...	1,48,200 0 0	1,80,880 12 0	2,18,534 1 2	77 0 0	59,257 2 7
		105	Brijnandan Das ...	...	37,776 3 0	40,206 1 3	...	3,263 3 4
	Basti ...	106	Fida Husain ...	2,500 0 0	...	...	261 15 6	38 3 5
			Total ...	3,31,800 0 0	2,35,936 12 4	3,10,881 14 8	572 12 3	1,13,924 14 2
JHÁNSI.	Jhánsi ...	107	Chirgaon ...	3,000 0 0	128 10 10	31 9 10	...	121 15 0
	Jalaun ...	108	Kukurgaon ...	1,700 0 0	...	17 12 0	517 8 3	914 8 10
		109	Beona ...	700 0 0	...	...	...	...
		110	Dhagwan Khurd, No. I. ...	6,300 0 0	1,263 1 9	140 8 0	108 6 8	274 6 9
		111	Dhagwan Khurd, No. II.,	500 0 0	1,263 1 9	140 8 0	100 0 0	233 13 6
	Lalitpur ...	112	Karora ...	...	...	...	...	40 12 5
		113	Mailwár Andela ...	...	...	...	...	42 6 6
		114	Manikju and Dulaju ...	...	...	...	...	...
		115	Pahalwan Singh* ...	As there were no receipts and charges, no accounts were received.				
		116	Lalitpur ...	...	...	...	...	297 6 7
			Total ...	12,200 0 0	2,654 14 4	330 5 10	725 14 11	1,925 5 7
			GRAND TOTAL ...	8,16,500 0 0	8,01,463 12 5	7,28,950 11 11	10,000 2 6	2,21,646 2 3

* Now estate.

(a) Includes Rs. 600 invested in railway shares.

exclusive of the value of landed property, stock and houses—(concluded).

		Liabilities.				Balance.		
Cash balance in hand at the close of the year.	Total.	Government loans.	Court of Wards' loans.	Other debts.	Total.	Surplus.	Deficit.	Serial number of estate.
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	
Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	
1,619 0 0	21,709 4 11	...	...	...	...	21,709 4 11	...	66
0 6 9	73 2 7	...	...	...	...	73 2 7	...	67
0 9 5	123 11 3	...	890 7 6	...	890 7 6	...	766 12 3	68
66 11 5	6,096 0 0	...	...	...	...	6,096 0 0	...	69
118 10 0	3,405 13 3	...	...	1,800 0 0	1,800 0 0	1,605 13 3	...	70
240 7 6	1,694 7 0	...	...	...	...	1,694 7 0	...	71
11 0 9	297 12 6	...	...	248 0 0	248 0 0	49 12 6	...	72
580 10 0	2,580 10 0	...	...	...	...	2,580 10 0	...	73
...	2,458 0 0	...	...	...	...	2,458 0 0	...	74
1,094 4 4	2,721 14 3	...	...	6,195 9 5	6,195 9 5	...	3,473 11 2	75
13 3 6	182 14 2	...	...	...	...	182 14 2	...	76
312 10 2	1,447 2 8	...	...	1,723 7 6	1,723 7 6	...	276 4 10	77
73 5 10	91 8 5	...	1,791 10 3	...	1,791 10 3	...	1,700 1 10	78
62 1 10	1,148 0 8	...	4,457 5 5	...	4,457 5 5	...	3,309 4 9	79
441 1 0	1,435 4 4	...	5,522 8 1	...	5,522 8 1	...	4,087 3 9	80
409 15 7	1,636 12 1	...	...	18,458 5 5	18,458 5 5	...	16,821 9 4	81
52 7 3	103 12 9	...	1,574 1 8	...	1,574 1 8	...	1,470 4 11	82
5,588 13 9	6,804 9 5	...	...	...	...	6,804 9 5	...	83
873 7 11	1,499 9 11	...	4,131 15 1	...	4,131 15 1	...	2,632 5 2	84
15,908 14 2	5,55,504 2 11	...	...	...	...	5,55,504 2 11	...	85
383 1 2	642 7 3	...	...	26,577 10 1	26,577 10 1	...	25,935 2 10	86
1,285 1 10	32,993 11 11	...	2,35,148 2 4	1,383 10 7	2,36,531 12 11	...	2,03,538 1 0	87
1,082 3 10	9,710 3 7	...	...	...	...	9,710 3 7	...	88
...	16 12 3	...	...	389 0 0	389 0 0	...	372 3 9	89
155 5 8	5,010 9 6	...	...	4,680 11 10	4,680 11 10	329 13 8	...	90
57 5 5	857 5 5	...	...	...	...	857 5 5	...	91
30,430 15 1	6,60,245 11 0	...	2,53,516 2 4	61,456 6 10	3,14,972 9 2	6,09,656 3 5	2,64,388 1 7	
849 18 6	4,365 10 1	...	...	85,001 12 7	85,001 12 7	...	80,636 2 6	92
244 7 3	244 7 3	...	...	1,300 5 6	1,300 5 6	...	1,055 14 3	93
...	10 15 0	2,781 3 7	...	...	2,781 3 7	...	2,770 4 7	94
97 11 9	1,709 4 9	...	...	...	...	1,709 4 9	...	95
39,997 9 5	1,56,877 10 1	...	...	300 2 0	300 2 0	1,56,577 8 1	...	96
781 12 11	5,199 2 1	...	...	3,902 4 10	3,902 4 10	1,296 13 3	...	97
30 12 7	30 12 7	...	...	...	...	30 12 7	...	98
1,860 9 1	9,940 4 8	...	...	...	...	9,940 4 8	...	99
6,971 1 8	35,014 6 7	...	...	...	...	35,014 6 7	...	100
10,661 6 3	1,09,372 9 9	...	...	...	...	1,09,372 9 9	...	101
368 11 2	1,901 14 10	...	...	1,700 0 0	1,700 0 0	201 14 10	...	102
11,593 3 5	50,845 12 6	27,373 11 2	...	...	27,373 11 2	23,472 1 4	...	103
...	6,06,948 15 9	...	...	172 8 0	172 8 0	6,06,776 7 9	...	104
2,748 13 4	83,994 4 11	...	...	52,507 0 6	52,507 0 6	31,487 4 5	...	105
224 6 2	3,024 9 1	...	...	...	...	3,024 9 1	...	106
76,370 6 6	10,69,480 11 11	30,154 14 9	...	1,44,884 1 5	1,75,039 0 2	9,78,904 1 1	84,462 5 4	
961 12 1	4,243 15 9	...	...	...	...	4,243 15 9	...	107
430 15 10	3,580 12 11	...	...	...	...	3,580 12 11	...	108
366 7 5	1,066 7 5	...	...	...	...	1,066 7 5	...	109
1,542 0 5	9,628 7 7	...	...	...	...	9,628 7 7	...	110
194 6 3	2,431 13 6	...	...	...	...	2,431 13 6	...	111
...	40 12 5	...	...	...	...	40 12 5	...	112
24 5 8	66 12 2	...	...	...	...	66 12 2	...	113
111 10 11	111 10 11	...	...	16 12 6	16 12 6	94 14 5	...	114
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	115
1,115 6 8	1,412 13 3	...	404 0 8	835 2 3	1,239 2 11	173 10 4	...	116
4,747 1 3	22,583 9 11	...	404 0 8	851 14 9	1,255 15 5	21,327 10 6	...	
4,67,856 9 8	30,46,417 6 9	51,919 12 5	3,34,405 4 10	4,38,534 9 6	8,24,859 10 9	28,26,998 9 3	6,05,440 13 3	

STATEMENT No. III.—*Showing the rental demand, collections*

Division.	District.	Serial number of estate.	Name of estate.	Full current rental of the year.	Demand at commencement of the year. Current.	Arrears.	Total.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
				Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
MEERUT.	Saháranpur ...	1	Raghunath Das	1,077 14 9	1,077 14 9	...	1,077 14 9
		2	Kunjpura	8,091 0 3	8,091 0 3	6,974 6 9	15,065 7 0
	Muzaffarnagar ...	3	Sambalhera	19,576 5 9	(a)19,491 5 9	(b)342 1 5	19,833 7 2
		4	Bidauli	7,622 2 5	7,622 2 5	(c)349 11 4	7,971 13 9
		5	Bhandura	36,352 2 4	(d)36,034 6 11	(e)3,148 2 11	39,182 9 10
		6	Ratheri	144 5 11	144 5 11	12 8 0	156 13 11
		7	Karana	50 0 0	50 0 0	...	50 0 0
	Meerut ...	8	Karam Ali Khan ...	30,783 2 0	(f)30,671 5 6	(g)202 1 8	30,873 7 2
		9	Daurala	1,141 6 3	1,141 6 3	...	1,141 6 3
		10	Hapur	4,790 8 11	4,790 8 11	99 0 0	4,889 8 11
		11	Ram Saran Das	19,441 7 8	(h)19,156 13 8	(i)637 4 6	19,794 2 2
		12	Kithauli	808 8 0	808 8 0	...	808 8 0
		13	Murari Lal	6,316 3 3	(j) 6,305 10 6	351 8 8	6,657 3 2
	Bulandshahr ...	14	Belaun	1,781 3 6	1,781 3 6	4 0 0	1,785 3 6
	Aligarh ...	15	Mohreni	9,423 0 5	(k) 9,397 8 5	(l)148 3 6	9,545 11 11
		16	Lachman Singh* ...	...	...	...	...
			Total ...	1,47,399 7 5	1,46,564 4 9	12,269 0 9	1,58,833 5 6
AGRA.	Muttra ...	17	Parshadi Lal	2,009 2 0	(aa)2,002 14 3	83 15 9	2,086 14 0
	Agra ...	18	Parna	11,788 15 5	(m)11,768 15 5	10 0 0	11,778 15 5
	Farukhabad ...	19	Khimsipur	...	...	514 11 0	514 11 0
		20	Abdul Majid Khan ...	525 11 4	(n) 517 11 6	(o)8 7 1	526 2 7
		21	Guthena	610 14 1	(p) 610 13 9	(q)0 0 3	610 14 0
		22	Muhammad Raza Khan* ...	418 12 8	418 12 8	208 3 4	627 0 0
	Mainpuri ...	23	Arjunpur	16,004 10 9	(r)15,883 11 7	(s)4,641 12 3	20,525 7 10
		24	Karauli	29,827 11 8	(t) 29,244 8 0	(u)4,156 12 1	33,401 4 1
		25	Musammat Jasoda Kuar ...	6,061 15 2	6,061 15 2	(v)1,172 9 1	7,234 8 3
	Etáwah ...	26	Chakkarnagar	30,720 4 5	30,720 4 5	222 0 0	30,942 4 5
		27	Bharthna	2,962 2 7	2,962 2 7	(w)957 13 4	3,919 15 11
		28	Harchandpur	33,208 15 2	(x)33,020 13 8	(y)2,880 10 10	35,901 8 6
		29	Roshangpur	11,685 9 0	11,685 9 0	(z)1,650 15 7	13,336 8 7
	Etah	30	Nagla Kisi	859 9 3	(bb) 763 1 9	(cc)92 10 10	855 12 7
		31	Mati-ullah Khan ...	445 9 2	445 0 2	(dd)253 1 10	698 2 0
		32	Arthara	817 1 6	817 1 6	(ee)24 1 0	841 2 6
		33	Nagla Amin	1,410 12 2	1,410 12 2	(ff)148 10 5	1,559 6 7
		34	Chet Singh	2,807 13 10	2,807 13 10	1,565 10 10	4,373 8 8
			Total ...	1,52,165 1 2	1,51,142 1 5	18,592 1 6	1,69,734 2 11

* New estate.

(a) Decrees obtained for Rs. 85-0-0.

(b) Ditto Rs. 64-5-6 and Rs. 32-8-0 remitted.

(c) Rs. 132-4-6 remitted.

(d) Decrees obtained for Rs. 317-11-5.

(e) Ditto „ Rs. 302-13-2 and Rs. 330-12-6 remitted.

(f) Ditto „ Rs. 111-12-6.

(g) Rs. 256-14-8 remitted.

(h) Decrees obtained for Rs. 284-10-0.

(i) Rs. 594-9-6 remitted.

(j) Decrees obtained for Rs. 10-8-9.

(k) Ditto Rs. 25-8-0.

(l) Ditto Rs. 31-0-0 and Rs. 97-1-2 remitted.

(m) Ditto Rs. 20-0-0.

(n) Rs. 7-15-10 realized in advance.

(o) Rs. 4-3-6 remitted.

(p) Rs. 0-0-4 realized in advance.

and balances of the several estates for the revenue year 1889-90.

Collections during the year.			Balance.			Percentage of collections to demand.			Advance collections made in year under report on account of the following year.	Serial number of estate.
Current.	Arrears.	Total.	Current.	Arrears.	Total.	Current.	Arrears.	Total.		
9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	
Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p	Rs. a. p	Rs. a. p.					
1,077 14 9	...	1,077 14 9	...	...	...	100·	...	100·	...	1
5,672 10 4	1,923 11 5	7,596 5 9	2,418 5 11	5,050 11 4	7,469 1 3	70·	28·	50·	...	2
17,238 0 8	238 12 8	17,476 13 4	2,253 5 1	103 4 9	2,356 9 10	88·	70·	88·	...	3
6,649 1 5	222 1 6	6,871 2 11	973 1 0	127 9 10	1,100 10 10	87·	63·	86·	...	4
32,589 3 0	1,514 6 4	34,103 9 4	3,445 3 11	1,633 12 7	5,079 0 6	90·	48·	87·	...	5
144 5 11	12 8 0	156 13 11	...	...	...	100·	100·	100·	...	6
50 0 0	...	50 0 0	...	...	...	100·	...	100·	...	7
30,295 12 2	162 9 8	30,458 5 10	375 9 4	39 8 0	415 1 4	99·	81·	98·	...	8
1,141 6 3	...	1,141 6 3	...	...	...	100·	...	100·	...	9
4,548 7 4	99 0 0	4,647 7 4	242 1 7	...	242 1 7	95·	100·	95·	...	10
18,152 10 11	253 7 6	18,406 2 5	1,004 2 9	383 13 0	1,387 15 9	95·	40·	93·	...	11
808 8 0	...	808 8 0	...	...	...	100·	...	100·	...	12
6,187 3 7	351 8 8	6,538 12 3	118 6 11	...	118 6 11	98·	100·	98·	...	13
1,735 4 10	4 0 0	1,739 4 10	45 14 8	...	45 14 8	97·	100·	97·	...	14
8,893 9 8	99 8 7	8,993 2 3	503 14 9	48 10 11	552 9 8	95·	67·	94·	...	15
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	16
1,35,184 2 10	4,881 10 4	1,40,065 13 2	11,380 1 11	7,387 6 5	18,767 8 4	92·	40·	88·	...	
1,816 5 0	83 15 9	1,900 4 9	186 9 3	...	186 9 3	91·	100·	91·	...	17
11,768 15 5	10 0 0	11,778 15 5	...	...	...	100·	100·	100·	...	18
...	...	...	...	514 11 0	514 11 0	...	...	...	...	19
507 12 1	...	507 12 1	9 15 5	8 7 1	18 6 6	98·	...	97·	5 15 10	20
601 0 9	0 0 3	601 1 0	9 13 0	...	9 13 0	98·	100·	98·	0 10 5	21
366 6 11	0 10 0	367 0 11	52 5 9	207 9 4	259 15 1	87·	...	58·	13 13 3	22
12,711 7 4	1,278 12 2	13,990 3 6	3,172 4 3	3,363 0 1	6,535 4 4	80·	27·	68·	...	23
27,341 4 11	677 11 2	28,019 0 1	1,903 3 1	3,479 0 11	5,382 4 0	93·	16·	84·	...	24
4,715 0 7	374 5 8	5,089 6 3	1,346 14 7	798 3 5	2,145 2 0	78·	32·	70·	...	25
30,720 4 5	172 0 0	30,892 4 5	...	50 0 0	50 0 0	100·	77·	99·	...	26
2,183 9 11	93 13 8	2,277 7 7	778 8 8	863 15 8	1,642 8 4	74·	10·	58·	...	27
31,333 13 0	2,125 5 3	33,459 2 3	1,687 0 8	755 5 7	2,442 6 3	95·	74·	93·	...	28
9,801 0 7	408 6 4	10,209 6 11	1,884 8 5	1,242 9 3	3,127 1 8	84·	25·	77·	5 11 6	29
717 9 1	81 7 2	799 0 10	45 8 8	11 3 1	56 11 9	94·	88·	93·	...	30
322 11 4	28 0 3	350 11 7	122 4 10	225 1 7	347 6 5	73·	11·	50·	...	31
796 2 3	13 13 3	809 15 6	20 15 3	10 3 9	31 3 0	97·	58·	96·	...	32
1,123 11 11	105 12 6	1,229 8 5	287 0 3	42 13 11	329 14 2	80·	71·	79·	...	33
1,321 9 0	290 1 3	1,611 10 3	1,486 4 10	1,275 9 7	2,761 14 5	47·	19·	37·	...	34
1,38,148 12 6	5,744 3 3	1,43,892 15 9	12,993 4 11	12,847 14 3	25,841 3 2	91·	31·	85·	26 3 0	

(*q*) Rs. 2-8-2 remitted.

(*r*) Decrees obtained for Rs. 120-15 2.

(*s*) Ditto for Rs. 663-2-6 and Rs. 3,369-5-9 remitted.

(*t*) Ditto for Rs. 583-3-8.

(*u*) Ditto for Rs. 793-2-8 and Rs. 56-4-3 remitted.

(*v*) Rs. 204-9-3 remitted.

(*w*) Rs. 6-0-0 remitted.

(*x*) Rs. 1-0-0 realized in advance and decrees obtained for Rs. 187-1-6.

(*y*) Rs. 3,294-10-8 remitted and decrees obtained for Rs. 905-7-0.

(*z*) Rs. 53-4-0 since found due and added, and Rs. 2,240-3-8 remitted.

(*aa*) Decrees obtained for Rs. 6-3-9.

(*bb*) Ditto for Rs. 96-7-6.

(*cc*) Ditto " 5-0-0.

(*dd*) Rs. 120-11-4 remitted.

(*ee*) Rs. 10-8-0 remitted.

(*ff*) Rs. 52-5-5 remitted.

STATEMENT No. III.—*Showing the rental demand, collections*

Division.	District.	Serial number of estate.	Name of estate.	Full current rental of the year.	Demand at commencement of the year. Current.	Arrears.	Total.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
				Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
ROHILKHAND.	Bijnor ...	35	Kiratpur	8,791 10 6	(a) 8,788 6 10	(b) 245 11 8	9,034 2 6
		36	Malakpur Dehri	8,932 5 10	(c) 8,926 13 10	330 7 2	9,257 5 0
		37	Kazi Imdad Ali	598 4 6	(d) 593 0 6	...	593 0 6
		38	Parbin Singh	2,342 15 5	(e) 2,342 15 3	34 14 8	2,377 13 11
		39	Sahanpur	1,04,567 5 10	(f) 1,02,177 14 3	(g) 2,458 8 6	1,04,636 6 9
		40	Pedi	1,271 2 9	1,271 2 9	22 0 0	1,293 2 9
		41	Musammat Anandi‡ ...	...	...	...	...
	Moradabad ...	42	Budh Prakash	5,121 1 5	5,121 1 5	(h) 255 3 10	5,376 5 3
		43	Mubarak Husain ...	429 6 0	429 6 0	...	429 6 0
		44	Kunj Behari Lal & Sipahi Lal,	2,791 15 3	(i) 2,755 15 3	(j) 13 0 0	2,768 15 3
		45	Kakrauli†	...	...	...	...
		46	Anand Sarup & Jagdish Sarup.*	449 2 11	449 2 11	470 15 6	920 2 5
		47	Rampur*	2,399 6 3	2,399 6 3	1,293 13 0	3,693 3 3
	Budaun ...	48	Sheikhupur	2,696 10 1	2,696 10 1	.	2,696 10 1
	Bareilly ...	49	Begam Mashuk Mahal ...	28,177 14 0	(k) 28,143 4 6	(l) 2,887 9 7	31,030 14 1
		50	Ballia	7,564 6 9	(m) 7,316 15 9	(n) 738 6 1	8,055 5 10
		51	Srigopal	3,730 2 0	(o) 3,657 8 0	(p) 417 13 3	4,075 5 3
		52	Madhopur*	20,551 13 8	20,551 13 8	22,315 14 11	42,867 12 7
		53	Durga Prasad	1,607 14 11	(q) 1,607 12 2	(r) 23 4 2	1,631 0 4
	Sháhjahánpur ...	54	Narainpur	4,566 1 0	(s) 4,516 14 9	(t) 832 3 0	5,349 1 9
		55	Nahal	26,549 11 10	(u) 26,436 10 11	(v) 2,494 13 6	28,931 8 5
		56	Fakhr-ud-din Khan ...	2,542 15 1	(w) 2,139 4 2	(x) 145 8 1	2,284 12 3
		57	Mohanpur	7,638 4 4	(y) 7,633 10 5	(z) 414 4 4	8,047 14 9
		58	Kakra	10,863 10 11	(aa) 10,859 6 8	(bb) 1,622 7 9	12,481 14 5
		59	Azizganj	28,835 7 6	(cc) 28,750 15 6	(dd) 5,916 1 11	34,667 1 5
		60	Dhukri	101 3 9	(ee) 99 3 0	(ff) 17 3 0	116 6 0
		61	Bamrauli**	765 7 3	(gg) 763 10 0	(rr) 1,188 3 0	1,951 13 0
		62	Zafar Ali Khan	792 10 4	(gg) 791 12 7	(kk) 242 8 1	1,034 4 8
		63	Khameria	10,989 9 2	(ll) 10,970 8 0	(mm) 2,455 2 5	13,425 10 5
		64	Bhatpura Rasulpura ...	20,402 12 6	(nn) 20,270 0 2	(oo) 2,677 15 3	22,947 15 5
		65	Khiriya Rasulpur * ...	827 4 7	(pp) 823 13 1	477 4 9	1,301 1 10
			Total ...	3,16,898 12 4	3,13,285 2 8	49,991 5 5	3,63,276 8 1

* New estates.

† The lambardár collects the rents and after payment of the Government revenue, &c., makes

‡ The property has been in dispute and has only since the close

** The figures against Bamrauli have been entered subject

(a) Rs. 3-3-8 realized in advance.

(b) „ 6-0-7 remitted.

(c) „ 5-8-0 realized in advance.

(d) „ 5-4-0 do. do.

(e) „ 0-0-2 do. do.

(f) „ 2,374 7-7 realized in advance and decrees obtained for Rs. 15-0-0.

(g) Rs. 21 since found due and added; Rs. 2,344-7-11 remitted and decrees obtained for Rs. 957-5-10.

(h) Rs. 132-0-4 remitted.

(i) Decrees obtained for Rs. 36-0-0.

(j) Rs. 174-7-10 remitted.

(k) „ 34-9-6 realized in advance.

(l) „ 307-4-7 remitted, and decrees obtained for Rs. 81-5-0.

(m) Decrees obtained for Rs. 247-6-3 and Re. 0-0-9 realized in advance.

(n) Ditto 288-12-0 and Rs. 320-7-6 remitted.

(o) Ditto for Rs. 72-10-0.

(p) Ditto Rs. 12-11-3 and Rs. 39-5-3 remitted.

(q) Re. 0-2-9 realized in advance.

(r) „ 1-9-0 remitted.

(s) Rs. 49-2-3 realized in advance.

(t) The difference between this and last year's demand is due to partition.

(u) Rs. 34 remitted, decrees obtained for Rs. 4-10-0 and Rs. 74-6-11 realized in advance.

(v) Rs. 169-7-9 remitted, and decrees obtained for Rs. 510-9-0.

(w) Rs. 403 10-11 remitted.

(x) Rs. 41-15-4 remitted.

and balances of the several estates for the revenue year 1889-90—(continued.)

Collections during the year.			Balance.			Percentage of collections to demand.			Advance collections made in year under report on account of the following year.	Serial number of estate.
Current.	Arrears.	Total.	Current.	Arrears.	Total.	Current.	Arrears.	Total.		
9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	
Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.				Rs. a. p.	
8,547 6 7	146 1 1	8,693 7 8	241 0 3	99 10 7	340 10 10	97·	59·	96·	137 5 4	35
8,908 13 2	98 9 2	9,007 6 4	18 0 8	231 14 0	249 14 8	99·	30·	97·	...	36
593 0 6	...	593 0 6	...	...	..	100·	...	100·	...	37
2,333 4 0	34 14 5	2,368 2 5	9 11 3	0 0 3	9 11 6	99·	100·	99·	...	38
1,00,339 4 5	910 5 5	1,01,249 9 10	1,838 9 10	1,548 3 1	3,386 12 11	98·	37·	97·	2,853 9 1	39
1,249 15 3	...	1,249 15 3	21 3 6	22 0 0	43 3 6	98·	...	97·	...	40
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	41
4,708 2 11	108 1 2	4,816 4 1	412 14 6	147 2 8	560 1 2	92·	42·	90·	0 4 0	42
429 6 0	...	429 6 0	...	...	...	100·	...	100·	...	43
2,585 9 9	1 0 0	2,586 9 9	170 5 6	12 0 0	182 5 6	94·	8·	93·	...	44
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	45
449 2 11	...	449 2 11	...	470 15 6	470 15 6	100·	...	49·	...	46
2,249 6 3	...	2,249 6 3	150 0 0	1,293 13 0	1,443 13 0	94·	...	61·	...	47
2,696 10 1	...	2,696 10 1	..	...	...	100·	...	100·	...	48
26,613 1 3	2,650 6 1	29,263 7 4	1,530 3 3	237 3 6	1,767 6 9	95·	92·	94·	49 2 8	49
6,790 12 9	636 11 6	7,427 8 3	526 3 0	101 10 7	627 13 7	93·	86·	92·	36 11 3	50
3,407 8 10	393 3 6	3,800 12 4	249 15 2	24 9 9	274 8 11	93·	94·	93·	16 11 3	51
19,523 0 3	8,359 7 5	27,882 7 8	1,028 13 5	13,956 7 6	14,985 4 11	95·	37·	65·	12 3 0	52
1,579 9 11	23 4 2	1,602 14 1	28 2 3	...	28 2 3	93·	100·	98·	...	53
4,090 14 9	172 6 9	4,263 5 6	426 0 0	659 12 3	1,085 12 3	91·	21·	80·	59 15 0	54
24,559 5 11	911 6 3	25,470 12 2	1,877 5 0	1,583 7 3	3,460 12 3	93·	36·	88·	278 12 0	55
1,919 3 11	60 4 8	1,979 8 7	220 0 3	85 3 5	305 3 8	90·	41·	87·	2 11 9	56
7,120 14 0	153 11 7	7,274 9 7	512 12 5	260 8 9	773 5 2	93·	37·	90·	48 14 1	57
8,907 5 6	379 15 4	9,287 4 10	1,952 1 2	1,242 8 5	3,194 9 7	82·	23·	74·	57 15 0	58
25,803 13 0	1,817 12 7	27,621 9 7	2,947 2 6	4,098 5 4	7,045 7 10	90·	31·	80·	200 5 4	59
95 8 6	7 7 6	103 0 0	3 10 6	9 11 6	13 6 0	96·	41·	89·	3 2 0	60
574 13 5	337 1 5	911 14 10	188 12 7	851 1 7	1,039 14 2	75·	28·	47·	0 8 3	61
501 8 3	17 15 2	519 7 5	290 4 4	224 8 11	514 13 3	63·	7·	50·	19 6 9	62
9,732 12 7	495 3 7	10,228 0 2	1,237 11 5	1,959 14 10	3,197 10 3	89·	20·	76·	49 12 0	63
17,363 4 3	477 15 3	17,841 3 6	2,906 11 11	2,200 0 0	5,106 11 11	86·	18·	78·	77 1 3	64
526 6 10	11 15 9	538 6 7	297 6 3	465 5 0	762 11 3	63·	2·	41·	39 13 0	65
2,94,200 1 9	18,205 3 9	3,12,405 5 6	19,085 0 11	31,786 1 8	50,871 2 7	94·	36·	86·	3,944 3 0	

over the net profits to the Collector. The columns for demands, collections and balances are consequently blank.

of the year come into the possession of the Court of Wards.

to further explanations which have been called for.

(*y*) Rs. 4 9-11 realized in advance.

(*z*) Rs. 70-14-0 on account of patwári cess were erroneously included under this head and Rs. 97-1-3 were remitted.

(*aa*) Rs. 4-4 3 realized in advance.

(*bb*) Rs. 98-0-0 on account of patwári cess were erroneously included under this head, decrees were obtained for Rs. 200-12-5 and Rs. 603-1-6 were remitted.

(*cc*) Decrees obtained for Rs. 52-6-3 and Rs. 32-1-9 realized in advance.

(*dd*) Decrees obtained for Rs. 485-6-6 and Rs. 205-15-0 remitted.

(*ee*) Rs. 2-0-9 realized in advance.

(*ff*) Rs. 7-4-9 remitted.

(*gg*) Rs. 0-13-9 realized in advance.

(*kk*) Rs. 3-14-9 on account of patwári cess were erroneously included under this head.

(*ll*) Rs. 19-1-2 realized in advance.

(*mm*) Decrees were obtained for Rs. 35-15-6; Rs. 88 1-3 on account of patwári cess were erroneously included under this head; and Rs. 7-2-0 were realized by the proprietor before estate came under management.

(*nn*) Rs. 102-13-3 remitted and Rs. 29-15-1 realized in advance.

(*oo*) Rs. 83-0-9 on account of patwári cess were erroneously included under this head; Rs. 25-2-9 were remitted; and decrees were obtained for Rs. 448-13-9.

(*pp*) Rs 3-7-6 realized in advance.

(*qq*) Rs. 1-13-3 realized in advance.

(*rr*) Rs. 336-4-1 remitted.

STATEMENT No. III.—*Showing the rental demand, collection's*

Division.	District.	Serial number of estate.	Name of estate.	Full current rental of the year.	Demand at commencement of the year. Current.	Arrears.	Total.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
				Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
ALLAHABAD.	Cawnpore ...	66	Rawatpur	57,803 10 3	(a)56,911 2 10	(b)4,335 7 11	61,246 10 9
		67	Ratanpur	1,462 14 0	1,462 14 0	(c)61 12 0	1,524 10 0
		68	Darwapur	947 5 9	947 5 9	(d)142 11 3	1,090 1 0
		69	Sakarwan	5,294 12 7	5,294 12 7	(e)719 14 2	6,014 10 9
		70	Misri Lal	5,272 15 11	5,272 15 11	(f)2,613 12 2	7,886 12 1
		71	Banajakha	786 2 3	786 2 3	(g)125 2 2	911 4 5
	Fatehpur ...	72	Wahid-un-nissa* ...	211 0 6	211 0 6	16 3 0	227 3 6
		73	Patti Shah	1,979 14 10	1,979 14 10	...	1,979 14 10
		74	Ata Husain Khan ...	3,835 13 0	3,835 13 0	607 3 0	4,443 0 0
		75	Arampur Bushi ...	18,570 14 9	18,570 14 9	952 1 4	19,523 0 1
	Bánda ...	76	Bhamba	860 7 3	860 7 3	(h)309 2 11	1,169 10 2
		77	Karhai	5,849 6 9	5,849 6 9	(i)923 4 5	6,772 11 2
		78	Bahuri	1,176 7 4	1,176 7 4	228 0 6	1,404 7 10
		79	Sultan Husain and Musammat Shams-un-nisa	3,084 8 9	3,084 8 9	(j)1,064 12 2	4,149 4 11
		80	Diya Chakwa	5,718 5 2	5,718 5 2	(k)2,261 4 10	7,979 10 0
		81	Singhpur	7,114 2 0	7,114 2 0	(l)1,729 14 0	8,844 0 0
		82	Baghel	624 12 3	624 12 3	(m)135 6 8	760 2 11
	Allahabad ...	83	Sarai Pitha	20,266 14 6	(n)19,752 9 1	(o)147 1 8	19,899 10 9
		84	Gambhira	26,649 7 6	(p)26,491 9 8	(q)158 15 10	26,650 9 6
		85	Partab Chand	1,59,031 5 2	(r)1,51,776 14 4	(s)2,968 4 3	1,54,745 2 7
		86	Shahpur	14,388 0 9	(t)13,810 0 9	(u)320 7 5	14,130 7 5
		87	Bara	1,25,509 1 8	(v)1,24,651 0 4	(w)6,849 1 4	1,31,500 1 8
	Jaunpur ...	88	Pathana	862 15 6	862 15 6	...	862 15 6
		89	Ram Charan	140 15 3	140 15 3	15 6 10	156 6 1
		90	Batuk Prasad	7,503 8 9	(x)7,494 3 9	(y)1,391 4 1	8,885 7 10
		91	Hardial Lohar	3 0 0	3 0 0	...	3 0 0
			Total ...	4,74,948 14 5	4,64,684 5 10	28,076 9 11	4,92,760 15 9
BENARES.	Azamgarh ...	92	Sarai Mir	32,873 0 6	(z)32,623 8 1	(aa)2,315 2 0	34,938 10 1
	Mirzapur ...	93	Gopalpur, No. I ...	1,555 3 2	1,555 3 2	...	1,555 3 2
		94	Sangaband	530 11 0	530 11 0	(bb)10 12 0	541 7 0
	Gházipur ...	95	Karim-ud-dinpur ...	1,208 10 5	1,208 10 5	8 1 9	1,216 12 2
		96	Anapur	79,576 1 11	(cc)78,228 8 8	(dd)10,250 8 10	88,479 1 6
		97	Lakshmi Narain ...	2,562 5 3	(ee)2,410 14 8	(ff)263 11 4	2,674 10 0
		98	Kodai	113 14 2	113 14 2	...	113 14 2
	Gorakhpur ...	99	Chaumukha	12,638 0 11	12,638 0 11	(gg)472 3 0	13,110 3 11
		100	Bansgaon	23,550 11 10	(hh)23,545 6 10	(ii)1,314 5 4	24,859 12 2
		101	Dumri	43,301 11 1	(jj)43,285 10 7	(kk)922 9 1	44,208 3 8
		102	Narharpur	6,696 5 8	(ll)6,501 3 4	(mm)408 11 6	6,909 14 10
		103	Majhauli	1,40,075 3 5	(nn)1,39,082 5 10	(oo)22,976 11 10	1,62,059 1 8
		104	Sarju Prasad	59,761 10 6	59,761 10 6	19,904 15 3	79,666 9 9
		105	Brijnandan Das	22,629 15 0	22,629 15 0	4,445 7 2	27,075 6 2
	Basti ...	106	Fida Husain	1,597 15 4	1,597 15 4	(pp)56 8 4	1,654 7 8
			Total ...	4,28,671 8 2	4,25,713 10 6	63,349 11 5	4,89,063 5 11

* New

(a) Decrees obtained for Rs. 892-7-5.
(b) Ditto Rs. 734-4-8 and Rs. 3,073-9-4 remitted.
(c) Rs. 35-14-2 remitted.
(d) Rs. 22-8-9 remitted.
(e) Rs. 584-12-2 remitted.
(f) Rs 1,020-2-10 remitted.
(g) Decrees obtained for Rs. 53-0-0.
(h) Rs. 55-6-3 remitted.
(i) Rs. 18-15-3 remitted.
(j) Rs. 9-9-6 remitted.
(k) Rs. 117-13-2 remitted.
(l) Rs. 93-13-3 remitted.
(m) Rs. 81-12-9 remitted.
(n) Decrees obtained for Rs. 514-5-5.
(o) Rs. 14-7-0 remitted.
(p) Decrees obtained for Rs. 157-13-10.
(q) Ditto „ 6-9-2 and Rs. 60-3-6 remitted.
(r) Ditto „ 7,254-6-10.
(s) Ditto „ 1,011-6-6 and Rs. 354-3-3 remitted.
(t) Ditto „ 578-0-9.
(u) Ditto „ 19-0-9 and Rs. 160-15-9 remitted.

and balances of the several estates for the revenue year 1889-90—(continued).

Collections during the year.			Balance.			Percentage of collections to demand.			Advance collections made in year under report on account of the following year.	Serial number of estate.
Current.	Arrears.	Total.	Current.	Arrears.	Total.	Current.	Arrears.	Total.		
9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	
Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.				Rs. a. p.	
58,531 6 10	1,800 5 8	55,331 12 6	3,379 12 0	2,535 2 3	5,914 14 3	95.	41·	90·	...	66
1,394 12 2	31 2 8	1,425 14 10	68 1 10	30 9 4	98 11 2	95.	50·	93·	...	67
836 2 0	109 15 2	946 1 2	111 3 9	32 12 1	143 15 10	88·	77·	87·	...	68
4,962 14 11	186 12 10	5,149 11 9	331 13 8	533 1 4	864 15 0	94·	26·	86·	...	69
5,070 4 4	253 3 0	5,323 7 4	202 11 7	2,360 9 2	2,563 4 9	96·	10·	67·	...	70
781 8 8	57 1 5	838 10 1	4 9 7	68 0 9	72 10 4	99·	46·	92·	...	71
88 13 1	3 0 8	91 13 9	122 3 5	13 2 4	135 5 9	42·	19·	40·	...	72
1,979 14 10	...	1,979 14 10	...	...	..	100·	...	100·	...	73
2,280 4 5	29 0 6	2,309 4 11	1,555 8 7	578 2 6	2,133 11 1	59·	5·	52·	...	74
17,407 12 4	159 11 1	17,567 7 5	1,163 2 5	792 6 3	1,955 8 8	94·	17·	90·	...	75
732 12 0	49 4 0	782 0 0	127 11 3	259 14 11	387 10 2	85·	16·	67·	...	76
5,213 14 0	263 6 9	5,477 4 9	635 8 9	659 13 8	1,295 6 5	89·	29·	81·	125 0 0	77
1,161 1 4	223 10 3	1,384 11 7	15 6 0	4 6 3	19 12 3	99·	98·	99·	...	78
2,580 13 0	182 4 3	2,763 1 3	503 11 9	882 7 11	1,386 3 8	84·	17·	66·	0 2 0	79
4,516 13 3	715 13 11	5,232 11 2	1,201 7 11	1,545 6 11	2,746 14 10	79·	31·	66·	...	80
5,994 8 6	592 12 6	6,587 5 0	1,119 9 6	1,137 1 6	2,256 11 0	84·	34·	74·	8 2 6	81
515 3 4	62 12 8	578 0 0	109 8 11	72 10 0	182 2 11	82·	46·	76·	...	82
18,985 13 8	135 1 1	19,120 14 9	766 11 5	12 0 7	778 12 0	96·	92·	96·	...	83
26,089 9 6	113 1 3	26,202 10 9	402 0 2	45 14 7	447 14 9	98·	71·	98·	...	84
1,47,567 4 2	1,092 11 0	1,48,659 15 2	4,209 10 2	1,875 9 3	6,085 3 5	97·	37·	96·	2 4 0	85
13,701 15 11	128 1 2	13,830 1 1	108 0 1	192 6 3	300 6 4	99·	40·	98·	...	86
1,18,016 12 9	1,623 14 11	1,19,640 11 8	6,634 3 7	5,225 2 5	11,859 6 0	95·	24·	91·	...	87
816 7 9	...	816 7 9	46 7 9	...	46 7 9	95·	...	95·	...	88
132 8 0	15 6 10	147 14 10	8 7 3	...	8 7 3	94·	100·	95·	...	89
5,969 5 2	541 3 7	6,510 8 9	1,524 14 7	850 0 6	2,374 15 1	80·	39·	73·	...	90
3 0 0	...	3 0 0	...	...	...	100·	...	100·	...	91
4,40,331 11 11	8,369 13 2	4,48,701 9 1	24,352 9 11	19,706 12 9	44,059 6 8	95·	30·	91·	135 8 6	
30,272 6 8	1,495 5 9	31,867 12 5	2,251 1 5	819 12 3	3,070 13 8	93·	65·	91·	...	92
1,555 3 2	...	1,555 3 2	...	...	...	100·	...	100·	...	93
491 7 0	7 8 6	498 15 6	39 4 0	3 3 6	42 7 6	92·	64·	92·	16 2 0	94
1,203 10 3	8 1 9	1,211 12 0	5 0 2	...	5 0 2	99·	100·	99·	...	95
73,920 9 2	3,006 3 0	76,926 12 2	4,307 15 6	7,244 5 10	11,552 5 4	94·	29·	87·	...	96
2,283 3 10	70 2 7	2,353 6 5	127 10 10	193 8 9	321 3 7	95·	26·	88·	...	97
113 14 2	...	113 14 2	...	...	...	100·	...	100·	...	98
12,139 15 10	269 6 10	12,409 6 8	498 1 1	202 12 2	700 13 3	96·	57·	95·	...	99
21,880 0 0	766 3 0	22,646 3 0	1,665 6 10	548 2 4	2,213 9 2	93·	58·	91·	...	100
40,407 0 11	407 14 0	40,814 14 11	2,878 9 8	514 11 1	3,393 4 9	93·	44·	92·	...	101
5,361 15 0	232 8 3	5,594 7 3	1,139 4 4	176 3 3	1,315 7 7	82·	57·	81·	...	102
1,15,835 11 1	12,980 8 7	1,28,816 3 8	23,246 10 9	9,996 3 3	33,242 14 0	83·	56·	79·	...	103
17,812 0 1	2,620 11 0	20,432 11 1	41,949 10 5	17,284 4 3	59,233 14 8	30·	13·	26·	...	104
20,731 13 0	3,041 15 6	23,773 12 6	1,898 2 0	1,403 7 8	3,301 9 8	92·	68·	88·	...	105
1,562 9 0	50 15 9	1,613 8 9	35 6 4	5 8 7	40 14 11	98·	91·	97·	...	106
3,45,671 7 2	24,957 8 6	3,70,628 15 8	80,042 3 4	38,392 2 11	1,18,434 6 3	81	39·	76·	16 2 0	

ectate.

(*v*) Decrees obtained for Rs. 858-1-4.
(*w*) Ditto „ 1,779-9-9 and Rs. 3,453-15-0 remitted.
(*x*) Ditto „ 9-5-0.
(*y*) Rs. 171-7-10 remitted.
(*z*) Decrees obtained for Rs. 249-8-5.
(*aa*) Ditto „ 301-11-9 and Rs. 106-11-1 remitted.
(*bb*) Rs. 101-14-0 remitted
(*cc*) Decrees obtained for Rs. 1,347-9-3.
(*dd*) Ditto „ 179-11-5 and Rs. 209-9-11 remitted.
(*ee*) Ditto „ 151-6-7.
(*ff*) Ditto „ 9-4-4.
(*gg*) Rs. 93-10-5 remitted.
(*hh*) Decrees obtained for Rs. 5-5-0.
(*ii*) Ditto „ 32-4-0 and Rs. 154-0-0 remitted and 3 pies found due and added.
(*jj*) Decrees obtained for Rs. 16-0-6.
(*kk*) Ditto „ 48-14-6 and Rs. 66-3-0 remitted.
(*ll*) Ditto „ 195-2-4.
(*mm*) Rs. 118-4-6 remitted.
(*nn*) Decrees obtained for Rs. 992-13-7.
(*oo*) Ditto „ 2,468-3-0 and Rs. 673-3-6 remitted.
(*pp*) Rs. 2-4-8 remitted.

STATEMENT No. III.—*Showing the rental demand, collections*

Division.	District.	Serial number of estate.	Name of estate.	Full current rental of the year.	Demand at commencement of the year.		
					Current.	Arrears.	Total.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
				Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
JHÁNSI	Jhánsi	107	Chirgaon	1,830 2 9	(a)1,830 2 3	74 14 0	1,905 0 3
	Jalaun	108	Kukurgaon	7,749 2 4	(b)7,722 5 4	(c)703 11 5	8,426 0 9
		109	Beona	2,242 4 9	2,242 4 9	109 0 0	2,351 4 9
		110	Dhagwan Khurd No. I ...	3,567 9 9	3,567 9 9	179 15 4	3,747 9 1
		111	Dhagwan Khurd No. II ...	2,139 1 6	2,139 1 6	(d)348 7 4	2,487 8 10
	Lalitpur ...	112	Karora	93 11 11	93 11 11	37 6 4	131 2 3
		113	Mailwar Andela	125 6 7	125 6 7	19 5 1	144 11 8
		114	Manikju and Dulaju ...	187 12 6	187 12 6	...	187 12 6
		115	Pahalwan Singh* ...	As there were	no receipts	and charges	no
		116	Lalitpur	2,042 8 3	2,042 8 3	89 9 11	2,132 2 2
			Total ...	19,977 12 4	19,950 14 10	1,562 5 5	21,513 4 3
			GRAND TOTAL ...	15,40,061 7 10	15,21,840 8 0	1,73,841 2 5	16,95,181 10 5

* New estate.

(a) Re. 0-0-6 realized in advance.

(b) Rs. 26-13-0 ditto.

(c) Decrees obtained for Rs. 16-4-0.

(d) Rs. 7-12-9 remitted.

STATEMENT No. IV.—*Showing the excess of total available income over expenditure*

Division.	Name of estate.	Serial number of estate.	Income available: Cash balance at close of last year.	Receipts from State loans or loans from Court of Wards' Estates.	Receipts from loans other than those mentioned in column 5.	Debts recovered.	Takávi advances recovered.	Rental of revenue paying land including collections of arrears and advance collections made on account of following year.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
			Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
MEERUT.	Raghunath Das ...	1	9 8 5	...	...	...	...	1,077 14 9
	Kunjpura	2	11,727 14 11	...	...	1,000 0 0	...	7,596 5 9
	Sambalhera	3	2,452 14 2	...	...	...	...	15,478 13 4
	Bidauli	4	2,230 13 7	...	...	45 0 0	...	6,590 3 11
	Bhandura	5	17,390 13 2	...	...	574 4 1	...	34,059 1 1
	Ratheri	6	90 15 9	...	...	...	...	117 13 7
	Karana	7	180 15 1	...	...	20 0 0	...	50 0 0
	Karam Ali Khan ...	8	4,498 11 9	...	...	7,340 0 0	...	30,409 12 1
	Daurala	9	455 8 0	...	...	...	...	1,141 6 3
	Hapur	10	996 3 9	...	...	...	...	4,647 7 4
	Ram Saran Das ...	11	3,936 14 1	...	...	2,360 9 8	61 4 0	18,384 9 5
	Kithauli	12	347 8 5	...	...	...	...	808 8 0
	Murari Lal	13	2,949 5 0	...	...	322 12 10	...	6,355 15 6
	Belaun	14	34 13 5	...	...	...	...	1,739 4 10
	Mohreni	15	3,970 6 11	...	...	43 12 7	...	8,993 2 3
	Lachman Singh ...	16	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Total ...		51,273 6 5	...	...	11,706 7 2	61 4 0	1,37,450 6 1
AGRA.	Parshadi Lal ...	17	...	...	...	...	...	746 1 2
	Parna	18	2,722 4 2	...	...	69 13 6	600 0 0	11,778 15 5
	Khimsipur	19	10,154 10 7	...	...	...	...	...
	Abdul Majid Khan ...	20	1,287 9 11	...	...	136 13 2	...	373 4 10
	Guthena	21	286 5 3	...	...	...	...	601 11 5
	Muhammad Raza Khan ...	22	...	...	...	...	...	380 14 2
	Arjunpur	23	557 9 6	...	...	236 8 1	...	13,990 3 6
	Karauli	24	5,042 11 0	...	...	5,473 7 0	2,310 14 0	28,019 0 1
	Musammat Jasoda Kuar	25	1,712 15 5	...	...	...	...	5,089 6 3
	Chakkarnagar ...	26	1,908 1 9	...	...	...	...	30,892 4 5
	Bharthna	27	474 1 3	...	...	...	28 0 9	2,277 7 7
	Harchandpur ...	28	8,476 15 6	35,000 0 0	...	213 3 6	1,925 0 0	33,459 2 3
	Roshangpur ...	29	845 13 0	...	...	2,126 9 1	207 6 9	10,215 2 5
	Nagla Kisi	30	339 11 4	...	...	75 0 0	...	799 0 10
	Matiullah Khan ...	31	907 4 8	...	...	204 5 1	...	350 11 7
	Arthara	32	178 6 2	...	...	...	...	809 15 6
	Nagla Amin ...	33	213 8 2	...	...	94 9 5	...	1,229 8 5
	Chet Singh	34	...	...	...	...	...	1,611 10 3
	Total ...		35,107 15 8	35,000 0 0	...	8,630 4 10	5,071 5 6	1,42,624 8 1
ROHILKHAND.	Kiratpur	35	3,413 9 8	...	...	78 1 1	20 0 0	8,013 5 6
	Malakpur Dehri ...	36	1,292 4 1	...	...	0 2 3	50 0 0	9,007 6 4
	Kázi Imdad Ali ...	37	316 14 2	...	...	...	...	593 0 6
	Parbin Singh ...	38	238 12 9	...	...	...	...	2,368 2 5
	Sahanpur	39	14,722 8 6	...	...	4,112 1 8	...	1,04,103 2 11
	Pedi	40	427 11 9	9,000 0 0	...	...	...	1,249 15 3
	Musammat Anandi ...	41	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Budh Prakash ...	42	1,695 11 3	...	...	...	...	4,654 5 4
	Mubarak Husain ..	43	336 13 7	...	...	...	...	* 429 6 0
	Kunj Behari Lal and Sipahi Lal.	44	578 14 9	...	...	224 11 9	25 0 0	2,541 7 9
	Kakrauli	45	28 0 0	...	...	...	...	...
	Anand Sarup and Jagdish Sarup.	46	...	...	...	...	...	249 2 11
	Rámpur	47	...	...	...	...	...	2,249 6 3
	Sheikhupur ...	48	1,044 9 8	...	...	...	...	* 2,696 10 1
	Begam Máshuk Mahál ...	49	31,213 4 11	...	...	36,881 12 11	301 0 0	28,248 1 1
	Ballia	50	271 14 8	...	...	228 11 9	10 0 0	7,464 3 6
	Srigopal	51	1,990 5 6	...	...	94 15 6	...	3,817 7 7
	Madhopur ...	52	1,75,971 2 6	...	...	2,026 0 0	1,526 0 6	27,894 10 8
	Durga Prasad ...	53	35 8 2	...	...	66 5 6	...	1,602 14 1
	Narainpur	54	1,433 15 4	...	...	52 1 3	30 0 3	4,323 4 6
	Nahal	55	686 12 2	...	...	113 11 6	77 10 0	25,749 8 2
	Fakhr-ud-din Khan .	56	330 7 0	...	...	109 6 5	...	1,982 4 4
	Mohanpur	57	735 12 9	...	...	...	8 0 0	7,323 7 8
	Kakra	58	445 10 2	...	...	206 8 1	...	9,289 3 2
	Azizganj	59	2,233 8 3	...	...	725 5 3	21 14 9	27,427 9 5
	Dhukri	60	2 15 1	...	...	...	...	106 2 0
	Bamrauli†	61	8,293 0 0	...	...	...	...	912 7 1
	Zafar Ali Khan ...	62	338 3 4	...	...	...	...	535 2 2
	Khameria ...	63	6,577 15 7	...	...	1,764 8 3	...	10,256 9 8
	Bhatpura Rasulpura ...	64	275 9 6	...	...	318 14 1	...	17,908 4 9
	Khiriya Rasulpur ...	65	...	...	...	...	...	578 3 7
	Total ...		2,54,931 15 1	9,000 0 0	...	47,003 5 3	2,069 9 6	3,13,574 14 8

* Includes the rental of revenue-free villages also.

† The figures against the Bamrauli estate have been entered

chargeable to profits for each estate during the revenue year 1889-90.

for expenditure.

Rental of revenue free land including collections of arrears and advance collections made on account of following year.	Rent of houses.	Occupier's rate.	Patwári cess.	Interest on Government securities.	Sale proceeds of land and houses.	Sale proceeds of Government and other securities.	Miscellaneous income including interest on loans.	Total.	Serial number of estate
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	
Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	
...	...	...	5 9 2	...	...	...	34 9 6	1,127 9 10	1
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	266 3 8	20,590 8 4	2
1,998 0 0	87 12 0	4,303 12 3	34 7 4	239 6 6	...	...	146 8 3	24,741 9 10	3
280 15 0	2 8 0	890 12 5	20 8 3	144 0 6	...	3,000 0 0	323 2 5	13,528 0 1	4
44 8 3	53 8 0	10,173 14 5	152 13 11	976 10 8	...	...	1,292 11 5	64,718 5 0	5
39 0 4	...	...	0 10 11	...	...	...	...	248 8 7	6
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	250 15 1	7
48 9 9	10 14 0	2,321 13 1	290 10 10	77 11 6	...	...	3,941 11 3	48,939 14 3	8
...	...	...	14 7 2	...	...	...	...	1,611 5 5	9
...	0 6 0	812 10 8	48 14 0	625 5 2	...	...	35 8 0	7,166 6 11	10
21 9 0	198 6 0	2,024 4 5	262 11 8	1,049 2 10	...	5,157 9 10	2,229 5 4	35,686 6 3	11
...	...	...	8 6 9	...	...	...	...	1,164 7 2	12
182 12 9	1,389 15 9	475 13 9	94 1 5	..	600 1 4	...	109 3 6	12,480 1 10	13
...	11 0 0	452 12 0	24 3 5	399 1 8	...	...	57 11 6	2,718 14 10	14
...	1 0 0	1,360 4 5	50 6 8	234 6 8	...	...	209 15 8	14,863 7 2	15
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	16
2,615 7 1	1,755 5 9	22,816 1 5	1,007 15 6	3,745 13 6	600 1 4	8,157 9 10	8,646 10 6	2,49,836 8 7	
1,154 3 7	978 12 11	...	7 0 2	...	...	...	60 12 0	2,946 13 10	17
...	...	...	120 12 4	...	...	...	415 3 0	15,707 0 5	18
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	10,154 10 7	19
140 7 1	0 2 0	...	1 2 11	...	3,472 14 2	...	5 12 0	5,418 2 1	20
...	...	...	6 4 1	...	...	...	6 4 0	900 8 9	21
...	...	...	4 8 7	...	...	...	...	385 6 9	22
...	...	2,840 4 0	38 11 0	...	...	...	164 5 2	17,827 9 3	23
...	485 11 1	2,065 2 9	55 13 9	1,476 9 11	947 12 1	...	2,379 3 5	48,256 5 1	24
...	82 2 0	1,324 8 11	...	...	...	...	11 8 9	8,220 9 4	25
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	156 4 0	32,956 10 2	26
...	...	391 14 8	6 13 2	...	...	...	40 3 10	3,218 9 3	27
...	40 0 0	5,195 2 7	199 7 7	...	...	...	3,050 7 2	87,559 6 7	28
...	...	1,104 6 8	8 0 3	...	...	...	1,645 15 11	16,153 6 1	29
...	...	103 14 1	...	116 14 0	...	...	33 7 1	1,467 15 4	30
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	75 10 11	1,538 0 3	31
...	...	...	1 9 6	...	...	...	...	989 15 2	32
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	253 14 7	1,791 8 7	33
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1,611 10 3	34
1,294 10 8	1,586 12 0	13,025 5 8	450 3 4	1,593 7 11	4,420 10 3	...	8,298 15 10	2,57,104 3 9	
817 7 6	3 12 3	...	93 10 6	199 8 0	...	...	83 14 3	12,723 4 9	35
...	60 0 0	233 13 5	89 14 11	209 10 0	...	...	27 11 6	10,970 14 6	36
...	53 0 0	...	6 3 0	62 14 3	...	...	1 0 0	1,032 15 11	37
...	...	...	23 13 7	...	...	...	8 5 2	2,639 1 11	38
...	6 8 0	...	826 9 7	...	349 7 11	...	1,766 14 0	1,25,887 4 7	39
...	...	...	12 10 5	...	836 0 0	...	100 0 0	11,626 5 5	40
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	41
162 2 9	303 10 0	...	25 2 9	631 12 4	...	...	1 2 11	7,473 15 4	42
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	766 3 7	43
45 2 0	21 8 0	...	10 15 0	...	...	...	1 8 0	3,449 3 3	44
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	30 0 0	58 0 0	45
200 0 0	...	...	...	...	2,550 0 0	...	1,815 9 9	4,814 12 8	46
...	950 11 6	...	...	...	2,109 5 0	...	35,089 5 6	40,398 12 3	47
...	...	...	34 9 9	10 0 0	...	...	...	3,785 13 6	48
1,064 8 11	2,965 8 4	...	174 14 5	10,880 5 4	...	2,05,665 13 8	10,884 3 4	3,28,279 8 11	49
...	15 0 0	...	78 6 6	...	...	...	75 9 9	8,143 14 2	50
...	165 9 0	65 3 9	9 8 3	99 12 10	...	...	26 11 2	6,269 9 7	51
...	109 8 0	581 14 8	24 2 6	...	4 6 10	...	27,053 7 9	2,35,201 5 5	52
...	8 3 9	13 13 7	8 6 10	40 0 0	...	...	3 2 6	1,778 6 5	53
...	33 13 6	...	42 15 9	30 0 0	...	...	1,884 15 0	7,831 1 7	54
...	93 13 9	...	348 14 1	...	...	...	57 4 0	27,127 9 8	55
...	21 0 0	...	19 13 3	...	28 0 0	...	54 8 0	2,545 7 0	56
...	55 10 0	...	131 11 9	...	...	...	74 4 8	8,328 14 10	57
56 0 8	31 8 4	...	115 12 0	...	...	...	138 4 1	10,282 14 6	58
394 5 6	123 2 0	...	309 15 5	...	...	...	449 5 1	31,685 1 8	59
...	...	...	0 15 6	...	...	...	...	110 0 7	60
...	...	...	6 1 6	...	3,679 0 0	...	662 1 7	13,552 10 2	61
3 12 0	...	...	2 2 9	...	1,250 0 0	...	33 7 5	2,162 11 8	62
21 2 6	62 14 0	...	167 13 5	149 10 0	...	...	266 13 3	19,267 6 8	63
10 0 0	258 1 9	...	228 11 10	...	...	...	339 2 7	19,338 12 6	64
...	...	...	3 4 0	...	...	...	49 8 7	631 0 2	65
2,774 9 10	5,342 14 2	894 13 5	2,797 3 3	12,313 8 9	10,806 3 9	2,05,665 13 8	80,988 3 10	9,48,163 3 2	

No details of the amount are available, as the estates are leased for lump sums.

[subject to further explanations which have been called for.

STATEMENT No. IV.—*Showing the excess of total available income over expenditure*

Division.	Name of estate.	Serial number of estate.	Expenditure charge: Government revenue.	Cesses.	Owner's rate.	Occupier's rate.
1	2	3	19	20	21	22
			Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
MEERUT.	Raghunath Das ...	1	427 7 0	51 2 6	...	...
	Kunjpura	2	2,841 15 1	...	...	...
	Sambalhera	3	8,195 13 1	1,155 3 4	137 10 8	4,444 8 7
	Bidauli	4	2,871 6 1	353 10 7	203 12 6	890 12 5
	Bhandura	5	14,831 6 9	1,783 10 0	652 5 9	10,636 2 11
	Ratheri	6	72 10 0	11 2 0	4 4 0	...
	Karana	7	5 14 9	1 3 0	...	...
	Karam Ali Khan	8	10,295 0 7	1,254 11 8	34 14 3	2,300 6 4
	Daurala	9	457 8 0	55 0 0	...	...
	Hapur	10	1,322 0 10	159 6 9	143 10 6	812 10 8
	Ram Saran Das	11	6,227 13 11	765 14 3	99 8 8	1,728 8 0
	Kithauli	12	387 0 0	46 3 7	...	...
	Murari Lal	13	2,635 12 0	316 8 0	70 11 6	475 13 9
	Belaun	14	938 6 0	112 9 3	...	456 7 6
	Mohreni	15	3,662 0 9	439 2 1	52 11 8	1,747 9 3
	Lachman Singh	16	...	...	...	...
	Total ...		55,172 2 10	6,505 7 0	1,399 9 6	23,492 15 5
AGRA	Parshadi Lal	17	463 2 0	110 8 1	...	...
	Parna	18	6,000 0 0	720 0 0	...	...
	Khimsipur	19	...	...	...	...
	Abdul Majid Khan ...	20	223 15 9	32 15 8	...	...
	Guthena	21	237 10 0	28 8 3	...	...
	Muhammad Raza Khan ...	22	175 3 6	19 0 8	...	...
	Arjunpur	23	8,098 5 6	985 8 0	129 8 3	2,882 7 0
	Karauli	24	13,677 0 8	1,641 8 9	106 3 2	2,136 12 10
	Musammat Jasoda Kuar ...	25	2,744 12 3	329 6 5	157 7 10	1,426 2 0
	Chakkarnagar	26	(c) 17,970 15 8	2,134 15 3	...	...
	Bharthna	27	1,399 0 0	165 12 0	50 15 9	484 0 0
	Harchandpur	28	(d) 17,082 0 0	1,983 6 0	220 6 6	5,932 8 4
	Roshangpur	29	(e) 4,936 4 6	587 1 5	420 14 8	1,635 8 7
	Nagla Kisi	30	437 4 9	52 3 6	3 7 1	99 9 11
	Mati-ullah Khan	31	225 14 6	36 3 9	...	...
	Arthara	32	329 8 0	37 13 0	...	...
	Nagla Amin	33	440 11 0	52 14 0	...	...
	Chet Singh	34	693 8 3	165 1 7	...	...
	Total ...		75,135 4 4	9,082 14 4	1,088 15 3	14,597 0 8
ROHILKHAND.	Kiratpur	35	3,563 10 0	455 5 0	...	...
	Malakpur Dehri	36	4,037 4 0	480 6 11	21 15 8	222 8 1
	Kazi Imdad Ali	37	191 9 3	23 0 3	...	...
	Parbin Singh	38	1,034 0 0	124 1 5	...	...
	Sahanpur	39	43,501 4 0	5,239 3 9	...	...
	Pedi	40	256 7 7	32 11 9	...	...
	Musammat Anandi ...	41	...	...	...	...
	Budh Prakash	42	1,841 4 1	229 6 8	...	...
	Mubarak Husain	43	...	29 1 0	...	...
	Kunj Behari Lal and Sipahi Lal ..	44	1,206 5 9	140 11 6	...	...
	Kakrauli	45	...	...	...	...
	Anand Sarup and Jagdish Sarup	46	...	...	...	...
	Rampur	47	1,197 0 0	72 0 0	...	...
	Sheikhupur	48	775 8 5	106 9 3	...	...
	Begam Mashuk Mahal ...	49	13,515 1 3	1,677 1 9	...	1 11 0
	Ballia	50	3,186 0 0	382 5 1	...	...
	Srigopal	51	1,870 0 0	224 6 6	2 12 2	89 9 6
	Madhopur	52	10,563 5 10	1,230 4 11	479 15 0	669 1 8
	Durga Prasad	53	922 0 0	110 11 8	0 5 11	13 13 7
	Narainpur	54	2,378 8 0	285 6 8	...	...
	Nahal	55	12,229 0 0	1,467 7 7	...	...
	Fakhr-ud-din Khan ...	56	898 15 5	108 9 3	...	...
	Mohanpur	57	3,773 10 0	452 13 4	...	...
	Kakra	58	5,579 8 6	657 12 6	...	...
	Azizganj	59	14,089 15 8	1,625 1 11	...	...
	Dhukri	60	68 12 0	8 4 0	...	...
	Baurauli*	61	(f) 1,534 11 6	(g) 96 4 2	...	...
	Zafar Ali Khan	62	297 10 0	35 11 7	...	...
	Khameria	63	5,811 5 11	695 6 5	...	...
	Bhatpura Rasulpura ...	64	9,946 6 8	1,195 5 4	...	...
	Khiriya Rasulpur	65	293 11 8	34 10 7	...	...
	Total ...		1,44,562 15 6	17,220 4 9	505 0 9	996 11 10

(a) Includes Rs. 8,892-9-3 cash balance made over to the proprietor.
(b) Do. „ 158-5-4 ditto ditto.
(c) Do. „ 172-0-0 on account of arrears of previous year.

* The figures against Bamrauli have been entered subject

chargeable to profits for each estate during the revenue year 1889-90—(continued).

able to profits.							
Patwári rate.	Cost of management.	Miscellaneous charges including law expenses, ordinary repairs, interest on debts and loans.	Total.	Excess of total available income over expenditure chargeable to profits.	Percentage of Government revenue to rental receipts in column 9.	Percentage of cost of management to total of receipts in columns 7 to 14 and column 17.	Serial number of estate.
23	24	25	26	27	28	29	
Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.			
17 1 6	50 0 0	34 3 7	579 14 7	547 11 3	40·	4·	1
...	390 0 0	196 2 9	3,428 1 10	17,162 6 6	37·	4·	2
378 13 8	1,563 3 11	1,817 9 0	17,692 14 3	7,048 11 7	53·	7·	3
117 10 9	567 2 2	480 8 8	5,484 15 2	8,043 0 11	43·	7·	4
594 4 4	2,578 0 7	4,865 0 8	35,940 15 0	28,777 6 0	43·	5·	5
3 9 0	8 1 9	81 0 0	180 10 9	67 13 10	61·	5·	6
0 3 9	2 13 1	73 1 0	83 3 7	167 11 6	12·	4·	7
412 6 1	1,832 8 0	1,826 14 11	17,956 13 10	30,983 0 5	34·	4·	8
18 4 0	40 3 0	53 10 3	624 9 3	986 12 2	40·	3·	9
52 14 0	254 8 0	123 12 9	2,868 15 6	4,297 7 5	28·	4·	10
249 2 0	1,227 5 0	1,242 2 0	11,540 5 10	24,146 0 5	34·	5·	11
15 6 9	22 0 0	4 3 3	474 13 7	689 9 7	48·	3·	12
105 6 9	614 0 0	2,564 14 10	6,783 2 10	5,696 15 0	41·	7·	13
37 9 0	149 0 0	18 0 0	1,711 15 9	1,006 15 1	54·	6·	14
146 8 0	688 3 4	357 1 3	7,093 4 4	7,770 2 10	41·	6·	15
..	...	...	...	...	...	...	16
2,149 3 7	9,987 0 10	13,738 4 11	1,12,444 12 1	1,37,391 12 6	40·	5·	
36 12 5	203 9 11	485 10 6	1,299 10 11	1,647 2 11	62·	7·	17
240 0 0	751 13 5	64 0 7	7,775 14 0	7,931 2 5	51·	6·	18
...	181 5 4	(a) 9,047 5 3	9,228 10 7	926 0 0	...	...	19
9 10 2	26 10 3	478 7 6	771 11 4	4,646 6 9	60·	4·	20
9 8 2	36 10 10	48 10 5	360 15 8	539 9 1	39·	6·	21
6 5 3	9 0 0	2 8 6	212 1 11	173 4 10	46·	2·	22
309 2 2	1,285 12 10	1,803 12 0	15,494 7 9	2,333 1 6	58·	7·	23
547 1 6	4,021 8 11	5,138 8 5	27,268 12 3	20,987 8 10	49·	9·	24
109 12 9	597 10 8	1,377 4 5	6,742 8 4	1,478 1 0	54·	9·	25
711 15 10	982 7 8	3,678 0 3	25,478 6 8	7,478 3 6	58·	3·	26
55 15 0	197 6 0	(b) 453 5 7	2,806 6 4	412 2 11	61·	7·	27
660 14 0	2,635 0 10	4,736 0 6	33,250 4 2	54,309 2 5	51·	6·	28
287 15 5	1,376 14 11	1,180 12 10	10,425 8 4	5,727 13 9	48·	9·	29
17 7 10	169 10 7	27 9 3	807 4 11	660 10 5	55·	15·	30
10 14 8	31 2 2	35 9 8	339 12 9	1,198 3 6	64·	5·	31
12 9 6	58 7 2	79 0 8	517 6 4	472 8 10	41·	7·	32
17 10 0	178 6 1	186 14 8	826 7 9	965 0 10	36·	11·	33
7 1 4	269 10 10	25 12 3	1,161 2 3	450 8 0	43·	17·	34
3,050 12 0	13,013 4 5	28,799 5 3	1,44,767 8 3	1,12,336 11 6	53·	7·	
151 9 5	555 6 0	2,149 14 0	6,875 12 5	5,847 8 4	44·	6·	35
160 2 4	609 10 11	387 6 5	5,919 6 4	5,051 8 2	45·	6·	36
7 10 9	42 2 4	38 10 2	303 0 9	729 15 2	32·	6·	37
41 5 10	137 1 8	39 2 0	1,375 10 11	1,263 7 0	44·	7·	38
1,738 6 6	8,780 13 1	6,725 7 7	65,985 2 11	59,902 1 8	41·	8·	39
10 6 1	74 0 7	1,276 13 3	1,650 7 3	9,975 14 2	20·	6·	40
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	41
75 6 9	469 6 7	140 1 5	2,755 9 6	4,718 5 10	40·	8·	42
...	28 13 9	90 4 11	148 3 8	617 15 11	...	7·	43
45 10 0	360 9 6	305 5 4	2,058 10 1	1,390 9 2	47·	12·	44
...	...	58 0 0	58 0 0	...	...	...	45
...	11 8 0	5 8 0	17 0 0	4,797 12 8	...	1·	46
24 0 0	250 0 0	5,317 11 11	6,860 11 11	33,538 0 4	53·	1·	47
34 9 9	290 9 6	5 3 4	1,212 8 3	2,573 5 3	29·	11·	48
547 3 5	3,405 9 6	5,137 14 2	24,284 9 1	3,03,994 15 10	48·	4·	49
127 6 10	431 4 0	785 2 11	4,912 2 10	3,231 11 4	43·	5·	50
74 12 10	371 0 0	223 11 6	2,856 4 6	3,413 5 1	49·	8·	51
350 2 3	3,211 9 0	1,445 3 2	17,949 9 10	2,17,251 11 7	38·	5·	52
36 13 1	184 10 7	38 12 8	1,307 3 6	471 2 11	57·	10·	53
95 0 9	481 11 4	227 2 9	3,467 13 6	4,363 4 1	55·	7·	54
489 0 0	2,550 12 9	756 3 6	17,492 7 10	9,635 1 10	47·	9·	55
36 2 0	257 3 10	309 11 2	1,610 9 8	934 13 4	45·	11·	56
149 11 8	761 6 9	754 5 6	5,891 15 3	2,436 15 7	51·	10·	57
241 7 5	989 13 8	545 9 4	8,014 3 5	2,268 11 1	60·	10·	58
536 15 1	2,511 3 0	2,235 3 5	20,908 7 1	10,686 10 7	51·	8·	59
2 11 3	1 4 3	12 0 0	92 15 6	17 1 1	65·	1·	60
12 5 9	164 4 7	407 2 2	2,214 12 2	11,337 14 0	168·	10·	61
11 14 6	60 9 6	536 5 5	942 3 0	1,220 8 8	56·	11·	62
235 2 3	928 2 5	297 2 2	7,967 3 2	11,300 3 6	56·	7·	63
390 0 10	1,777 5 5	1,733 6 5	15,042 8 8	4,296 3 10	55·	9·	64
11 13 0	43 3 7	187 1 3	570 8 1	60 8 1	51·	7·	65
5,637 14 4	20,741 4 1	32,171 9.10	2,30,835 13 1	7,17,327 6 1	46·	.6	

(d) Includes Rs. 560-0-0 on account of arrears of previous year.
(e) Do. „ 122-0-0 ditto ditto.
(f) Do. „ 1,186-4-0 ditto ditto.
(g) Do. „ 59-4-9 ditto ditto.
to further explanations which have been called for.

STATEMENT No. IV.—*Showing the excess of total available income over expenditure*

Division.	Name of estate.	Serial number of estate.	Income available: Cash balance at close of last year.	Receipts from State loans or loans from Court of Wards' Estates.	Receipts from loans other than those mentioned in column 5.	Debts recovered.	Takávi advances recovered.	Rental of revenue paying land including collections of arrears and advance collections made on account of following year.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
			Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
ALLAHABAD.	Rawatpur	66	623 10 0	...	...	4,751 13 1	58 0 0	55,331 12 6
	Ratanpur	67	4 9 9	...	...	...	...	1,425 14 10
	Darwapur	68	0 6 4	...	...	...	...	946 1 2
	Sakarwan	69	4 11 4	...	...	104 0 6	...	5,149 11 9
	Misri Lal	70	4,507 15 6	...	...	10 8 11	154 0 0	5,323 7 4
	Banajakha	71	213 3 1	...	...	53 0 0	(a)21 0 0	838 10 1
	Wahid-un-nisa ...	72	...	...	...	...	...	91 13 9
	Patti Shah	73	1,084 2 7	...	...	...	...	1,979 14 10
	Ata Husain Khan ...	74	188 5 1	...	...	...	...	2,309 4 11
	Arampur Bushi ...	75	1,123 10 6	...	...	2 8 4	31 0 0	17,567 7 5
	Bhamba	76	15 12 5	...	...	...	...	597 12 6
	Karhai	77	56 14 7	...	...	...	...	5,602 4 9
	Bahuri	78	63 15 3	...	...	...	...	1,384 11 7
	Sultan Husain and Musammat Shams-un-nisa.	79	147 13 9	...	...	5 12 9	44 8 0	2,763 3 3
	Diya Chakwa ...	80	236 11 4	...	...	...	54 0 0	5,232 11 2
	Singhpur	81	301 1 1	...	...	...	...	2,438 11 9
	Baghel	82	25 4 9	...	...	...	...	578 0 0
	Sarai Pitha	83	1,129 2 10	..	...	275 5 0	...	19,120 14 9
	Gambhira	84	105 1 5	...	...	55 2 5	...	26,202 10 9
	Partab Chand ...	85	26,884 12 10	...	...	16,503 8 11	341 2 8	1,48,662 8 2
	Shahpur	86	370 8 6	...	...	633 3 6	...	13,830 1 1
	Bara	87	425 12 11	...	...	1,357 4 8	238 14 0	1,19,640 11 8
	Pathana	88	1,233 2 11	...	...	...	...	816 7 9
	Ram Charan ...	89	30 1 4	...	...	40 4 9	...	147 14 10
	Batuk Prasad ...	90	719 12 10	...	...	181 4 9	...	6,510 8 9
	Hardial Lohar ...	91	38 5 5	...	...	...	...	3 0 0
	Total ...		39,535 0 4	...	...	23,973 13 7	942 8 8	4,44,491 2 4
BENARES.	Sarai Mir	92	771 0 7	...	...	499 0 7	...	31,818 3 8
	Gopalpur, No. I. ...	93	153 2 5	...	...	...	...	1,555 3 2
	Sangaband	94	...	...	...	...	...	515 1 6
	Karim-ud-dinpur ...	95	548 5 1	...	...	...	...	1,211 12 0
	Anapur	96	20,605 2 9	...	...	1,491 10 4	10 0 0	76,926 12 2
	Lakshmi Narain ...	97	436 7 9	...	...	87 9 2	...	2,353 6 5
	Kodai	98	15 14 6	...	...	...	...	113 14 2
	Chaumukha... ...	99	2,451 2 9	...	...	8 12 0	50 0 0	12,409 6 8
	Bansgaon	100	3,321 13 8	...	...	...	...	22,646 3 0
	Dumri	101	8,599 10 10	...	...	912 3 8	270 12 0	40,814 14 11
	Narharpur	102	1,768 3 2	...	...	33 2 0	...	5,594 7 3
	Majhauli	103	14,127 2 7	...	...	5,555 8 3	...	1,28,816 3 8
	Sarju Prasad ...	104	99,971 0 1	...	...	210 9 4	...	20,432 11 1
	Brijnandan Das ...	105	362 1 9	...	...	18,755 15 2	...	22,600 13 3
	Fida Husain ...	106	253 11 11	...	...	...	...	1,613 8 9
	Total ...		1,53,384 15 5	...	...	27,554 6 6	330 12 0	3,69,422 9 8
JAUNPUR.	Chirgaon	107	582 8 6	...	...	10 4 5	...	1,783 1 3
	Kukurgaon	108	866 4 0	...	...	...	493 8 0	5,358 13 0
	Beona	109	145 13 7	...	...	...	..	2,351 4 9
	Dhagwan Khurd, No. I....	110	12,266 2 2	...	...	282 8 0	292 0 0	3,324 4 1
	Dhagwan Khurd, No. II.	111	871 2 9	...	...	282 8 0	...	2,088 4 1
	Karora	112	81 3 6	...	...	...	...	90 5 10
	Mailwar Andela ...	113	95 13 1	...	...	...	...	89 1 2
	Manikju and Dulaju ...	114	55 1 7	...	...	...	...	30 13 0
	Pahalwan Singh ...	115	As there were no receipts and charges, no accounts were received.					...
	Lalitpur	116	198 4 0	...	...	...	...	1,823 2 7
	Total ...		15,162 5 2	...	...	575 4 5	785 8 0	16,939 1 9
	GRAND TOTAL ...		5,49,395 10 1	44,000 0 0	...	1,19,443 9 9	9,260 15 8	14,24,502 10 7

(a)—This amount represents outstanding balance of

chargeable to profits for each estate during the revenue year 1889-90—(continued).

for expenditure.									
Rental of revenue free land including collections of arrears and advance collections made on account of following year.	Rent of houses.	Occupier's rate.	Patwári cess.	Interest on Government securities.	Sale proceeds of land and houses.	Sale proceeds of Government and other securities.	Miscellaneous income including interest on loans.	Total.	Serial number of estate.
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	
Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	
...	...	8,276 6 2	...	...	11 9 10	...	1,648 13 2	70,702 0 9	66
...	0 10 8	116 9 4	...	...	...	...	121 5 4	1,669 1 11	67
...	...	73 10 1	...	...	...	...	2 4 9	1,022 6 4	68
...	...	740 13 6	...	239 6 6	...	500 0 0	98 12 9	6,837 8 4	69
...	...	1,533 9 3	...	...	2,785 3 11	...	99 2 4	14,413 15 3	70
...	12 0 0	91 1 9	...	...	375 0 0	...	20 15 11	1,624 14 10	71
...	...	...	0 10 0	...	...	...	...	92 7 9	72
...	...	...	22 10 10	50 0 0	...	...	...	3,136 12 3	73
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2,497 10 0	74
...	3 1 6	...	169 11 10	...	...	...	1,063 15 2	19,961 6 9	75
184 3 6	...	...	11 1 7	...	...	...	...	808 14 0	76
...	...	...	53 13 4	...	...	...	...	5,713 0 8	77
...	...	...	19 4 2	...	...	...	...	1,467 15 0	78
...	...	...	31 6 6	...	...	...	10 9 0	3,003 5 3	79
...	...	...	72 4 2	...	...	...	6 9 7	5,602 4 3	80
4,161 11 9	...	...	59 1 6	...	...	...	...	6,955 10 1	81
...	...	...	8 8 3	...	...	...	...	611 13 0	82
...	...	...	326 12 6	...	...	...	41 3 7	20,893 6 8	83
...	...	...	391 1 7	...	...	...	15 3 5	26,769 3 7	84
...	432 5 8	...	2,152 1 0	5,407 15 5	...	1,02,556 8 9	22,218 8 9	3,25,249 3 2	85
...	...	...	181 15 10	...	...	...	242 12 7	15,258 9 6	86
...	...	...	1,431 11 10	...	...	...	522 15 4	1,23,617 6 5	87
...	...	...	...	40 0 0	...	...	...	2,089 10 8	88
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	11 10 0	229 14 11	89
...	...	...	92 15 2	...	...	...	35 12 9	7,540 6 3	90
...	...	...	...	45 0 0	...	...	...	86 5 5	91
4,345 15 3	448 1 10	10,832 2 1	5,025 2 1	5,872 5 11	3,171 13 9	1,03,056 8 9	26,160 10 5	6,67,855 5 0	
49 8 9	...	...	424 0 8	...	...	...	188 8 0	33,750 6 3	92
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	97 11 11	1,806 1 6	93
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	515 1 6	94
...	...	...	12 4 2	55 5 2	...	...	23 6 3	1,851 0 8	95
...	281 4 6	...	903 7 8	2,642 5 10	...	...	5,097 4 8	1,08,047 15 11	96
...	196 10 0	...	36 9 4	...	...	...	354 14 0	3,465 8 8	97
...	...	...	1 12 3	...	...	...	...	131 8 11	98
...	...	...	169 13 8	258 11 8	...	...	57 5 4	15,405 4 1	99
...	...	...	322 8 6	694 3 3	...	...	3,258 11 4	30,243 7 4	100
...	705 7 4	...	597 9 8	2,929 0 11	...	...	699 10 2	55,529 5 6	101
...	...	...	78 6 5	...	...	...	54 4 8	7,528 7 6	102
...	...	...	1,124 4 9	...	51 11 10	...	20,558 4 9	1,70,238 3 10	103
...	237 6 6	...	7 8 9	971 7 4	...	...	417 3 8	1,22,247 14 9	104
1,172 15 3	789 15 11	...	201 11 8	...	486 8 0	...	8,799 8 10	53,169 4 10	105
...	7 0 0	...	12 12 5	112 3 6	...	...	8 0 0	2,007 4 7	106
1,222 8 0	2,217 12 3	...	3,982 13 11	7,663 5 8	538 3 10	...	39,614 8 7	6,05,931 15 10	
...	144 0 0	...	39 12 11	117 8 0	...	...	1,207 0 6	3,884 3 7	107
2,109 14 1	...	...	0 2 7	...	...	...	22 1 8	8,850 11 4	108
...	...	...	21 3 0	31 8 0	...	...	...	2,549 13 4	109
176 9 0	...	...	8 14 3	241 12 2	...	...	14 11 6	16,606 13 2	110
181 10 6	...	...	5 10 9	...	...	...	...	3,429 4 1	111
...	...	...	0 6 2	...	...	...	...	171 15 6	112
13 4 0	...	...	0 10 6	...	...	...	...	198 12 9	113
156 15 6	...	...	1 6 6	...	...	...	...	244 4 7	114
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	115
6 9 0	2 4 0	...	20 4 9	...	...	...	947 13 8	2,998 6 0	116
2,644 14 1	146 4 0	...	98 7 5	390 12 2	...	...	2,191 11 4	38,934 4 4	
14,898 0 11	11,497 2 0	47,568 6 7	13,361 13 6	31,579 5 11	19,537 0 11	3,16,880 0 3	1,65,900 12 6	27,67,825 8 8	

1888-89 omitted to be shown in Statement II for that year.

STATEMENT No. IV.—*Showing the excess of total available income over expenditure*

Division.	Name of estate.	Serial number of estate.	Expenditure charge: Government revenue.	Cesses.	Owners' rate.	Occupier's rate.
1	2	3	19	20	21	22
			Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
ALLAHABAD.	Rawatpur	66	28,055 0 0	3,366 4 8	228 10 4	8,308 0 11
	Ratanpur	67	789 2 4	94 9 7	38 6 1	116 9 4
	Darwapur	68	575 0 0	69 0 0	...	73 10 1
	Sakarwan	69	2,816 4 0	338 1 1	38 4 0	740 13 6
	Misri Lal	70	2,429 9 0	292 1 4	5 12 10	1,533 9 3
	Banajakha	71	400 0 0	48 0 0	8 2 4	91 1 9
	Wahid-un-nisa	72	38 2 0	4 9 0	...	...
	Patti Shah	73	872 4 7	104 7 5	...	...
	Ata Husain Khan	74	1,970 0 0	236 6 5	...	...
	Arampur Bushi	75	9,240 11 0	1,108 14 4	...	...
	Bhamba	76	528 8 7	63 5 9	...	...
	Karhai	77	(a)2,954 2 7	342 8 0	...	...
	Bahuri	78	530 0 0	63 9 8	...	...
	Sultan Husain and Musammat Shams-un-nisa.	79	(b)1,965 0 0	181 12 9	...	...
	Diya Chakwa	80	3,166 14 2	380 5 6	...	...
	Singhpur	81	(c)3,019 10 9	359 11 7	...	...
	Baghel	82	438 9 3	52 10 3	...	...
	Sarai Pitha	83	9,850 0 0	1,181 11 11	...	...
	Gambhira	84	13,098 14 5	1,570 10 11	...	...
	Partab Chand	85	83,043 7 8	9,964 4 2	...	...
	Shahpur	86	7,247 5 9	869 6 5	...	...
	Bara	87	71,313 6 0	8,554 14 6	...	...
	Pathana	88	...	...	...	...
	Ram Charan	89	...	...	...	...
	Batuk Prasad	90	2,627 0 8	390 14 5	...	...
	Hardial Lohar	91	...	...	...	...
	Total ...		2,46,969 0 9	29,638 3 8	319 3 7	10,863 12 10
BENARES.	Sarai Mir	92	14,160 8 11	1,778 12 11	...	...
	Gopalpur, No. I	93	412 11 0	41 15 4	...	...
	Sangaband	94	(f) 225 2 0	...	...	...
	Karim-ud-dinpur	95	758 4 0	70 14 4	...	...
	Anapur	96	36,665 1 1	4,928 5 8	...	...
	Lakshmi Narain	97	583 2 9	151 8 0	...	...
	Kodai	98	...	...	...	...
	Chaumukha	99	5,401 2 6	648 1 10	...	...
	Bansgaon	100	9,211 13 6	1,105 7 3	...	...
	Dumri	101	17,869 7 0	2,141 5 2	...	...
	Narharpur	102	2,273 10 9	272 12 6	...	...
	Majhauli	103	52,453 13 6¼	7,858 3 2¾	...	...
	Sarju Prasad	104	8,983 8 10	1,357 6 7	...	...
	Brijnandan Das	105	8,296 5 8	1,050 5 10	...	...
	Fida Husain	106	587 7 3	69 8 7	...	...
	Total ...		1,57,832 2 9¼	21,474 11 2¾	...	...
JHANSI.	Chirgaon	107	1,100 9 10	132 8 3	...	...
	Kukurgaon	108	2,850 5 0	488 14 0	...	...
	Beona	109	465 0 0	86 6 0	...	...
	Dhagwan Khurd, No. I ...	110	1,711 1 6	205 12 0	...	...
	Dhagwan Khurd, No. II ...	111	1,025 0 0	123 0 0	...	...
	Karora	112	19 14 6	2 5 11	...	...
	Mailwar Andela	113	63 3 8	7 14 4	...	...
	Manikju and Dulaju ...	114	3 8 0	7 12 9	...	...
	Pahalwan Singh	115	As there were no receipts and charges, no accounts were received.			
	Lalitpur	116	976 14 6	119 10 3	...	...
	Total ...		8,215 9 0	1,174 3 6	...	...
	GRAND TOTAL		6,87,887 3 2¼	85,095 12 5¾	3,312 13 1	49,050 8 9

(a) Includes Rs. 100-0-0 on account of arrears of previous year.
(b) Do. „ 450-0-0 do. do.
(c) Do. „ 19-5-7 do. do.
(d) Do. „ 35-2-6 rent paid to zamíndár and Rs. 163-12-5 cash balance paid to proprietor.

chargeable to profits for each estate during the revenue year 1889-90.—(concluded.)

able to profits.

Patwári rate.	Cost of management.	Miscellaneous charges including law expenses, ordinary repairs, interest on debts and loans.	Total.	Excess of total available income over expenditure chargeable to profits.	Percentage of Government revenue to rental receipts in column 9.	Percentage of cost of management to total of receipts in columns 7 to 14 and column 17.	Serial number of estate.
23	24	25	26	27	28	29	
Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.			
1,122 6 6	7,125 6 0	9,377 5 0	57,583 1 5	13,118 15 4	51·	10·	66
31 8 4	117 14 0	60 9 6	1,248 11 2	420 6 9	55·	7·	67
23 0 0	65 3 4	31 15 6	837 12 11	184 9 5	60·	6·	68
112 12 0	868 3 10	341 6 6	5,255 12 11	1,581 11 5	55·	13·	69
97 4 2	682 0 0	592 7 5	5,632 12 0	8,781 3 3	46·	9·	70
16 0 0	106 0 0	715 3 3	1,384 7 4	240 7 6	48·	10·	71
1 8 0	3 0 0	2 4 0	49 7 0	43 0 9	41·	3·	72
34 6 4	40 0 7	5 15 4	1,057 2 3	2,079 10 0	44·	2·	73
78 12 0	178 5 4	6 6 0	2,469 13 9	27 12 3	85·	7·	74
369 8 5	2,068 9 7	719 2 6	13,506 13 10	6,464 8 11	53·	11·	75
21 1 7	60 0 7	4 12 9	677 13 3	131 0 9	88·	8·	76
114 3 0	402 2 0	464 9 10	4,277 9 5	1,435 7 3	53·	7·	77
21 3 2	91 4 0	159 6 10	865 7 8	602 7 4	38·	6·	78
60 10 3	243 4 0	10 8 5	2,461 3 5	542 1 10	71·	8·	79
126 11 1	452 9 6	441 1 6	4,567 9 9	1,034 10 6	60·	8·	80
120 11 2	566 7 2	1,933 15 7	6,000 8 3	955 1 10	124·	8·	81
17 8 9	48 0 0	2 9 6	559 5 9	52 7 3	76·	8·	82
393 15 6	1,385 13 7	333 2 10	13,144 11 10	7,748 10 10	51.	7·	83
520 4 9	1,815 15 8	920 11 8	17,926 9 5	8,842 10 2	50·	7·	84
3,322 9 8	13,229 2 11	10,858 4 6	1,20,417 12 11	2,04,831 6 3	56·	7·	85
290 0 7	1,143 2 3	2,208 12 4	11,758 11 4	3,499 14 2	52·	8·	86
2,852 4 1	9,678 10 1	21,564 4 9	1,13,963 7 5	9,653 15 0	59·	8·	87
...	11 8 0	3 6 10	14 14 10	2,074 11 10	...	1·	88
...	...	(*d*) 198 14 11	198 14 11	31 0 0	...	...	89
140 12 11	400 6 10	645 0 8	4,204 3 6	3,336 2 9	40·	6·	90
...	...	(*e*) 1 8 0	1 8 0	84 13 5	...	...	91
9,889 2 3	40,783 1 3	51,603 13 11	3,90,066 6 3	2,77,788 14 9	55·	8·	
673 7 7	2,289 4 0	8,286 5 3	27,188 6 8	6,561 15 7	44·	7·	92
56 13 3	144 5 3	144 8 11	800 5 9	1,005 11 9	27·	9·	93
...	...	142 3 7	367 5 7	147 11 11	44·	...	94
51 6 0	113 0 6	43 12 1	1,037 4 11	813 11 9	62·	8·	95
2,228 10 2	6,737 7 11	5,038 2 6	55,592 11 4	52,455 4 7	48·	8·	96
78 8 3	246 1 9	601 14 10	1,661 3 7	1,804 5 1	25·	8·	97
...	4 7 6	52 4 10	56 12 4	74 12 7	...	3·	98
215 15 11	1,196 15 8	1,393 5 11	8,855 9 10	6,549 10 3	43·	9·	99
368 6 6	2,224 15 0	1,256 14 6	14,167 8 9	16,075 14 7	41·	8·	100
713 15 8	3,947 13 0	3,381 15 4	28,054 8 2	27,474 13 4	44·	8·	101
90 15 11	663 1 5	3,403 3 9	6,703 12 4	824 11 2	41·	11·	102
2,182 1 3	12,836 10 7	14,363 12 4	89,694 8 11	80,538 10 11	41·	8·	103
181 1 2	2,223 12 9	(*g*) 1,06,375 1 5	1,19,070 14 9	3,177 0 0	44·	10·	104
356 0 7	2,244 13 4	9,718 1 2	21,665 10 7	31,503 10 3	37·	4·	105
23 10 9	333 3 9	80 12 5	1,094 10 9	912 9 10	36·	19·	106
7,221 1 0	35,206 0 5	1,54,277 6 10	3,76,011 6 3	2,29,920 9 7	43·	8·	
43 6 5	193 0 0	202 15 0	1,672 7 6	2,211 12 1	62·	6·	107
163 0 0	797 8 0	516 9 9	4,816 4 9	4,034 6 7	53·	10·	108
28 6 0	280 0 0	168 8 1	1,028 4 1	1,521 9 3	20·	11·	109
68 8 0	303 11 7	97 11 8	2,386 12 9	14,220 0 5	51·	7·	110
41 0 0	201 0 0	46 1 10	1,436 1 10	1,993 2 3	49·	8·	111
0 12 7	7 0 0	(*h*) 141 14 6	171 15 6	...	22·	8·	112
0 13 8	2 0 0	15 7 5	89 7 1	109 5 8	71·	2·	113
2 9 6	2 0 0	0 9 5	16 7 8	227 12 11	11·	1·	114
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	115
43 10 5	81 0 0	184 5 0	1,405 8 2	1,592 13 10	54·	3·	116
392 2 7	1,867 3 7	1,374 2 8	13,023 5 4	25,910 15 0	48·	8·	
28,340 3 9	1,30,597 14 7	2,81,964 11 5	12,67,149 3 3	15,00,676 5 5	48·	7·	

(*e*) Rent paid to zamíndár.
(*f*) Cash rent payable to Dudhi Estate.
(*g*) Includes Rs. 1,02,025-0-4 cash balance made over to the proprietor.
(*h*) Ditto „ 140-1-4 ditto ditto.

STATEMENT No. V.—*Personal or other expenditure not chargeable to profits*

Division.	Name of estate.	Serial number of estate.	Net profits or income during the year as shown in column 27 of Statement No. IV.	Personal expenses. Ordinary.	Personal expenses. Extraordinary.	Personal expenses. Total.	Percentage to net profits or income.	Educational charges.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
			Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.		Rs. a. p.
MEERUT.	Raghunath Das	1	547 11 3	...	..	...	...	11 15 6
	Kunjpura	2	17,162 6 6	20 0 0	...	20 0 0	·1	...
	Sambalhera	3	7,048 11 7	3,370 0 0	1,465 0 0	4,835 0 0	68·	869 13 9
	Bidauli	4	8,048 0 11	2,219 0 0	500 0 0	2,719 0 0	34·	946 6 6
	Bhandura	5	28,777 6 0	3,348 0 0	...	3,348 0 0	11·	...
	Ratheri	6	67 13 10	...	...	...	...	...
	Karana	7	167 11 6	120 0 0	...	120 0 0	72·	...
	Karam Ali Khan	8	30,983 0 5	3,780 0 0	2,000 0 0	5,780 0 0	18·	2,700 0 0
	Daurala	9	986 12 2	192 0 0	...	192 0 0	19·	...
	Hapur	10	4,297 7 5	465 0 0	...	465 0 0	11·	1,967 9 6
	Ram Saran Das	11	24,146 0 5	1,800 0 0	470 0 0	2,270 0 0	9·	3,892 12 3
	Kithauli	12	629 9 7	94 0 0	...	94 0 0	14·	2 3 0
	Murari Lal	13	5,696 15 0	720 0 0	1,153 8 3	1,873 8 3	20·	120 0 0
	Belaun	14	1,006 15 1	260 0 0	516 0 0	776 0 0	77·	...
	Mohreni	15	7,770 2 10	970 0 0	...	970 0 0	13·	397 4 9
	Lachman Singh	16	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Total ...		1,37,391 12 6	17,358 0 0	6,104 8 3	23,462 8 3	17·	10,908 1 3
AGRA.	Parshadi Lal	17	1,647 2 11	1,132 8 0	...	1,132 8 0	68·	...
	Parna	18	7,931 2 5	1,374 0 0	...	1,374 0 0	17·	...
	Khimsipur	19	926 0 0	926 0 0	...	926 0 0	100·	...
	Abdul Majid Khan	20	4,646 6 9	120 0 0	...	120 0 0	2·	24 0 0
	Guthona	21	539 9 1	54 8 0	24 9 9	79 1 9	15·	1 8 0
	Muhammad Raza Khan ...	22	173 4 10	28 0 0	...	28 0 0	16·	...
	Arjunpur	23	2,333 1 6	...	99 1 2	99 1 2	4·	...
	Karauli	24	20,987 8 10	1,467 14 10	1,050 0 0	2,517 14 10	12·	1,982 0 0
	Musammat Jasoda Kuar ...	25	1,478 1 0	720 0 0	...	720 0 0	49·	...
	Chakkarnagar	26	7,478 3 6	3,000 0 0	...	3,000 0 0	40·	...
	Bharthna	27	412 2 11	391 2 11	...	391 2 11	95·	21 0 0
	Harchandpur	28	54,309 2 5	1,590 0 0	387 13 5	1,977 13 5	4·	300 0 0
	Roshangpur	29	5,727 13 9	960 0 0	96 10 0	1,056 10 0	18·	63 0 0
	Nagla Kisi	30	660 10 5	276 0 0	...	276 0 0	42·	6 0 0
	Mati-ullah Khan	31	1,198 3 6	144 0 0	...	144 0 0	12·	...
	Arthara	32	472 8 10	120 0 0	...	120 0 0	25·	...
	Nagla Amin	33	965 0 10	300 0 0	...	300 0 0	31·	1 8 0
	Chet Singh	34	450 8 0	165 0 0	..	165 0 0	35·	...
	Total ...		1,12,336 11 6	12,769 1 9	1,658 2 4	14,427 4 1	13·	2,399 0 0
ROHILKHAND.	Kiratpur	35	5,847 8 4	979 15 0	...	979 15 0	17·	455 14 3
	Malakpur Dehri	36	5,051 8 2	1,960 10 9	...	1,960 10 9	38·	214 15 6
	Kazi Imdad Ali	37	729 15 2	29 4 9	...	29 4 9	4·	172 2 3
	Parbin Singh	38	1,263 7 0	28 15 3	...	28 15 3	2·	119 4 3
	Sahanpur	39	59,902 1 8	7,470 9 9	1,530 0 0	9,000 9 9	15·	614 12 6
	Pedi	40	9,975 14 2	6 8 8	...	6 8 8	...	...
	Musammat Anandi	41	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Budh Prakash	42	4,718 5 10	456 0 0	50 0 0	506 0 0	11·	380 12 6
	Mubarak Husain	43	617 15 11	108 0 0	...	108 0 0	17·	37 6 3
	Kunj Behari Lal and Sipahi Lal ...	44	1,390 9 2	300 0 0	...	300 0 0	22·	492 10 0
	Kakrauli	45	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Anand Sarup and Jagdish Sarup ...	46	4,797 12 8	...	...	...	...	...
	Rampur	47	33,538 0 4	4,060 6 4	3,460 6 0	7,520 12 4	22·	...
	Sheikhupur	48	2,573 5 3	600 0 0	...	600 0 0	23·	...
	Begam Mashuk Mahal ...	49	3,03,094 15 10	13,628 12 2	5,393 3 6	19,021 15 8	6·	3,008 14 9
	Ballia	50	3,231 11 4	900 0 0	100 0 0	1,000 0 0	31·	131 8 0
	Srigopal	51	3,413 5 1	601 12 0	499 10 3	1,101 6 3	32·	9 13 9
	Madhopur	52	2,17,251 11 7	925 12 1	...	925 12 1	4·	900 0 0
	Durga Prasad	53	471 2 11	420 0 0	...	420 0 0	89·	...
	Narainpur	54	4,363 4 1	590 0 0	...	590 0 0	13·	...
	Nahal	55	9,635 1 10	1,092 0 0	...	1,092 0 0	11·	...
	Fakhr-ud-din Khan	56	934 13 4	345 0 0	325 0 0	670 0 0	71·	100 8 9
	Mohanpur	57	2,436 15 7	480 0 0	...	480 0 0	20·	...
	Kakra	58	2,268 11 1	970 0 0	...	970 0 0	43·	61 0 0
	Azizganj	59	10,686 10 7	1,776 0 0	1,000 0 0	2,776 0 0	26·	233 10 7
	Dhukri	60	17 1 1	...	...	...	...	...
	Bamrauli*	61	11,337 14 0	..	...	...	...	...
	Zafar Ali Khan	62	1,220 8 8	...	...	...	...	...
	Khameria	63	11,300 3 6	1,440 0 0	2,500 0 0	3,940 0 0	35·	89 3 2
	Bhatpura Rasulpura	64	4,296 3 10	1,200 0 0	49 8 0	1,249 8 0	29·	...
	Khiriya Rasulpur	65	60 8 1	40 0 0	...	40 0 0	66·	8 7 3
	Total ...		7,17,327 6 1	40,409 10 9	14,907 11 9	55,317 6 6	8·	7,025 15 9

* The figures against Bamrauli have been entered subject

STATEMENT No. V.—*Personal or other expenditure not chargeable to profits*

Division.	Name of estate.	Serial number of estate.	Net profits or income during the year as shown in column 27 of Statement No. IV.	Personal expenses. Ordinary.	Personal expenses. Extra-ordinary.	Personal expenses. Total.	Percentage to net profits or income.	Educational charges.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
			Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.		Rs. a. p.
ALLAHABAD.	Rawatpur	66	13,118 15 4	8,160 0 0	1,205 0 0	9,365 0 0	71·	400 0 0
	Ratanpur	67	420 6 9	420 0 0	...	420 0 0	100·	...
	Darwapur	68	184 9 5	60 0 0	...	60 0 0	32·	124 0 0
	Sakarwan	69	1,581 11 5	1,267 0 0	25 0 0	1,292 0 0	81·	215 0 0
	Misri Lal	70	8,781 3 3	883 4 6	...	883 4 6	10·	60 0 0
	Banajakha	71	240 7 6	...	...	...	...	...
	Wahid-un-nisa	72	43 0 9	32 0 0	...	32 0 0	74·	...
	Patti Shah	73	2,079 10 0	499 0 0	...	499 0 0	24·	...
	Ata Husain Khan	74	27 12 3	27 12 3	...	27 12 3	100·	...
	Arampur Bushi	75	6,454 8 11	1,440 0 0	100 0 0	1,540 0 0	24·	480 0 0
	Bhamba	76	181 0 9	117 13 3	...	117 13 3	90·	...
	Karhai	77	1,435 7 3	690 0 0	...	690 0 0	48·	...
	Bahuri	78	602 7 4	...	...	...	...	...
	Sultan Husain and Musammat Shams-un-nissa.	79	542 1 10	480 0 0	...	480 0 0	88·	...
	Diya Chakwa	80	1,034 10 6	...	...	...	...	...
	Singhpur	81	955 1 10	300 0 0	...	300 0 0	31·	...
	Baghel	82	52 7 3	...	...	...	...	...
	Sarai Pitha	83	7,748 10 10	714 8 3	406 0 0	1,120 8 3	14·	...
	Gambhira	84	8,842 10 2	996 0 0	2,000 0 0	2,996 0 0	34·	...
	Partab Chand	85	2,04,831 6 3	10,583 7 3	4,318 0 4	14,901 7 7	7·	971 0 0
	Shahpur	86	3,499 14 2	96 0 0	...	96 0 0	3·	...
	Bara	87	9,653 15 0	6,071 4 7	...	6,071 4 7	63·	...
	Pathana	88	2,074 11 10	...	...	...	...	...
	Ram Charan	89	31 0 0	22 0 0	...	22 0 0	71·	9 0 0
	Batuk Prasad	90	3,336 2 9	414 5 9	108 0 0	522 5 9	16·	143 6 9
	Hardial Lohar	91	84 13 5	27 8 0	...	27 8 0	32·	...
	Total ...		2,77,788 14 9	33,301 15 10	8,162 0 4	41,464 0 2	15·	2,402 6 9
BENARES.	Sarai Mir	92	6,561 15 7	200 0 0	100 0 0	300 0 0	5·	...
	Gopalpur No. 1	93	1,005 11 9	246 0 0	...	246 0 0	24·	115 4 6
	Sangaband	94	147 11 11	...	...	...	...	...
	Karim ud-dinpur	95	813 11 9	216 0 0	...	216 0 0	26·	...
	Anapur	96	52,455 4 7	9,271 13 8	1,550 0 0	10,821 13 8	21·	...
	Lakshmi Narain	97	1,804 5 1	300 0 0	...	300 0 0	17·	...
	Kodai	98	74 12 7	44 0 0	...	44 0 0	59·	...
	Chaumukha	99	6,549 10 3	2,975 2 2	300 0 0	3,275 2 2	50·	32 3 0
	Bansgaon	100	16,075 14 7	500 0 0	1,572 13 9	2,072 13 9	13·	889 1 2
	Dumri	101	27,474 13 4	...	...	...	...	...
	Narharpur	102	824 11 2	400 0 0	50 0 0	450 0 0	49·	...
	Majhauli	103	80,538 10 11	13,200 0 0	6,300 0 0	19,500 0 0	24·	108 5 3
	Sarju Prasad	104	3,177 0 0	2,000 0 0	1,000 0 0	3,000 0 0	94·	100 0 0
	Brijnandan Das	105	31,503 10 3	1,376 0 0	1,500 0 0	2,876 0 0	9·	...
	Fida Husain	106	912 9 10	409 3 8	...	409 3 8	45·	30 0 0
	Total ...		2,29,020 9 7	31,138 3 6	12,372 13 9	43,511 1 3	19·	1,274 13 11
JHANSI.	Chirgaon	107	2,211 12 1	1,200 0 0	50 0 0	1,250 0 0	56·	...
	Kukurgaon	108	4,034 6 7	698 1 0	300 0 0	998 1 0	25·	19 0 0
	Beona	109	1,521 9 3	589 1 10	400 0 0	989 1 10	65·	24 0 0
	Dhagwan Khurd, No. I. ...	110	14,220 0 5	673 12 0	200 0 0	873 12 0	6·	16 0 0
	Dhagwan Khurd, No. II. ...	111	1,993 2 3	690 0 0	...	690 0 0	35·	...
	Karora	112	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Mailwar Andela	113	109 5 8	85 0 0	...	85 0 0	78·	...
	Manikju and Dulaju	114	227 12 11	60 0 0	...	60 0 0	26·	22 2 0
	Pahalwan Singh	115	As there were no receipts and charges no accounts were received.					
	Lalitpur	116	1,592 13 10	175 0 0	...	175 0 0	11·	...
	Total ...		25,910 15 0	4,170 14 10	950 0 0	5,120 14 10	20·	81 2 0
	GRAND TOTAL ...		15,00,676 5 5	1,39,147 14 8	44,155 4 5	1,83,303 3 1	12·	24,091 7 8

in Statement No. IV incurred during the revenue year 1889-90—(concluded).

Miscellaneous disbursements.									
Works of improvement.	Takávi advances.	Repayment of principal of loans made by Court of Wards or Government.	Repayment of principal of other debts.	Purchase of Government securities.	Purchase of landed property and houses.	Loans granted on mortgage or otherwise.	Total personal expenditure and miscellaneous disbursements.	Total expenditure of estate during the year.	Serial number of estate.
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	
Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	
1,646 15 4	88 0 0	...	...	...	...	...	11,499 15 4	69,083 0 9	66
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	420 0 0	1,668 11 2	67
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	184 0 0	1,021 12 11	68
8 0 0	...	...	...	...	...	...	1,515 0 0	6,770 12 11	69
...	400 0 0	...	7,319 4 9	...	...	...	8,662 9 3	14,295 5 3	70
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1,384 7 4	71
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	32 0 0	81 7 0	72
...	...	...	...	1,000 0 0	...	...	1,499 0 0	2,556 2 3	73
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	27 12 3	2,497 10 0	74
...	100 0 0	...	3,240 4 7	...	...	...	5,360 4 7	18,867 2 5	75
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	117 13 3	795 10 6	76
...	...	...	432 13 1	...	...	...	1,122 13 1	5,400 6 6	77
...	...	529 1 6	...	...	...	...	529 1 6	1,394 9 2	78
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	480 0 0	2,941 3 5	79
...	...	593 9 6	...	...	...	...	593 9 6	5,161 3 3	80
...	10 0 0	...	235 2 3	...	...	...	545 2 3	6,545 10 6	81
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	559 5 9	82
...	...	...	1,039 4 10	...	...	...	2,159 13 1	15,304 8 11	83
...	...	4,973 2 3	...	...	...	...	7,969 2 3	25,895 11 8	84
3,118 10 3	50 0 0	...	131 0 3	69,500 0 0	250 6 0	1,00,000 0 0	1,88,922 8 1	3,09,340 5 0	85
...	...	...	3,020 13 0	...	...	...	3,116 13 0	14,875 8 4	86
1,121 6 9	154 0 0	897 1 10	...	...	125 0 0	...	8,368 13 2	1,22,332 4 7	87
...	...	...	...	992 8 0	...	...	992 8 0	1,007 6 10	88
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	31 0 0	229 14 11	89
...	...	...	2,515 0 7	...	...	...	3,180 13 1	7,385 0 7	90
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	27 8 0	29 0 0	91
5,895 0 4	802 0 0	6,992 15 1	17,933 11 4	71,492 8 0	375 6 0	1,00,000 0 0	2,47,357 15 8	6,37,424 5 11	
23 5 10	...	...	5,388 12 3	...	...	...	5,712 2 1	32,900 8 9	92
...	...	...	400 0 0	...	...	...	761 4 6	1,561 10 3	93
...	...	147 11 11	...	...	...	...	147 11 11	515 1 6	94
...	...	...	...	500 0 0	...	...	716 0 0	1,753 4 11	95
1,035 13 6	...	...	...	...	600 0 0	...	12,457 11 2	68,050 6 6	96
...	...	...	722 8 2	...	...	...	1,022 8 2	2,683 11 9	97
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	44 0 0	100 12 4	98
381 12 0	...	...	...	1,000 0 0	...	...	4,689 1 2	13,544 11 0	99
142 14 0	...	...	...	6,000 0 0	...	...	9,104 12 11	23,272 5 8	100
3,502 4 4	280 0 0	...	31 2 9	...	...	13,000 0 0	16,813 7 1	44,867 15 3	101
6 0 0	...	...	...	...	...	...	456 0 0	7,159 12 4	102
2,191 0 3	...	43,000 0 0	...	...	4,206 2 0	...	69,005 7 6	1,58,700 0 5	103
...	77 0 0	...	...	...	...	...	3,177 0 0	1,22,247 14 9	104
...	...	...	25,878 12 11	...	...	...	28,754 12 11	50,420 7 6	105
...	249 0 0	...	...	...	...	...	688 3 8	1,782 14 5	106
7,283 1 11	606 0 0	43,147 11 11	32,421 4 1	7,500 0 0	4,806 2 0	13,000 0 0	1,53,550 3 1	5,29,561 9 4	
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1,250 0 0	2,022 7 6	107
71 10 9	478 0 0	...	336 11 0	1,700 0 0	...	...	3,603 6 9	8,419 11 6	108
142 0 0	...	...	...	...	...	...	1,155 1 10	2,183 5 11	109
476 0 0	100 0 0	...	...	852 4 0	10,360 0 0	...	12,678 0 0	15,064 12 9	110
476 0 0	100 0 0	...	...	532 12 0	...	...	1,798 12 0	3,234 13 10	111
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	171 15 6	112
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	85 0 0	174 7 1	113
...	...	...	34 0 0	...	...	...	116 2 0	132 9 8	114
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	115
...	...	94 2 3	208 4 11	...	...	...	477 7 2	1,882 15 4	116
1,165 10 9	678 0 0	94 2 3	578 15 11	3,085 0 0	10,360 0 0	...	21,163 13 9	34,187 3 1	
24,208 1 7	11,798 0 0	72,990 9 0	1,67,882 0 2	1,71,467 11 0	29,078 11 3	3,48,000 0 0	10,32,819 11 9	22,99,968 15 0	

STATEMENT No. VI.—*List of improvements undertaken and wholly or partially completed during the revenue year* 1889-90.

Division.	District.	Serial number of estate.	Name of estate.	Description of work undertaken.	Amount originally sanctioned for the work.	Expended up to the commencement of the year.	Expended during the year.	Balance required to complete the work.
					Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
Meerut ...	Muzaffarnagar ...	3	Sambalhera ...	Construction of a wooden cylinder, parapet and tank for well ...	90 0 0	...	90 0 0	...
				Wooden frame for well	3 8 0	...	3 8 0	...
				Sinking a well	165 8 0	...	165 8 0	...
				Repairs to a garden	15 0 0	...	15 0 0	...
		4	Bidauli	Repairs to two wells	1,680 5 8	...	149 11 0	1,530 10 8
		5	Bhandura	Repairs to a pucka well	200 0 0	...	200 0 0	...
				Construction of a road	188 8 0	...	188 8 0	...
				Filling in a ditch	15 0 0	...	15 0 0	...
				Digging a drain to relieve floods in the rains	100 0 0	...	100 0 0	...
	Meerut ...	8	Karam Ali Khan ...	Construction of a pucka well in Bilochpura	1,868 0 0	1,034 0 0	285 14 0	...
				Repairs to a well in ditto	100 0 0	...	59 12 0	...
				Repairs to indigo factory at ditto	100 0 0	...	100 0 0	...
				Repairs to a well in Jarcha	100 0 0	...	21 3 0	...
				Arboriculture	300 0 0	...	84 0 0	...
		10	Hapur	Construction of a pucka well	1,800 0 0	800 0 0	1,000 0 0	...
		11	Ram Saran Das ...	Repairs to a pucka well	100 0 0	...	94 2 0	...
				Ditto kucha well	25 10 0	...	25 10 0	...
				Ditto Indigo factory	800 0 0	...	450 0 0	..
		13	Murari Lal ...	Wooden frame for a well	4 0 0	...	4 0 0	...
	Aligarh ...	15	Mohreni	Construction of wells at Bhabigarh	1,950 0 0	...	1,750 1 11	199 14 1
Agra ...	Maipuri ...	24	Karauli	Repairs to sugarcane mills	100 0 0	...	27 11 3	...
				Maintenance of trees on road side	150 0 0	...	132 0 0	...
				Do fodder reserves	100 0 0	...	72 0 0	...
	Etáwah ...	28	Harchandpur ...	Extending Harchandpur drainage cut and planting trees ...	600 0 0	280 13 2	179 1 5	140 1 5
				Establishing boundary marks defining the limits of the dhák and babúl jungle at Rurukhurd	100 0 0	...	55 12 0	44 4 0
				Construction of a masonry well	190 0 0	...	100 0 0	90 0 0
Rohilkhand ...	Bijnor ...	35	Kiratpur	Wooden frames for wells and petty repairs	50 0 0	...	11 8 0	...
		36	Malakpur Dehri ...	Repairs to a well	50 0 0	...	4 0 0	...
				Settling cultivators	15 0 0	...	4 0 0	...
		37	Kazi Imdad Ali ...	Wooden frame for a well	1 8 0	...	1 8 0	...
		39	Sahanpur ...	Cleaning and cutting of fire line in sál forest	300 0 0	...	152 1 0	...
				Repairs to wells	550 0 0	...	348 3 10	...
				Do to Malin canal	400 0 0	...	400 0 0	...
				Construction of five drinking wells	3,402 7 5	...	1,579 0 0	1,823 7 5
				Wooden frames for 11 wells	50 0 0	...	40 8 0	...

	Moradabad ...	42	Budh Prakash ...	Construction of two wells	1,702 13 0	603 5 1	1,096 13 5	...
	Bareilly ...	49	Begam Mashuk Mahal...	Repairs to sugar mills	400 0 0	...	254 14 0	...
				Construction of wells	600 0 0	...	173 5 9	...
		51	Srigopal	Construction of a pucka well	200 0 0	...	200 0 0	...
		52	Madhopur	Construction of a syphon on the Kailas canal	230 0 0	...	230 0 0	...
Allahabad ...	Cawnpore ...	66	Rawatpur	New fruit trees	150 0 0	...	80 0 0	...
				Repairs to wells	250 0 0	...	239 3 4	...
				Repairs to sugar mills	100 0 0	...	26 10 0	...
				Experiments on usar	50 0 0	...	8 0 0	...
				Construction of wells	443 9 0	...	443 0 0	...
				Experiments on sowing potatoes and sugarcane	83 0 0	...	83 0 0	...
				Construction of a road with drains	450 0 0	...	441 2 0	...
				Construction of kulaba of Girsi	326 0 0	...	326 0 0	...
		69	Sakarwan... ...	Construction of two kucha wells	25 0 0	...	8 0 0	...
	Allahabad ...	85	Partab Chand ...	Construction of a well	954 14 0	...	923 6 0	...
				Construction of and repairs to tanks	1,228 9 0	...	971 4 0	...
				Repairs to two bandhs	555 11 2	...	362 13 3	...
				Construction of platforms of two wells	200 0 0	...	195 0 0	...
				Repairs to platforms of old wells	200 0 0	...	24 12 0	...
				Masonry to a well	130 0 0	...	96 0 0	...
				Pay of overseer and his peon	1,000 0 0	...	545 7 0	...
		87	Bara	Construction of a well and repairs to four bandhs	1,164 0 0	...	1,121 6 9	...
Benares ...	Azamgarh ...	92	Sarai Mir ...	Repairs to bandhs, &c.	100 0 0	...	23 5 10	...
	Gházipur ...	96	Anapur ...	Construction of a bandh	500 0 0	...	500 0 0	...
				Construction of a well	658 15 6	...	502 0 0	...
				Repairs to platforms of wells and salary of overseer	200 0 0	...	33 13 6	...
	Gorakhpur ...	99	Chaumukha ...	Repairs to old wells	100 0 0	...	151 14 0	...
				Arboriculture	150 0 0	...	108 0 0	...
				Supervision and miscellaneous work	100 0 0	...	121 14 0	...
		100	Bansgaon ...	Repairs to wells	200 0 0	...	30 0 0	...
				Arboriculture	200 0 0	...	102 0 0	...
				Miscellaneous work	100 0 0	...	10 14 0	...
		101	Dumri ...	Repairs to roads and embankments	1,000 0 0	...	1,252 9 0	...
				Miscellaneous work	800 0 0	...	823 1 9	...
				Sissu plantation	800 0 0	...	666 7 10	...
				Completion of jagats on new wells	1,000 0 0	...	506 10 0	...
				Repairs to buildings at Chaura bazaar	250 0 0	...	253 7 9	...
		102	Narharpur ...	Clearing out a drainage cut	100 0 0	...	6 0 0	...
		103	Majhauli ...	Repairs to Sazawal's offices	100 0 0	...	104 4 6	...
				Ditto Majhauli roads	200 0 0	...	190 13 6	...
				Miscellaneous improvements at Barhaj	1,000 0 0	...	1,098 3 0	...
				Completion of jagats on wells	500 0 0	...	643 7 9	...
				Arboriculture	300 0 0	...	154 3 6	...

STATEMENT No VI.—*List of improvements undertaken and wholly or partially completed during the revenue year* 1889-90—(concluded).

Division.	District.	Serial number of estate.	Name of estate.	Description of work undertaken.	Amount originally sanctioned for the work.	Expended up to the commencement of the year.	Expended during the year.	Balance required to complete the work.
					Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
Jhánsi ...	Jalaun ...	108	Kukurgaon ...	Repairs to a pucca well	50 0 0	...	50 0 0	...
				Ditto ditto bandh	94 0 0	...	21 10 9	...
	Ditto ...	109	Beona ...	Construction of an embankment	368 0 0	300 5 3	100 0 0	...
				Repairs to a pucka well	42 0 0	...	42 0 0	...
	Jalaun ...	110	Dhagwan Khurd No. I ...	Repairs to dwelling-house	1,932 0 0	1,454 13 0	476 0 0	...
	Ditto ...	111	Dhagwan Khurd No. II ...	Ditto	476 0 0	...	476 0 0	...
				Total ...	37,428 14 9	4,473 4 6	24,208 1 7	3,828 5 7

STATEMENT No. VII.—*Debts paid off from date of superintendence to close of the revenue year* 1889-90.

Division.	District.	Serial number of estate.	Name of estate.	Superintendence when assumed.	Debts due when superintendence was first assumed.	Incurred after superintendence was assumed.	Debts cleared off. By incurring other debts or by sale of property.	Debts cleared off. From cash balance in hand or otherwise.	Total.	Balance.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
					Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
MEERUT ...	Saháranpur ...	1	Raghunath Das ...	14th June 1886 ...	3,836 8 0	...	...	1,534 3 3	1,534 3 3	2,302 4 9
	Muzaffarnagar ...	3	Sambalhera	15th Jan. 1870 ...	1,16,853 9 11	24,534 0 9	1,08,393 15 0	30,567 13 11	1,38,961 12 11	2,425 13 9
		5	Bhandura	8th April 1888 ...	(a)567 6 11	...	...	567 6 11	567 6 11	...
		6	Ratheri	29th Nov. 1887 ...	490 9 4	...	150 0 0	200 9 4	350 9 4	140 0 0
	Meerut	8	Karam Ali Khan ...	10th June 1872 ...	15,786 11 6	32,575 0 0	...	48,361 11 6	48,361 11 6	...
		9	Daurala	16th Mar. 1880 ...	3,396 0 0	3,400 0 0	3,400 0 0	3,396 0 0	6,796 0 0	...
		10	Hapur	1st Sep. 1881 ...	1,873 11 6	...	...	1,873 11 6	1,873 11 6	...
		11	Ram Saran Das ...	11th May 1883 ...	4,713 7 6	...	...	4,520 15 3	4,520 15 3	192 8 3
		13	Murari Lal	1st Oct. 1888 ...	7,984 2 3	1,038 0 0	...	3,568 6 3	3,568 6 3	5,453 12 0
	Bulandshahr ...	14	Belaun	23rd May 1879 ...	1,800 0 0	...	...	1,800 0 0	1,800 0 0	...
	Aligarh	15	Mohreni	2nd Oct. 1880 ...	3,207 13 8	7 6 6	...	3,215 4 2	3,215 4 2	...
				Total ...	1,60,510 0 7	61,554 7 3	1,11,943 15 0	99,606 2 1	2,11,550 1 1	10,514 6 9
AGRA ...	Muttra	17	Parshadi Lal ...	1st Sep. 1889 ...	1,271 10 11	...	...	24 0 0	24 0 0	1,247 10 11
	Agra	18	Parna	3rd Oct. 1874 ...	27,664 2 6	26,000 0 0	26,000 0 0	27,664 2 6	53,664 2 6	...
		19	Khimsipur ...	3rd April 1876 ...	81 2 0	...	...	81 2 0	81 2 0	...
	Farukhabad ...	20	Abdul Majid Khan ...	5th Dec. 1884 ...	374 6 1	20 11 10	...	395 1 11	395 1 11	...
		21	Guthena	1st Feb. 1888 ...	559 13 0	...	...	559 13 0	559 13 0	...
	Mainpuri	23	Arjunpur	27th Aug. 1877 ...	57,663 5 3	48,688 1 1	48,000 0 0	36,586 8 8	84,586 8 8	21,764 13 8
		24	Karauli	18th Nov. 1879 ...	4,130 1 0	10 0 0	...	4,140 1 0	4,140 1 0	...
		25	Musammat Jasoda Kuar	1st April 1889 ...	12,575 0 0	13,700 0 0	11,978 15 0	...	11,978 15 0	14,296 1 0
		26	Chakkarnagar ...	4th Nov. 1876 ...	90,000 0 0	...	...	39,000 0 0	39,000 0 0	51,000 0 0
	Etáwah	27	Bharthna	4th May 1875 ...	13,500 0 0	21,000 0 0	21,000 0 0	13,500 0 0	34,500 0 0	...
		28	Harchandpur ...	16th April 1888 ...	1,94,273 15 5	35,000 0 0	1,52,494 0 0	17,814 15 5	1,70,308 15 5	58,965 0 0
		29	Roshangpur ...	23rd Nov. 1888 ...	(b) 2,851 4 0	...	...	2,851 4 0	2,851 4 0	...
	Etah	30	Nagla Kisi	1st Feb. 1878 ...	41 10 8	...	...	41 10 8	41 10 8	...
		32	Arthara	May 1885 ...	1,441 11 0	1,500 0 0	1,500 0 0	514 12 10	2,014 12 10	926 14 2
		33	Nagla Amin ...	1st Oct. 1885 ...	9,348 12 7	9,000 0 0	16,000 0 0	1,248 12 7	17,248 12 7	1,100 0 0
		34	Chet Singh ...	5th Sep. 1889 ...	10,121 0 4	...	...	...	...	10,121 0 4
				Total ...	4,25,897 14 9	1,54,918 12 11	2,76,972 15 0	1,44,422 4 7	4,21,395 3 7	1,59,421 8 1
ROHILKHAND...	Bijnor	35	Kiratpur	15th April 1879 ...	24,037 7 10	9,600 0 0	13,246 7 8	12,720 6 5	25,966 14 1	7,670 9 9
		36	Malakpur Dehri ...	17th Oct. 1879 ...	4,545 3 0	...	...	4,545 3 0	4,545 3 0	...
		37	Kazi Imdad Ali ...	28th April 1881 ...	696 9 7	...	696 9 7	...	696 9 7	...
		38	Parbin Singh ...	19th Decr. 1883 ...	8,132 5 9	7,600 0 0	12,662 4 0	3,070 1 9	15,732 5 9	...
		39	Sahanpur	1st Janv. 1880 ...	2,06,570 3 3	1,05,000 0 0	1,32,088 2 0	1,79,482 1 3	3,11,570 3 3	...
		40	Pedi	1st Aug. 1888 ...	8,813 8 0	12,066 12 6	9,836 0 0	2,970 0 7	12,806 0 7	8,074 3 11
	Moradabad	42	Budh Prakash ...	11th Novr. 1878...	8,472 7 7	5,409 4 3	5,000 0 0	8,881 11 10	13,881 11 10	...
		43	Mubarak Husain ...	8th Aug. 1881 ...	8,677 13 0	...	...	2,957 5 7	2,957 5 7	5,720 7 5
		44	Kunj Behari Lal and Sipahi Lal.	15th Feb. 1884 ...	2,488 8 0	93 11 5	...	2,582 3 5	2,582 3 5	...
		46	Anand Sarup and Jagdish Sarup.	1st April 1890 ...	4,705 9 9	...	2,550 0 0	2,155 9 9	4,705 9 9	...
		47	Rampur	1st April 1890 ...	72,954 8 0	...	2,109 5 0	23,654 0 6	25,763 5 6	47,191 2 6

(a) Rs. 229-10-6 since found due and added.
(b) Rs. 409-3-0 since found due and added.

STATEMENT No. VII.—*Debts paid off from date of superintendence to close of the revenue year* 1889-90—(concluded).

Division.	District.	Serial number of estate.	Name of estate.	Superintendence when assumed.	Debts due when superintendence was first assumed.	Incurred after superintendence was assumed.	Debts cleared off. By incurring other debts or by sale of property.	Debts cleared off. From cash balance in hand or otherwise.	Total.	Balance.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
					Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
Rohilkhand—(concluded).	Budaun ...	48	Sheikhupur	13th July 1886 ...	6,826 0 0	...	...	1,826 0 0	1,826 0 0	5,000 0 0
	Bareilly	49	Begam Mashuk Mahal ...	9th Jan. 1884 ...	...	153 5 1	...	31 10 3	31 10 3	121 10 10
		50	Ballia	8th Decr. 1883 ...	35,558 8 10	15,000 0 0	30,325 0 0	7,734 8 10	38,059 8 10	12,499 0 0
		53	Durga Prasad ...	Nov. 1881 ...	33 6 6	0 11 9	...	34 2 3	34 2 3	...
	Sháhjahánpur ...	54	Narainpur ...	13th Feb. 1870 ...	44,000 0 0	8,128 7 6	...	52,128 7 6	52,128 7 6	..
		55	Nahal	27th Feb. 1875 ...	72,004 0 0	1,000 0 0	...	73,004 0 0	73,004 0 0	...
		56	Fakhr-ud-din Khan ...	25th Sep. 1878 ...	54,565 8 11	416 8 9	51,548 1 8	332 9 10	51,880 11 6	3,101 6 2
		57	Mohanpur	Mar. 1882 ...	19,944 5 3	28,200 0 0	32,100 0 0	8,847 5 9	40,947 5 9	7,196 15 6
		58	Kakra	1st July 1883 ...	16,205 13 6	21,000 0 0	21,500 0 0	12,666 2 8	34,166 2 8	3,039 10 10
		59	Azizganj	6th April 1887 ...	42,071 9 0	40,000 0 0	40,000 0 0	17,784 13 2	57,784 13 2	24,286 11 10
		60	Dhukri	20th April 1887 ...	322 15 5	470 0 0	600 0 0	36 3 10	636 3 10	156 11 7
		61	Bamrauli*	July 1888 ...	14,098 7 1	...	11,337 14 0	...	11,337 14 0	2,760 9 1
		62	Zafar Ali Khan ...	1st Dec. 1888 ...	3,700 0 0	...	1,120 0 0	...	1,120 0 0	2,580 0 0
		64	Bhatpura Rasulpura ...	Oct. 1888 ...	28,564 9 9	21,365 1 9	21,300 0 0	9,837 11 4	31,137 11 4	18,792 0 2
		65	Khirya Rasulpur ...	17th Dec. 1889 ...	4,456 4 10	...	...	...	...	4,456 4 10
				Total ...	6,92,445 12 10	2,75,503 15 0	3,88,019 11 11	4,27,282 7 6	8,15,302 3 5	1,52,647 8 5
ALLAHABAD ...	Cawnpore	66	Rawatpur	24th June 1873 ...	6,741 0 5	1,169 6 3	...	7,910 6 8	7,910 6 8	...
		67	Ratanpur	16th Dec. 1881 ...	981 9 6	1,410 0 0	1,060 0 0	1,281 9 6	2,341 9 6	...
		68	Darwapur	9th May 1884 ...	2,606 12 9	2,500 0 0	3,985 13 7	360 0 11	4,345 14 6	760 14 3
		70	Misri Lal	7th Dec. 1888 ...	30,484 7 5	...	28,684 7 5	...	28,684 7 5	1,800 0 0
	Fatehpur	72	Wahid-un nisa ...	3rd Mar. 1890 ...	242 0 0	...	...	...	...	242 0 0
		73	Patti Shah	18th April 1876 ...	8,442 1 4	5,500 0 0	5,500 0 0	8,442 1 4	13,942 1 4	...
		74	Ata Husain Khan ...	29th July 1875 ...	12,000 0 0	...	...	12,000 0 0	12,000 0 0	...
		75	Arampur Bushi ...	23rd April 1880 ...	63,242 2 3	51,378 4 0	51,378 4 0	57,844 11 3	1,09,222 15 3	5,397 7 0
	Bánda	76	Bhamba	30th Jan. 1880 ...	602 0 9	...	...	602 0 9	602 0 9	...
		77	Karhai	5th June 1880 ...	12,000 0 0	4,000 0 0	4,000 0 0	10,279 8 6	14,279 8 6	1,720 7 6
		78	Bahuri	5th April 1881 ...	4,130 5 8	7,650 0 0	7,576 2 9	2,427 8 6	10,003 11 3	1,776 10 5
		79	Sultan Husain and Musammat Shams-un-nisa.	1st May 1881 ...	6,734 0 0	7,600 0 0	7,534 0 0	2,891 5 11	10,425 5 11	3,908 10 1
		80	Diya Chakwa ...	4th June 1882 ...	11,464 14 6	11,000 0 0	10,939 14 6	6,045 9 3	16,985 7 9	5,479 6 9
		81	Singhpur	1st July 1882 ...	21,581 9 0	22,000 0 0	20,545 6 2	4,688 1 7	25,233 7 9	18,348 1 3
		82	Baghel	27th Nov. 1884 ...	1,779 4 6	1,900 0 0	1,779 4 6	537 11 6	2,317 0 0	1,362 4 6
	Allahabad	83	Sarai Pitha	18th Feb. 1875 ...	39,925 8 1	...	...	39,925 8 1	39,925 8 1	...
		84	Gambhira	16th Mar. 1877 ...	68,723 6 4	...	...	64,596 8 7	64,596 8 7	4,126 13 9
		85	Partab Chand ...	27th June 1872 ...	34,567 3 3	3,435 7 2	...	38,002 10 5	38,002 10 5	...
		86	Shahpur	1st Aug. 1882 ...	65,760 6 6	...	...	29,208 15 5	29,208 15 5	26,551 7 1
		87	Bara	7th May 1886 ...	2,88,311 15 11	2,36,000 0 0	2,36,000 0 0	51,825 7 2	2,87,825 7 2	2,36,486 8 9
	Jaunpur	88	Pathana	1st Nov. 1882 ...	6,242 11 5	4,666 10 8	9,417 10 8	1,491 11 5	10,909 6 1	...
		89	Ram Charan ...	26th Aug. 1885 ...	440 15 9	...	...	51 15 9	51 15 9	389 0 0
		90	Batuk Prasad ...	3rd Nov. 1885 ...	41,538 10 3	40,900 0 0	64,031 8 0	12,836 4 1	76,867 12 1	4,670 14 2
				Total ...	7,18,493 1 7	4,00,209 12 1	4,52,432 7 7	3,53,249 12 7	8,05,682 4 2	3,13,020 9 6

* The figures against Bamrauli have been entered subject to further explanations which have been called for.

Benares ...	Azamgarh	...	92	Sarai Mir	...	1st Mar. 1880...	1,27,503	13	10	1,25,054	10	0	1,25,054	10	0	42,506	1	8	1,67,560	11	8	84,997	12	7
	Mirzapur	...	93	Gopalpur No. 1	...	24th Sep. 1875...	18,323	0	0	10,111	13	5	10,111	13	5	17,023	0	0	27,134	13	5	1,300	0	0
			94	Sangaband ...	...	23rd Dec. 1888...	3,000	0	0	...			...			218	12	5	218	12	5	2,781	3	7
	Gházipur	...	96	Anapur ...	...	16th Jan. 1882...	42,739	7	3	36,300	0	0	...			79,039	7	3	79,039	7	3	...		
			97	Lakshmi Narain	...	14th Aug. 1888...	5,329	15	9	...			...			1,427	10	11	1,427	10	11	3,902	4	10
	Gorakhpur	...	99	Chaumukha	...	29th Aug. 1879...	45,266	1	6	14,479	3	9	48,478	5	0	11,267	0	3	59,745	5	3	...		
			100	Bansgaon ...	...	6th July 1880...	60,139	8	11	...			...			60,139	8	11	60,139	8	11	...		
			101	Dumri ...	...	May 1882...	930	7	0	1,465	9	9	...			2,396	0	9	2,396	0	9	...		
			102	Narharpur ...	...	5th Jan. 1885...	18,214	10	6	...			...			16,514	10	6	16,514	10	6	1,700	0	0
			103	Majhauli ...	...	22nd Nov. 1871...	7,55,528	14	8	...			...			7,28,155	3	6	7,28,155	3	6	27,373	11	2
			105	Brijnandan Das	...	24th Aug. 1889...	78,385	13	5	...			...			25,878	12	11	25,878	12	11	52,507	0	6
	Basti	...	106	Fida Husain	...	16th July 1885...	472	4	11	17	0	0	...			489	4	11	489	4	11	...		
						Total ...	11,55,834	1	9	1,87,428	4	11	1,83,644	12	5	9,85,055	9	7	11,68,700	6	0	1,74,562	0	8
Jhánsi ...	Jhánsi	...	107	Chirgaon ...	...	11th July 1873...	3,041	11	1	1,447	0	0	...			4,488	11	1	4,488	11	1	...		
	Jalaun	...	108	Kukurgaon ...	...	26th Nov. 1876...	12,000	0	0	14,412	1	6	12,000	0	0	14,412	1	6	26,412	1	6	...		
			109	Beona ...	...	21st July 1880...	2,872	10	6	3,000	0	0	2,872	10	6	3,000	0	0	5,872	10	6	...		
	Lalitpur	...	114	Manikju and Dulaju	...	9th Aug. 1886...	157	3	7	...			...			140	7	1	140	7	1	16	12	6
			116	Lalitpur ...	...	25th June 1887...	1,806	0	10	600	0	0	...			1,183	7	8	1,183	7	8	1,222	9	2
						Total ...	19,877	10	0	19,459	1	6	14,872	10	6	23,224	11	4	38,097	5	10	1,239	5	8
						Grand Total ...	31,73,058	9	6	10,99,074	5	8	14,27,886	8	5	20,32,840	15	8	34,60,727	8	1	8,11,405	7	1

STATEMENT No. VIII.—*Present circumstances of the loans made to encumbered estates*

Authority for granting loan.		Amount of loan sanctioned.	Rate of interest payable per annum.	How repayable.		To what estate advanced.		Date of payment from treasury.
Number.	Date.			Term of years.	Instalment fixed, if any.	Name of estate.	Serial number of estate.	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
								COURT OF WARDS
		Rs. a. p.						
B. O. No $\frac{1710}{X.-1}$ ...	22nd Sept. 1888 ...	26,000 0 0	7	...	...	Karam Ali Khan ...	8	20th Dec. 1888 ...
B. O. No. $\frac{30}{X.-190}$ ...	9th Jan. 1889 ...	13,700 0 0	6	10	Rs. 685 half yearly.	Musst. Jasoda Kuar,	25	17th May 1889 ...
B. O. No. 6 ...	3rd Jan. 1890 ...	35,000 0 0	7	12	Rs. 2,250 ditto	Harchandpur ...	28	3rd Feb. 1890 ...
B. O. No. 438 ...	14th April 1887 ...	1,500 0 0	7	...	...	Arthara ...	32	3rd Nov. 1887 ...
B. O. No. 1655CN. ...	15th Sept. 1885 ...	9,000 0 0	7	...	...	Nagla Amin ...	33	26th Nov. 1885 ...
B. O. No. 1303 ...	16th Dec. 1889 ...	2,806 0 0	...	...	...	Pedi ...	40	June 1889 ...
B. O. No. 454 ...	4th May 1889 ...	3,000 0 0	7	18	Rs. 450 half yearly			23rd and 25th Sep. 1889.
B. O. No. 130 ...	26th Jan. 1885 ...	15,000 0 0	7	3	...	Ballia ...	50	4th May 1885 ...
B. O. No. 993 ...	8th Nov. 1885 ...	9,200 0 0	7	12	...	Mohanpur ...	57	8th Jan. 1887 ...
B. O. No. 641 ...	21st May 1886 ...	9,000 0 0	7	5½	Rs. 225 ...	Kakra ...	58	21st Aug. 1886 ...
B. O. No. 1038 ...	3rd Dec. 1887 ...	300 0 0	7	...	...	Dhukri	60	15th Dec. 1887 ...
B. O. No. 536 ...	30th May 1889 ...	170 0 0						27th Sep. 1889 ...
B. O. No. $\frac{1092}{X.-114}$ ...	18th Oct. 1889 ...	1,300 0 0	7	...	...	Bhatpura Rasulpura	64	2-27th Sept. 1889 ...
B. O. No. 553 ...	23rd April 1884 ...	2,500 0 0	7	...	Rs. 280 ...	Darwapur ...	68	30th July 1884 ...
B. O. No. 42 ...	14th Jan. 1885 ...	3,500 0 0	7	12	Rs. 225 half yearly	Bahuri ...	78	6th April 1885 ...
B. O. No. 480 ...	2nd April 1881 ...	6,000 0 0	7	11	Rs. 400 ...	Sultan Husain and Musst. Shams-un-nisa ...	79	12-22nd Aug. 1881 ...
B. O. No. 1068 ...	20th Sept. 1884 ...	800 0 0						7th June 1884 ...
G. O. No. 16 ...	4th Jan. 1882 ...	11,000 0 0	7	14	Rs. 625 half yearly.	Diya Chakwa ...	80	20th March 1882 ...
B. O. No. 1298 ...	20th Nov. 1884 ...	1,900 0 0	7	9	Rs. 150 ditto ...	Baghel ...	82	5th Jan. 1885 ...
G. O. No. 426A ...	16th March 1877 ...	70,000 0 0	7½	11	...	Gambhira ...	84	23rd Oct. 1878 ...
G. O. No. $\frac{1950}{I.-831}$ ...	2nd Nov. 1885 ...	2,34,266 8 1	7	...	...	Bara ...	87	7th, 8th & 17th May, 7th Aug., 1st & 24th Sept. ... 1st Nov. and 20th Dec. 1886 ...
B. O. No. 369 ...	13th April 1889 ...	600 0 0	7	7	...	Lalitpur ...	116	1st May 1889 ...
								Total ...
								STATE LOANS.
G. O. No. 1459A ...	13th Mar. 1876 ...	48,000 0 0	6	17	Rs. 2,271-7-4 half yearly.	Arjunpur ...	23	Dec. 1876 and April 1878.
G. O. No. 288A ...	13th Feb. 1875 ...	70,000 0 0	5	17	*None.*	Nabal ...	55	20th Mar. 1875 ...
G. O. No. $\frac{4488}{X.-493}$ ...	16th July 1888 ..	3,000 0 0	5	...	*None.*	Sangaband ...	94	29th Oct. 1888 ...
G. O. No. 1183A ...	5th May 1874 ...	8,00,000 0 0	6	20	*None.*	Majhauli ...	103	April 1870 and Dec. 1872.
								Total ...

STATEMENT No. IX.—*Showing details of notices of ejectment under section* 36, *Act XII of* 1881.

Division.	Name of estate.	Serial number of estate.	Number of notices issued under section 36.	Area of land in standard acres to which the notices referred.	Notices contested under section 26.				Result of notices of ejectment not contested.			
					Successfully.		Unsuccessfully.		Tenants relinquished their holdings.		Tenants permitted to remain in possession at enhanced rates.	
					Number.	Area.	Number	Area.	Number.	Area.	Number.	Area.
				A. r. p.		A. r. p.		A. r. p.		A. r. p.		A. r. p.
MEERUT ...	Sambalhera ...	3	1	14 3 19	...	...	1	14 3 19	...	...	...	...
	Karam Ali Khan ...	8	94	509 3 33	12	44 2 10	51	227 2 18	31	237 3 5	...	...
	Ram Saran Das ...	11	16	126 3 35	...	...	1	11 1 0	15	115 2 35	...	...
	Murari Lal ...	13	20	72 1 3	1	5 3 30	...	...	19	66 1 13	...	...
AGRA ...	Karauli ...	24	5	18 0 31	...	...	...	...	5	18 0 31	...	...
ROHILKHAND	Malakpur Dohri ...	36	1	3 2 1	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	3 2 1
	Sahanpur ...	39	3	55 0 10	...	...	2	54 0 0	1	1 0 10	...	...
	Kunj Behari Lal and Sipahi Lal ...	44	23	57 0 30	1	10 3 33	1	2 3 30	20	42 1 13	1	3 34
	Begam Mushuk Mahal	49	28	56 3 30½	...	...	...	...	28	56 3 30½	...	...
	Ballia ...	50	22	169 2 20	...	...	...	...	22	169 2 20	...	...
	Srigopal ...	51	5	7 1 5	...	...	...	...	5	7 1 5	...	...
	Kakra ...	58	1	10 0 0	...	...	...	...	1	10 0 0	...	...
	Azizganj ...	59	7	32 0 20	...	...	2	5 0 10	5	27 0 10	...	...
ALLAHABAD ...	Rawatpur ...	66	19	34 0 39	...	...	1	4 2 21	18	29 2 18	...	...
	Misri Lal ...	70	4	6 0 12	...	...	...	...	1	0 3 12	3	5 1 0
	Arampur Bushi ...	75	10	18 2 6	2	4 3 1	1	0 2 18	...	...	7	13 0 27
	Partab Chand ...	85	55	133 1 37	5	30 2 24	...	...	35	60 3 37	15	41 3 16
	Shahpur ...	86	2	7 2 35	1	5 2 31	...	1 0 20	1	0 3 24	...	...
	Bara ...	87	a81	534 2 10	6	17 1 37	2	67 2 34½	6	32 0 9½	66	411 3 9½
	Batuk Prasad ...	90	10	15 2 30	2	5 0 20	...	...	8	10 2 10	...	...
BENARES ...	Sarai Mir ...	92	44	36 3 35	1	0 3 0	6	5 1 0	1	3 1 35	36	27 2 0
	Anapur ...	96	31	98 0 20	2	6 3 38	2	22 0 30	18	50 1 7½	9	18 2 24½
	Lakshmi Narain ...	97	6	9 0 0	...	...	...	...	1	1 0 20	5	7 3 20
	Dumri ...	101	90	188 1 9	4	6 0 0	1	4 1 9	...	...	85	178 0 0
	Majhauli ...	103	4	12 2 0	3	5 0 0	1	7 2 0	...	...	...	...
	Total ...	...	582	2,229 0 30½	40	143 3 24	72	420 0 9½	241	942 0 25½	228	708 2 12

a One case affecting an area of 5-1-39½ remained pending.

STATEMENT No. X.—*Showing details of applications for enhancement of rent.*

Division.	Name of estate.	Serial number of estate.	Cultivated area.	Number of applications for enhancement decreed during the year.	Number of tenants concerned.	Area in acres.	Rent previously paid.	Rate per acre.	Rent decreed.	Rate per acre.
			A. r. p.			A. r. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
MEERUT ...	Sambalhera ...	3	11 1 13	1	4	11 1 13	59 13 3	5 5 1	84 4 0	7 8 10
ROHILKHAND	Madhopur ...	52	223 0 0	24	45	141 0 0	702 5 0	4 15 8	862 5 0	6 1 10
ALLAHABAD ...	Arampur Bushi ...	75	a16 0 0	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Bara ...	87	55 1 0	4	4	55 1 0	95 7 0	1 11 8	122 12 0	2 3 6
BENARES ...	Sarai Mir ...	92	a130 2 0	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Dumri ...	101	170 2 1	17	17	170 2 1	482 5 3	2 13 3	510 10 3	2 15 11
	Total ...	...	606 2 14	46	70	378 0 14	1,339 14 6	3 8 8	1,579 15 3	4 2 10

a Pending.

STATEMENT No. XI.—*Showing the estates from which arrears of the Government current demand were due at the close of the revenue year* 1889-90.

Division.	Name of estate.	Serial number of estate.	Government demand. a. Revenue.	Government demand. b. Local cesses.	Government demand. c. Patwári rate.	Government demand. d. Takávi.	Paid. a. Revenue.	Paid. b. Local cesses.	Paid. c. Patwári rate.	Paid. d. Takávi.	Arrears. a. Revenue.	Arrears. b. Local cesses.	Arrears. c. Patwári rate.	Arrears. d. Takávi.
			Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
Meerut ...	Sambalhera ...	3	...	1,155 13 9	...	...	...	1,150 15 9	...	...	..	(a)4 14 0	...	...
Agra ...	Parshadi Lal ...	17	646 3 8	119 2 7	39 11 4	...	463 2 0	110 8 1	36 12 5	...	(a) 183 1 8	(a)8 10 6	(a)2 14 11	...
	Roshangpur ...	29	4,894 4 6	...	...	...	4,814 4 6	...	...	...	(b) 80 0 0	...	...	...
	Chet Singh ...	34	2,114 9 4	253 12 0	...	...	693 8 3	165 1 7	...	...	(c)1,421 1 1	(d)88 10 5	...	...
Rohilkhand ...	Fakhr-ud din Khán,	56	902 10 5	109 0 4	36 4 4	...	898 15 5	108 9 3	36 2 0	...	(e) 3 11 0	(e)0 7 1	(e)0 2 4	...
	Total ...		8,557 11 11	1,637 12 8	75 15 8	...	6,869 14 2	1,535 2 8	72 14 5	...	1,687 13 9	102 10 0	3 1 3	...

(a) Represents the amount due by the estate to the lambardár by whom it is paid to Government.
(b) Suspended under G. O. No. $\frac{1000}{1-470A.}$, dated 16th June 1890.
(c) Rs. 738-12-0 held under suspension; the remainder cannot be paid from the assets in hand.
(d) Held under suspension.
(e) Suspended on account of injuries sustained by hailstorm.

STATEMENT No. XII.—*Showing the estates from which outstanding arrears of revenue were due at the close of the revenue year* 1889-90.

Division.	Name of estate.	Serial number of estate.	Government demand. a. Revenue.	Government demand. b. Local cesses.	Government demand. c. Patwári rate.	Government demand. d. Takávi.	Paid. a Revenue.	Paid. b. Local cesses.	Paid. c. Patwári rate.	Paid. d. Takávi.	Arrears. a. Revenue.	Arrears. b. Local cesses.	Arrears. c. Patwári rate.	Arrears. d. Takávi.
			Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p			Rs. a. p	Rs. a. p.			Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.		
Meerut ...	Sambalhera ...	3	...	9 12 0	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	(a)9 12 0	...	...
Agra ...	Abdul Majid Khan,	20	(b)6 2 3	(b)1 2 9	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Chakkarnagar ...	26	222 0 0	...	...	...	172 0 0	...	...	...	50 0 0	...	...	...
	Bharthna ...	27	293 0 0	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	(c)293 0 0	...	...	...
	Harchandpur ...	28	560 0 0	...	...	...	560 0 0	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Roshangpur ...	29	122 0 0	...	...	...	122 0 0	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Chet Singh ...	34	2,157 10 9	164 4 0	...	...	...	...	...	...	(d)2,157 10 9	164 4 0	...	...
Rohilkhand ...	Dhukri ...	60	31 12 0	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	(c)31 12 0	...	...	...
	Bamrauli ...	61	1,186 4 0	59 4 9	...	...	1,186 4 0	59 4 9	...	...	...	...	...	...
Allahabad ...	Bhamta ...	76	345 0 0	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	345 0 0	...	...	...
	Karhai ...	77	100 0 0	...	...	...	100 0 0	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Sultan Husain and Musammat Shams-un-nisa.	79	(e)450 0 0	...	...	...	450 0 0	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Singhpur ...	81	19 5 7	...	...	...	19 5 7	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Total ...		5,493 2 7	234 7 6	...		2,609 9 7	59 4 9	...	...	2,877 6 9	174 0 0	...	...

(a) Represents the amount due to the lambardár who has not applied for payment.
(b) Remitted by Government.
(c) Held under suspension.
(d) Rs. 450 held under suspension; the remainder accrued before assumption of charge and cannot be paid from the assets in hand.
(e) Omitted to be shown in last year's report.

STATEMENT No. XIII.—*Receipts and Disbursements of Benevolent Trusts for the revenue year* 1889-90.

Division.	District.	Name of Trust.	Receipts. Cash rents.	Mill rents.	House rents.	Miscellaneous income.	Interest on Government securities.	Debts recovered.	Government contributions.	Other contributions.	Profits from inmates' labour.	Advances.	Total.	Opening balance.	GRAND TOTAL.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
			Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.		Rs. a. p	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.		Rs. a. p	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
MEERUT	Saháranpur	Roorkee College endowment.	...	...	...	...	510 3 5	...	...	...	...	...	510 3 5	492 4 7	1,002 8 0
	Meerut	Dispensary endowment of Har Sahai Mal and Ganga Ram.	...	...	...	...	112 8 0	...	...	...	...	...	112 8 0	...	112 8 0
	Bijnor	Sherkot Dispensary	...	...	...	10 11 9	324 0 0	...	...	...	...	...	334 11 9	299 14 0	634 9 9
ROHILKHAND.	Moradabad	Rani Kishori Kuar	1,062 1 8	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1,062 1 8	558 15 0	1,621 0 8
		General Strachey's endowment.	...	...	...	...	140 0 0	...	...	...	...	...	140 0 0	...	140 0 0
	Bareilly	Aonla Dispensary	2,230 0 0	...	...	6 3 0	320 0 0	...	...	...	...	...	2,556 3 0	214 2 2	2,770 5 2
		Ramna Gulzar Bagh	319 13 0	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	319 13 0	...	319 13 0
ALLAHABAD.	Cawnpore	Pandit Amar Nath	4,403 0 10	...	...	487 7 7	...	...	...	...	...	...	4,890 8 5	3,506 0 1	8,396 8 6
	Allahabad	Gopalganj Bazár	10 0 0	...	5 4 0	1,295 2 9	...	...	...	...	...	...	1,310 6 9	158 9 9	1,469 0 6
BENARES	Benares	Kala Singana Chetty's Chaultry.	...	...	...	20 11 0	1,067 0 0	...	...	...	...	...	1,087 11 0	527 13 5	1,615 8 5
		Venkat Acharya's Bequest.	...	...	...	25 10 6	518 11 2	...	...	...	...	...	544 5 8	66 7 4	610 13 0
		Rája Kali Shankar Ghosal's Asylum.	...	...	...	285 0 2	2,824 0 0	...	2,328 9 0	1,250 0 0	231 3 6	...	6,918 12 8	160 11 4	7,079 8 0
		Munshi Sital Singh's Bequest.	...	...	...	9 9 0	1,188 0 0	...	...	...	...	...	1,197 9 0	112 6 2	1,309 15 2
		Rajghát Dharamsala	...	...	...	1,640 10 6	300 0 0	...	...	...	...	...	1,940 10 6	6,130 10 6	8,071 5 0
		Thomason Testimonial	...	...	...	...	51 14 0	...	...	...	...	...	51 14 0	1 14 5	53 12 5
		Mahárája of Vizianagram's endowment for maintenance of the Bhelupura Dispensary.	...	...	...	...	800 0 0	...	...	...	...	...	800 0 0	...	800 0 0
		Babu Guru Das Mittra's endowment for the Prince of Wales' Hospital.	...	...	...	...	200 0 0	...	...	...	...	...	200 0 0	...	200 0 0
	Gorakhpur	Reade's Domangarh Sanitarium.	1 8 0	...	157 0 0	35 5 0	93 12 10	...	...	...	...	...	287 9 10	1,130 1 2	1,417 11 0
		Reade's Dharamsala	1 12 0	...	...	3 11 9	60 0 0	...	...	...	...	...	65 7 9	102 15 5	168 7 2
KUMAUN	Kumaun, Garhwál, Taráí	Sadabart	9,239 0 3	615 0 0	...	70 7 4	...	...	600 0 0	...	...	...	10,524 7 7	—157 1 7	10,367 6 0
	Kumaun	Bhábar Cultivators' Trust.	...	...	...	...	800 0 0	...	...	...	...	...	800 0 0	...	800 0 0
		Total	17,267 3 9	615 0 0	162 4 0	3,890 10 4	9,310 1 5	...	2,928 9 0	1,250 0 0	231 3 6	...	35,655 0 0	13,305 11 9	48,960 11 9

STATEMENT No. XIII.—*Receipts and Disbursements of Benevolent Trusts for the revenue year* 1889–90.

Division.	District.	Name of Trust.	Disbursements. (a)—For Trust purposes. Dispensary establishment.	Educational establishment.	Dharamsala establishment.	Allowances to relatives of donors and out pensioners.	Dieting and clothing.	Scholarships, books and prizes.	Repairs of roads, bridges and buildings.	Medicines and contingencies.	(b)—Other expenses. Government revenue.	Cesses.	Miscellaneous charges.	Total.	Closing balance.
1	2	3	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29
			Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
MEERUT.	Saháranpur	Roorkee College endowment	...	...	...	...	...	453 12 7	...	...	...	...	...	453 12 7	548 11 5
	Meerut ...	Dispensary endowment of Har Sahai Mal and Ganga Ram.	112 8 0	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	112 8 0	...
ROHILKHAND.	Bijnor ...	Sherkot Dispensary ...	284 4 0	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	284 4 0	350 5 9
	Moradabad.	Ráni Kishori Kuar ...	393 8 0	...	...	...	488 10 4	...	125 0 0	44 11 9	415 0 0	66 7 0	39 6 9	1,572 11 10	48 4 10
		General Strachey's endowment.	140 0 0	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	140 0 0	...
	Bareilly.	Aonla Dispensary ...	674 14 3	...	...	...	...	...	263 13 0	393 7 9	969 1 6	155 0 8	15 9 0	2,471 14 2	298 7 0
		Bamna Gulzar Bagh ...	319 13 0	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	319 13 0	...
ALLAHABAD.	Cawnpore	Pandit Amar Nath ..	...	...	...	345 0 0	...	...	...	...	2,267 0 0	355 9 1	1,690 11 0	4,658 4 1	3,738 4 5
	Allahabad	Gopalganj Bazár ...	300 0 0	...	60 0 0	...	...	...	257 8 0	...	17 8 0	2 1 6	757 7 9	1,394 9 3	74 7 3
BENARES	Benares	Kala Singana Chetty's Chaultri.	...	...	195 12 0	...	760 7 8	...	4 15 0	...	...	...	519 0 11	1,480 3 7	135 4 10
		Venkat Acharya's Bequest...	...	...	...	...	40 0 0	501 10 8½	...	...	...	...	17 1 8	558 12 4½	52 0 7½
		Rája Kali Shankar Ghosal's Asylum.	1,344 8 3	...	...	...	3,934 5 7	...	604 13 6	932 10 9	...	...	82 0 0	6,898 6 1	181 1 11
		Munshi Sital Singh's Bequest,	...	...	60 0 0	400 0 0	479 0 0	200 0 0	...	...	...	...	...	1,139 0 0	170 15 2
		Rajghát Dharamsala .	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1,245 12 0	1,245 12 0	6,825 9 0
		Thomason Testimonial ...	...	...	...	...	...	49 14 0	...	...	...	...	...	49 14 0	3 14 5
		Mahárája of Vizianagram's endowment for maintenance of the Bhelupura Dispensary.	800 0 0	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	800 0 0	...
		Babu Guru Das Mittra's endowment for the Prince of Wales' Hospital.	200 0 0	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	200 0 0	...
	Gorakhpur.	Reade's Domangarh Sanitarium.	...	...	60 0 0	...	...	...	596 11 9	...	4 8 0	...	705 10 5	1,366 14 2	50 12 10
		Reade's Dharamsala ...	...	...	48 0 0	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1 0 6	49 0 6	119 6 8
KUMAUN	Kumaun Garhwál Tarái ...	Sadabart ...	4,259 8 5	...	1,083 5 6	...	199 7 4	...	2,228 15 4	1,779 14 3	...	...	554 15 0	10,106 1 10	261 4 2
	Kumaun ...	Bhábar Cultivators' Trust...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	782 3 1	...	...	8 11 4	790 14 5	9 1 7
		Total ...	8,828 15 11	...	1,507 1 6	745 0 0	5,901 14 11	1,205 5-3½	4,081 12 7	3,932 15 7	3,673 1 6	579 2 3	5,637 6 4	36,092 11 10½	12,867 15 10½

STATEMENT No. XIV.—*Recoveries of interest on Government Securities belonging to Benevolent Trusts during the revenue year* 1889-90.

District.	Name of trusts.	Nature of securities.	Amount.	Rate of interest.	Demand. Arrears.	Demand. Current.	Demand. Total.	Collection of interest.	Balance of interest.	Remarks.
			Rs.		Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	
Sahárnpur ...	Roorkee College endowment ...	Government promissory notes.	* 11,100	4 per cent.	...	510 3 5	510 3 5	510 3 5	...	The excess interest recovered over amount actually recoverable is due to refund on account of income tax paid during current and previous years.
Meerut ...	Dispensary endowment of Har Sahai Mal and Ganga Ram.	Ditto ...	2,500	4½ per cent.	56 4 0	112 8 0	168 12 0	112 8 0	(a) 56 4 0	(a) Since realized.
Bijnor ...	Sherkot Dispensary ...	Ditto ...	8,100	4 „	...	324 0 0	324 0 0	324 0 0	...	
Moradabad ...	Rání Kishori Kuar ..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
	General Strachey's endowment	Government promissory notes.	3,500	4 per cent.	...	140 0 0	140 0 0	140 0 0	...	
Bareilly ...	Aonla Dispensary ...	Ditto ...	8,000	4 „	...	320 0 0	320 0 0	320 0 0	...	
	Ramna Gulzar Bágh ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...		
Cawnpore ...	Pandit Amar Nath ...	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	
Allahabad ...	Gopálganj Bazár ..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Benares ...	Kala Singana Chetty's Chaultri	Government promissory notes.	11,500 (b)	4 per cent.	...	1,067 0 0	1,067 0 0	1,067 0 0	...	(b) Includes Government promissory notes worth Rs. 500 purchased during the year.
		Cash deposits, sicca rupees...	10,000	6 „						
	Venkat Acharya's Bequest ...	Government promissory notes.	13,000	4 „	...	518 11 2	518 11 2	518 11 2	...	
	Rája Kali Shankar Ghosal's Asylum.	Ditto ...	15,600	4 „	...	3,024 0 0	3,024 0 0	2,824 0 0	200 0 0	
		Permanent payable order	48,000	5 „						
	Munshi Sital Singh's Bequest ...	Government promissory notes.	29,700	4 „	...	1,188 0 0	1,188 0 0	1,188 0 0	...	
	Rajghát Dharamsála ...	Ditto ...	7,500	4 „	...	300 0 0	300 0 0	300 0 0	...	
	Thomason Testimonial	Ditto ...	1,300	4 „	...	51 14 0	51 14 0	51 14 0	...	
	Maharája of Vizianagram's endowment for maintenance of the Bhelupura Dispensary.	Ditto ...	20,000	4 „	...	800 0 0	800 0 0	800 0 0	...	
	Bábu Guru Das Mittra's endowment for the Prince of Wales' Hospital.	Ditto ...	5,000	4 „	...	200 0 0	200 0 0	200 0 0	...	
Gorakhpur ...	Reade's Domangarh Sanitarium	Ditto ...	2,700 (c)	4 „	...	93 12 10	93 12 10	93 12 10	...	(c) Includes a Government promissory note worth Rs. 700 purchased during the year.
	Reade's Dharamsála ...	Ditto ...	1,500	4 „	...	60 0 0	60 0 0	60 0 0	...	
Kumaun ... Gárhwal ... Tarái-Kumaun ...	Sadabart ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
	Bhábár Cultivators' Trust ...	Government promissory notes.	20,000	4 per cent.	...	800 0 0	800 0 0	800 0 0	...	
		Total ...	2,19,000	...	56 4 0	9,510 1 5	9,566 5 5	9,310 1 5	256 4 0	

* Government promissory notes of the value of Rs. 2,000 shown in Statement No. XIV of the Court of Wards' report for 1888-89 as bearing 4½ per cent. interest were converted into 4 per cent. paper.

STATEMENT No. XV.—*Statement of assets and liabilities of Benevolent Trusts with their capitalised value of real property at the close of the revenue year* 1889-90.

Division.	District.	Name of trust.	Assets. Capitalised value of real property.	Government securities.	Cash in hand.	Recoverable arrears of rent.	Recoverable arrears of interest.	Total.	Liabilities. Surplus collections.	Advances.	Total.	Surplus.	Deficit.	Remarks.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
			Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a.	Rs. a. p.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs. a. p.	Rs.	
MEERUT ...	Saháranpur ..	Roorkee College endowment.	...	11,100 0 0	548 11 5	...	...	11,648 11 5	...	...	...	11,648 11 5	...	
	Meerut ..	Dispensary endowment of Har Sahai Mal and Ganga Ram.	...	2,500 0 0	...	...	56 4	2,556 4 0	...	...	...	2,556 4 0	...	
ROHILKHAND	Bijnor ...	Sherkot Dispensary.	...	8,100 0 0	350 5 9	...	...	8,450 5 9	...	...	...	8,450 5 9	...	
	Moradabad ...	Ráni Kishori Kuar ..	18,083 0 0	...	48 4 10	2,015 9 8	...	20,146 14 6	...	...	...	20,146 14 6	...	
		General Strachey's endowment.	...	3,500 0 0	...	...	...	3,500 0 0	...	...	...	3,500 0 0	...	
	Bareilly	Aonla Dispensary ...	19,083 5 4	8,000 0 0	298 7 0	...	...	27,381 12 4	...	...	...	27,381 12 4	...	
		Ramna Gulzar Bágh ...	300 0 0	...	...	...	...	300 0 0	...	...	...	300 0 0	...	
ALLAHABAD ...	Cawnpore ...	Pandit Amar Nath ...	47,085 0 0	...	3,738 4 5	...	...	50,823 4 5	...	...	...	50,823 4 5	...	
	Allahabad ..	Gopálganj Bazár ..	35,802 0 0	...	74 7 3	...	...	35,876 7 3	...	...	...	35,876 7 3	...	
BENARES ...	Benares ...	Kala Singana Chetty's Chaultri.	...	21,500 0 0	135 4 10	...	...	21,635 4 10	...	...	...	21,635 4 10	...	
		Venkat Acharya's Bequest.	700 0 0	13,000 0 0	52 0 7½	...	...	13,752 0 7½	...	...	...	13,752 0 7½	...	
		Rája Kali Shankar Ghosal's Asylum.	...	63,600 0 0	181 1 11	...	200 0	63,981 1 11	...	...	...	63,981 1 11	...	
		Munshi Sital Singh's Bequest.	...	29,700 0 0	170 15 2	..	...	29,870 15 2	...	...	...	29,870 15 2	...	
		Rájghát Dharamsála .	4,000 0 0	7,500 0 0	6,825 9 0	...	...	18,325 9 0	...	...	...	18,325 9 0	...	
		Thomason Testimonial.	...	1,300 0 0	3 14 5	...	...	1,303 14 5	...	...	...	1,303 14 5	...	
		Maháraja of Vizianagram's endowment for maintenance of the Bhelupura Dispensary.	...	20,000 0 0	...	...	...	20,000 0 0	...	...	...	20,000 0 0	...	
		Bábu Guru Das Mittra's endowment for the Prince of Wales' Hospital.	...	5,000 0 0	...	...	...	5,000 0 0	...	...	...	5,000 0 0	...	
	Gorakhpur ...	Reade's Domangarh Sanitarium.	8,665 9 7	2,700 0 0	50 12 10	...	...	11,416 6 5	...	...	...	11,416 6 5	...	
		Reade's Dharamsala ...	4,032 3 2	1,500 0 0	119 6 8	...	...	5,651 9 10	...	...	...	5,651 9 10	...	
KUMAUN ...	Kumaun ... Garhwál ... Tarái ...	Sadabart ...	...*	...	261 4 2	322 13 10	...	584 2 0	...	...	...	584 2 0	...	
	Kumaun ..	Bhábar cultivators' Trust.	...	20,000 0 0	9 1 7	...	...	20,009 1 7	...	...	...	20,009 1 7	...	
		Total ...	1,37,751 2 1	2,19,000 0 0	12,867 15 10½	2,338 7 6	256 4	3,72,213 13 5½	...	...	...	3,72,213 13 5½	...	

* The capitalised value of this trust cannot be ascertained with any approach to accuracy.

STATEMENT No. XVI.—*List of Educational Endowments for*

District.	Name of trust or endowment.	Name of donor and date of endowment.	Donor's wishes, if any.	Detail of property comprised in the endowment.	In whose name securities are invested.	Under whose authority receipts are expended.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
MEERUT.	Turnbull Memorial Fund.	Mr. Turnbull, 22nd September, 1870.	Scholarships to be held by Ját scholars.	Government promissory notes for Rs. 5,800. Rs. 1,800 invested in 4 per cent. loan of 1865, and Rs. 4,000 in 4½ per cent. loan of 1879.	Secretary to Government and Accountant-General, North-Western Provinces and Oudh.	Director of Public Instruction, North-Western Provinces and Oudh.
ALIGARH.	Aligarh Zila School Boarding-house.	Mr. G. H. Lawrence, 5th August, 1870.	To be spent in the Aligarh Zila School Boarding-house.	Money invested in Government security, Rs. 7,500.	Collector ...	Collector ...
AGRA.	Agra College Endowment.	Mr. Robertson and Mr. Mansell, the teachers of the Agra College.	For scholarships ...	Government promissory notes for Rs. 15,000.	Accountant-General, North-Western Provinces and Oudh.	Principal, Agra College, under Committee's sanction.
	Ditto ...	Friends of Mr. Colvin	For scholarships to boarders.	Government promissory notes for Rs. 5,000.	Ditto ...	Ditto ...
	Ditto ...	Surplus money invested.	For scholarships ...	Government promissory notes for Rs. 2,500.	Ditto ...	Ditto ...
	Ditto ...	Rája Jai Kishen Das Bahádur, C.S.I.	Ditto ...	Government promissory notes for Rs. 3,000.	Ditto ...	Ditto ...
	Ditto ...	Late Pandit Ganga Dhar Shastri.	For the support of the College.	Company's paper amounting to sicca Rs. 1,78,400.	...	Interest allowed in shape of additional grant-in-aid by the Government.
	Ditto ...	Ditto ...	Ditto ...	Villages Chaumohan and Jhandaveri in the Muttra district and Iglas in the Aligarh district.	Collectors of Muttra and Aligarh.	Receipts realized and transmitted to the Agra College Committee by the Collectors.
	Ditto ...	Ráni Kishori Kuar ...	For scholarships ...	Government promissory notes for Rs. 1,100.	Accountant-General, North-Western Provinces and Oudh.	Principal, Agra College, under Committee's sanction.
	Ditto ...	General subscribers to the Agra College.	For scholarships and for support of the College.	Government promissory notes and railway debentures aggregating Rs. 92,900.	President and Vice-President of the Board of Trustees, Agra College.	Ditto ...
	Thomason Testimonial Fund.	Central Committee Thomason Testimonial Fund, 15th April, 1857.	To be spent in scholarships "for the three students who passed the best examination in subjects read in the village circuit schools" in addition to their stipends.	Government promissory notes, 4 per cent., for Rs. 3,000.	Secretary to Government, North-Western Provinces.	Inspector of Schools and Collector of Agra.
	Thomason Testimonial.	...	...	Government promissory notes, 4 per cent, for Rs. 6,600.	Principal, Saint John's College, Agra.	Principal, Saint John's College, Agra.

the revenue year 1889-90, *ending* 30*th September* 1890.

Mode in which donor's wishes have been carried out.	Assets of the year under report.			Expenditure of the year under report.	Surplus, if any.	Remarks.
	Surplus of former years.	Income of the year.	Total.			
8	9	10	11	12	13	14
	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	
Given to Ját boys in the Meerut Division.	26 13 6	251 6 2	278 3 8	244 4 0	33 15 8	
Establishment entertained for the Aligarh Zila School Boarding-house.	55 15 10	299 4 0	355 3 10	300 0 0	55 3 10	
Allowed as scholarships ...						
Ditto ...	1,022 0 9	922 11 0	1,944 11 9	1,020 0 0	924 11 9	
Ditto ...						
Ditto ...	...	119 11 2	119 11 2	119 11 2	...	
Allowed as establishment's pay	...	7,611 11 0	7,611 11 0	7,611 11 0	...	
Ditto ...	...	13,625 14 0	13,625 14 0	13,625 14 0	...	
Allowed as scholarships ...	...	44 0 0	44 0 0	44 0 0	...	
Allowed as scholarships and establishment's pay.	...	3,621 11 7	3,621 11 7	3,621 11 7	...	
By giving scholarships up to 1888	187 2 4	119 11 2	306 13 6	...	306 13 6	
By scholarships in F. A. Department.	...	264 0 0	264 0 0	264 0 0	...	

BENGAL SECRETARIAT LIBRARY.

STATEMENT No. XVI.—*List of Educational Endowments for*

District.	Name of trust or endowment.	Name of donor and date of endowment.	Donor's wishes, if any.	Detail of property comprised in the endowment.	In whose name securities are invested.	Under whose authority receipts are expended.
1	2	3	4.	5	6	7
ETÁWAH.	Hume's scholarship ...	A. O. Hume, Esq., 15th March 1868.	For scholarships ...	Government promissory notes for Rs. 7,200 @ 4 per cent.	Collector ...	Collector ...
	Tonk scholarship ...	Nawáb of Tonk, 5th February 1867.	Ditto ..	Government promissory notes for Rs. 1,000 @ 4 per cent.	Ditto ...	Ditto ...
	Committee's scholarship.	Local Educational Committee, 21st March 1872.	Ditto ...	Government promissory notes for Rs. 2,500 @ 4 per cent.	Collector or Head Master.	Collector or Head Master.
	DeMello's scholarship.	A. O. Hume, Esq., 1st February 1885.	Ditto ...	Government promissory notes for Rs. 1,200 @ 4 per cent, and Rs. 50 in Savings Bank.	Collector ...	Collector ...
BIJNOR.	Benevolent Trust, Sherkot.	Chaudhri Basant Singh and Chaudhri Umrao Singh of Sherkot, 20th February 1865.	For maintenance of a school.	In 4 per cent. Government promissory notes for Rs. 9,000.	Collector and Magistrate, Bijnor.	Under authority of Collector (*vide* G.O. No. 1008A., dated 15th April 1875).
MORADABAD.	High School endowment.	I.—Widow of Mufti Muhammad Uman, 1854 or 1855. II.—Private subscription, 1861.	I.—Establishment of a school one of the wishes of the donor. II.—The private subscriptions were given on the understanding that English should be taught and the school managed upon the system in force in Government schools.	Rs. 78,700 worth of Government promissory notes and 11 shops in the town of Moradabad.	Comptroller-General, Calcutta.	Trustees—Collector of Moradabad and Inspector of Schools.
BAREILLY.	Ex-students' scholarship.	Ex-students, Bareilly College, January 1879.	For scholarships to College students.	Government paper 4 per cent. for Rs. 3,000.	Collector of the district for the time being.	Inspector of Schools, Rohilkhand Division.
	Templeton Exhibition.	Mr. Templeton's friends and pupils, February 1875.	A gold medal for the best student in the B. A. Examination.	Government paper 4 per cent. for Rs. 1,000.	Ditto ...	Ditto ...
CAWNPORE.	Duke of Edinburgh's scholarship.	Saiyid Imdad Ali, President of the Social Improvement Society, 2nd April 1870.	Rs. To distribute a scholarship @ Rs. 4 per mensem. 48 Two medals to the Arabic and Sanskrit students @ Rs. 26 each. 52 Scholarship or ornament to the girls in the female school. 20 Total ...120	Government promissory notes 4 per cent. for Rs. 3,000.	Collector of Cawnpore.	Collector of Cawnpore.

STATEMENT No. XVI.—*List of Educational Endowments for the*

District.	Name of trust or endowment.	Name of donor and date of endowment.	Donor's wishes, if any.	Detail of property comprised in the endowment.	In whose name securities are invested.	Under whose authority receipts are expended.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
BÁNDA.	McConaghey Memorial Fund.	Native gentlemen of the Bánda district; date of purchase of promissory notes, 1st August 1881.	The interest to be made over to the Head Master, Zila School, to be spent in scholarships.	4 per cent. Government promissory note for Rs. 1,000.	President, Local Educational Committee Bánda, who made over the note to the Comptroller General for safe custody.	Head Master, Zila School, Bánda (*vide* McConaghey Memorial Fund Committee Resolution Nos. I and II).
ALLAHABAD.	Muir Central College scholarships and prizes.	Mahárája Vizianagram, 16th June 1870 and 19th March 1871.	Scholarships and prizes	These endowments were invested in one promissory note of Rs. 63,000.	Secretary to Government, North-Western Provinces and Oudh, and Accountant-General, North-Western Provinces and Oudh.	Director of Public Instruction, North-Western Provinces and Oudh.
	Ditto ...	Nawáb of Rámpur, 18th November 1869.	Ditto ...			
	Ditto ...	Rája of Punnah, 17th December 1870.	Ditto ...			
	Ditto ...	Rája of Rewah, 17th March 1873.	Ditto ...			
	Ditto ...	Mahárája Proshottamji, 12th November 1873	Ditto ...			
	Ditto ...	Rája of Chirkhari, 13th April 1874.	Ditto ...			
	Ditto ...	Mr. Hammond, 17th January 1875.	Ditto ...			
	Ditto ...	Maulvi Haidar Husain and Chaudhri Dhian Singh, 16th June 1870.	Ditto ...			
	Ditto ...	Nawáb Ali Asghar Khan, C.S.I., 13th November 1872.	Arabic endowment by waqfnáma of the Nawab, to be a charge on his landed property.	...	...	Ditto ...
	Ditto ...	Bábu Piary Mohan Memorial, 17th February 1885.	For science ...	Government paper of 4½ per cent. loan for Rs. 1,000.	Secretary to Government, North-Western Provinces and Oudh, and Accountant-General, North-Western Provinces and Oudh.	Ditto ...
	Ditto ...	Bábu Nilkamal Mittra, 1st April 1886.	For Sanskrit ...	Government paper of 4 per cent. for Rs. 1,000	Ditto ...	Ditto ...
	Ditto ...	College surplus, 18th September 1886.	First year prize ...	Ditto ...	Principal, Muir Central College, and Director of Public Instruction, North-Western Provinces and Oudh.	Ditto ...
	Turner Memorial Fund.	Amount raised by subscription and deposited in the Uncovenanted Service Bank, Allahabad, May 1881.	That the interest be spent for the benefit of the Protestant, Roman Catholic and Zila Schools.	Rs. 4,236-14-3 in promissory notes.	Civil Chaplain, Roman Catholic Chaplain, and Head Master, Zila School.	Civil Chaplain, Roman Catholic Chaplain, and Head Master, Zila School.
JAUNPUR.	Zila School Endowment.	Purchased out of the old school fund balance on the 30th July 1875, under sanction of G. O. No. 608A., dated 4th November 1875.	For payment of rent of Zila School site and for scholarships.	4 per cent. Government promissory note of Rs. 1,000.	Collector of Jaunpur.	Collector and Chairman, District Board.

revenue year 1889-90, *ending* 30*th September* 1890—(continued).

Mode in which donor's wishes have been carried out.	Assets of the year under report.			Expenditure of the year under report.	Surplus, if any.	Remarks.
	Surplus of former years.	Income of the year.	Total.			
8	9	10	11	12	13	14
	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	
In awarding scholarships ...	20 0 0	40 0 0	60 0 0	40 0 0	20 0 0	
Awarded for general proficiency.	145 9 1	1,619 14 3	1,765 7 4	1,455 15 10	309 7 6	
Awarded for Persian and Arabic.	126 8 9	217 2 1	343 10 10	172 13 0	170 13 10	
Awarded for proficiency in Sanskrit.	87 7 3	125 15 0	213 6 3	119 13 6	93 8 9	
Ditto ...	29 3 4	147 8 0	176 11 4	141 1 6	35 9 10	
Ditto ...	68 6 3	144 0 0	212 6 3	143 13 6	68 8 9	
Awarded for proficiency in Sanskrit and English.	140 5 6	250 12 0	391 1 6	216 9 6	174 8 0	
Awarded for proficiency in Law.	46 1 1	115 12 0	161 13 1	40 0 0	121 13 1	
Awarded for Persian and Sanskrit alternately.	22 11 8	26 8 8	49 4 4	25 0 0	24 4 4	
For proficiency in Arabic ...	1,280 12 3	600 0 0	1,880 12 3	482 7 0	1,398 5 3	
A gold medal for Physical Science.	90 2 6	44 7 7	134 10 1	...	134 10 1	
A gold medal for Sanskrit ...	140 0 0	40 0 0	180 0 0	120 0 0	60 0 0	
Yearly prize in the first year examination.	3 7 1	40 0 0	43 7 1	40 0 0	3 7 1	
In the Zila School by payment of fees to some poor but deserving boys.	...	64 7 10	64 7 10	64 7 10	...	
Rent of the site and scholarships are paid out of budget grant and receipts credited to the District Board	302 3 0	40 0 0	342 3 0	...	342 3 0	

STATEMENT No. XVI.—*List of Educational Endowments for the*

District.	Name of trust or endowment.	Name of donor and date of endowment.	Donor's wishes, if any.	Detail of property comprised in the endowment.	In whose name securities are invested.	Under whose authority receipts are expended.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
MIRZAPUR.	Zila School endowment.	Subscriptions from the merchants, &c., in 1863 and 1864 and saving from old school fund in 1870-71.	To maintain the teachers of the Oriental Department and to construct a boarding-house.	Rs. Government securities of 1842-43 for ...25,000 Government securities of 1865 for ...12,000 Government securities of 1878 for ... 3,000 Total ...40,000	Collector and Magistrate for the time being.	Magistrate and President, District Board.
	Kourh Educational Committee Trust.	Government promissory notes purchased for the Deputy Superintendent, Family Domains, out of accumulated savings of the Halkabandi Funds.	To maintain the Halkabandi School of Bhadohi.	Rs. Government Promissory note No. 162462 4 per cent. loan of 1865 for ... 1,000 Government promissory note No. 122168 of 1865 for 1,000 Government promissory note No. 12642 of 1879 for 1,000 Government promissary note No. 171892 of 1865 for 500 Total ... 3,500	Deputy Superintendent, Family Domains, Mahárája of Benares.	Deputy Superintendent, Family Domains, Mahárája of Benares.
	Anglo-Sanskrit Jubilee School endowment.	Babu Beni Madho Das, 1st May 1887.	The establishment of an Anglo-Sanskrit School at Mirzapur, in which the study of Sanskrit shall be compulsory to all the students. In addition to it every student shall be at liberty to read English, Urdu or Devanagri as he likes.	A sum of Rs. 30,000 invested in Government promissory notes at 4 per cent.	The Collector of the Mirzapur district for the time being.	Collector of Mirzapur.
BENARES.	Gházipur scholarship...	Gházipur scholarship, 1845.	Transferred from Gházipur for the support of students from Gházipur.	Government promissory notes, 4 per cent. for Rs. 1,800.	Comptroller-General, Calcutta.	Principal, Queen's College, Benares.
	Ghosal scholarship ...	Rája Satya Charan Ghosal, 27th March 1845.	For foundation of scholarships in the Benares College.	Government promissory notes for Rs. 5,000.	Ditto ..	Ditto ...
	Tucker scholarship ...	Mr. Tucker, 24th December 1858.	Scholarship for general proficiency and good conduct.	Ditto ...	Ditto ..	Ditto ...
	Rewah scholarship ...	Mahárája, Rewah, 18th October 1865 and 1879.	Scholarship to the student who passes best the Entrance Examination from Benares College.	Government promissory notes for Rs. 5,300.	Ditto ..	Ditto ...
	Vizianagram scholarship.	Mahárája, Vizianagram, 29th May 1865.	Scholarship for proficiency in English.	Government promissory notes for Rs. 7,000.	Ditto ...	Ditto ...
	Radha Bibí scholarship.	Radha Bibi, 6th August 1860.	Scholarship for proficiency in Vedanta in Sanskrit College.	Government promissory notes for Rs. 500.	Ditto ...	Ditto ...

revenue year 1889-90, *ending* 30*th September* 1890—(continued.)

Mode in which donor's wishes have been carried out.	Assets of the year under report.			Expenditure of the year under report.	Surplus, if any.	Remarks.
	Surplus of former years.	Income of the year.	Total.			
8	9	10	11	12	13	14
	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	
For the maintenance of the teachers of the Oriental Department and Boarding-house.	554 15 9	1,543 10 2	2,098 9 11	1,726 15 0	371 10 11	
Halkabandi Schools are maintained.	...	139 10 9	139 10 9	139 10 9	...	
An Anglo-Sanskrit School has been established in which all students are required to read a little Sanskrit in addition to their reading English, Urdu or Devanagri as they like.	397 4 11	1,551 5 9	1,948 10 8	1,337 1 0	611 9 8	
Has been given to a student of Ghâzipur reading in the Benares College.	13 12 7	72 0 0	85 12 7	72 0 0	13 12 7	
Has been given to two students of the 1st and 2nd year classes, Benares College.	44 9 8	200 0 0	244 9 8	198 0 0	46 9 8	
Has been given to the students for general proficiency and good conduct.	192 15 8	200 0 0	392 15 8	198 0 0	194 15 8	
Has been given to the student who passed best the Entrance Examination from the Benares Queen's College.	93 15 8	210 0 6	304 0 2	204 0 0	100 0 2	
Has been given to the students for excellence in English.	275 5 5	419 4 10	694 10 3	406 6 0	288 4 3	
Has been given for proficiency in Vedanta in Sanskrit College.	5 4 2	20 0 0	25 4 2	20 0 0	5 4 2	

STATEMENT No. XVI.—*List of Educational Endowments for the*

District.	Name of trust of endowment.	Name of donor and date of endowment.	Donor's wishes, if any.	Detail of property comprised in the endowment.	In whose name securities are invested.	Under whose authority receipts are expended.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
BENARES—(*concluded.*)	Venkat Acharya Legacy.	Venkat Acharya 1849.	For purchase of books for the Benares Queen's College Library.	Government promissory notes for Rs. 13,000.	Comptroller-General, Calcutta.	Principal, Queen's College, Benares.
	Saiyid Ahmad scholarship.	Saiyid Ahmad Khan, 1877.	Scholarship for excellence in Physical Science.	Government promissory notes for Rs. 2,100.	Ditto ...	Ditto ...
	Ripon Gold Bracelet Prize.	Bengali residents of Benares, April 1882.	To be given in gold bracelet or its value in cash to the student who passes highest the Title Examination in Sanskrit College.	Government promissory notes, 4 per cent., for Rs. 1,300.	Ditto ...	Ditto ...
	Sewai Madho Singh, Jeypur, Silver Medal.	Mahárája Sewái Madho Singh of Jeypur, July 1883.	To be awarded to the best Sanskrit scholar, Sanskrit College, partly in silver medal and partly in cash.	Government promissory notes, 4 per cent., for Rs. 1,000.	Ditto ...	Ditto ...
	The Mittra Medal ...	Bábu Mokshada Das Mittra, Benares, 1885.	To be awarded to the student who obtains the highest number of marks in Sanskrit among the successful students in the Intermediate Examination from Queen's College Benares.	Government promissory notes for Rs. 1,200 and Rs. 50 in cash.	Ditto ...	Ditto ...
	Pramada Das Mittra scholarship.	Bábu Pramada Das Mittra, Benares, 1885.	To be given to that Acharya passed scholar who stands highest in the annual examination of the Anglo-Sanskrit Department.	Government promissory notes for Rs. 1,500.	Ditto ...	Ditto ...
	Shakespear scholarship.	Members of the Shakespear Fund Committee, Benares, September 1885.	For foundation of scholarships in the Queen's College, Benares.	Government promissory notes, 4 per cent., for Rs. 10,500.	Ditto ...	Ditto ...
	Shivala School Fund Prize.	Members of the Shivala School, 1886.	To be given in prizes to the most deserving students of the Delhi family reading in the Benares College at the annual examination.	Government promissory notes for Rs. 900.	Ditto ...	Ditto ...
GORAKHPUR.	Ali Bakhsh Khan's endowment.	Ali Bakhsh Khan, late Subordinate Judge of Gorakhpur, 1873.	To encourage female education.	Rs. 1,000 invested in 4 per cent. Government promissory notes.	President, Local Educational Committee.	President, District Board.
BALLIA.	Sanskrit Endowment Fund.	Bábu Raghunandan Prasad Pande, 17th February 1887.	For imparting Sanskrit education.	Five annas share in manza Agrauli in Ballia district.	Collector of Ballia ...	Collector of Ballia.

revenue year 1889-90, *ending* 30*th September* 1890—(concluded.)

Mode in which donor's wishes have been carried out.	Assets of the year under report.			Expenditure of the year under report.	Surplus, if any.	Remarks.
	Surplus of former years.	Income of the year.	Total.			
8	9	10	11	12	13	14
	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	
Has been spent on the purchase of books for Queen's College Library.	0 15 2	259 5 6	260 4 8	259 7 0	0 13 8	Half the interest only is payable to the Queen's College, Benares.
Has been given to a student of the 3rd year class for excellence in Science.	108 12 7	84 0 0	192 12 7	84 0 0	108 12 7	
Has been given to a student who passed highest the Acharya Examination in 1890	70 7 8	51 14 0	122 5 8	51 14 0	70 7 8	
Has been awarded to best students in the Sanskrit College, Benares.	40 8 4	40 0 0	80 8 4	40 0 0	40 8 4	
The medal (now in course of preparation) to be given to the student of the 3rd year class who obtained the highest number of marks in Sanskrit among the successful students in the Intermediate Examination from Queen's College, Benares.	7 10 11	50 2 2	57 13 1	50 0 0	7 13 1	
Has been given to a student of the Anglo-Sanskrit Department according to the wishes of the donor.	...	59 13 8	59 13 8	59 13 8	...	
Has been given as scholarships in the Queen's College, Benares.	97 8 0	418 15 4	516 7 4	488 0 0	28 7 4	
Withheld until a more favourable report by the Headmaster on the progress of the boys in their studies be received.	129 7 11	36 0 0	165 7 11	...	165 7 11	
Contingencies to female school...	170 9 10	40 0 0	210 9 10	40 0 0	170 9 10	
A Sanskrit school has been started and a Pandit has been entertained to teach the class.	131 5 4	942 9 11	1,073 15 3	1,003 13 10	70 1 5	
Total ...	7,516 0 11	41,712 5 0	49,228 5 11	40,708 6 1	8,519 15 10	

E. WHITE,

Director of Public Instruction, N.-W. P. and Oudh.

STATEMENT No. XVII.—*Return showing what portion of the amounts decreed in favour of the Court of Wards was realised or remitted during the revenue year* 1889-90, *and also the sums outstanding on the* 1*st of October* 1890.

District.	Estate.	Sums outstanding on the 1st October 1889.			Awarded to estates between 1st October 1889 and 30th September 1890.			Total.			Realized during 1889-90.		
		Principal.	Interest.	Costs.	Principal.	Interest.	Costs.	Principal.	Interest.	Costs.	Principal.	Interest.	Costs.
		Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
Muzaffarnagar	Sambalhera ...	...	...	...	...	...	462 15 6	...	...	462 15 6	...	...	462 15 6
	Bidauli ...	...	6 1 6	204 15 0	...	1 3 6	202 10 0	...	7 5 0	407 9 0	...	7 5 0	204 15 0
	Bhandura ...	150 0 0	57 9 10	1,006 4 0	200 0 0	63 14 0	...	350 0 0	121 7 10	1,006 4 0	...	5 1 0	329 5 0
	Karana ...	1,306 6 0	417 12 8	16 10 0	...	...	...	1,306 6 0	417 12 8	16 10 0	1,291 8 0	329 15 2	...
Meerut ...	Ram Saran Das ...	...	...	...	104 12 0	40 11 0	14 6 0	104 12 0	40 11 0	14 6 0	...	...	...
	Murari Lal ...	347 8 11	...	35 14 9	5,729 4 0	346 6 9	434 11 8	6,076 12 11	346 6 9	470 10 5	...	...	12 10 6
Muttra ...	Parshadi Lal ...	...	...	...	82 0 0	...	15 15 10	82 0 0	...	15 15 10	...	...	...
Farukhabad ...	Abdul Majid Khan ...	...	...	3 2 0	...	...	...	...	...	3 2 0	...	...	3 2 0
Etah ...	Nagla Kisi ...	136 11 6	14 14 0	4 3 0	114 13 3	6 0 2	22 14 0	251 8 9	20 14 2	27 1 0	20 0 0	...	...
	Nagla Amin ...	2,936 9 0	682 7 2	254 3 4	...	...	4 4 0	2,936 9 0	682 7 2	258 7 4	12 1 0	...	185 14 0
Mainpuri ...	Arjunpur ...	688 0 9	198 6 0	149 11 0	...	...	...	688 0 9	198 6 0	149 11 0	...	...	...
	Karauli ...	...	...	745 9 3	...	...	...	...	...	745 9 3	...	...	...
Bijnor ...	Sahanpur ...	995 11 2	66 2 9	133 6 6	86 12 9	63 11 2	21 2 9	1,082 7 11	129 13 11	154 9 3	...	...	...
Moradabad ...	Kunj Behari Lal ...	3,822 2 4	2,576 3 6	639 2 0	...	18 0 0	...	3,822 2 4	2,594 3 6	639 2 0	39 5 0	35 1 0	12 10 0
	Rampur ...	...	...	...	...	...	272 2 0	...	...	272 2 0	...	...	...
Bareilly ...	Begam Mashuk Mahal,	2,417 6 0	89 9 0	614 7 0	762 0 0	...	259 12 1	3,179 6 0	89 9 0	874 3 1	1,162 0 0	...	259 12 1
Shâhjahânpur	Azizganj ...	995 14 0	182 3 9	102 6 0	121 8 0	...	31 13 11	1,117 6 0	182 3 9	134 3 11	...	...	6 8 0
	Bhatpura Rasulpura ...	...	...	...	218 12 6	9 0 0	141 0 8	218 12 6	9 0 0	141 0 8	63 11 1	2 0 0	52 12 5
	Bamrauli ...	...	...	12 11 6	14 0 0	...	15 14 0	14 0 0	...	28 9 6	...	...	...
Fatehpur ...	Arampur Bushi ...	...	...	...	...	...	1,133 0 6	...	...	1,133 0 6	...	...	...
Allahabad ...	Sarai Pitha ...	539 14 5	...	...	...	...	2 12 0	539 14 5	...	2 12 0	...	...	2 12 0
	Partab Chand ...	14,221 11 7	20,258 9 5	6,709 0 3	8,303 2 9	951 9 0	1,627 2 0	22,524 14 4	21,210 2 5	8,336 2 3	733 11 2	112 14 4	918 5 7
Jaunpur ...	Batuk Prasad ...	750 0 0	487 8 0	190 6 0	...	152 5 3	12 2 0	750 0 0	639 13 3	202 8 0	...	...	...
Ghâzipur ...	Lakshmi Narain ...	1,104 7 0	678 0 1	24 2 9	...	120 4 10	49 0 8	1,104 7 0	798 4 11	73 3 5	...	...	17 0 0
	Anapur ...	15,352 9 11	4,408 13 1	3,306 2 11	...	994 5 10	199 12 6	15,352 9 11	5,403 2 11	3,505 15 5	...	...	638 1 9
Gorakhpur ...	Narharpur ...	...	...	...	...	...	20 1 0	...	...	20 1 0	...	...	...
	Dumri ...	1,393 6 7	19 11 10	5 13 0	...	2 12 0	12 3 1	1,393 6 7	22 7 10	18 0 1	9 8 1	22 7 10	18 0 1
	Bansgaon ...	...	...	3,097 13 9	...	...	18 8 0	...	...	3,116 5 9	...	...	3,116 5 9
	Brijnandan Das ...	...	...	...	...	17 10 9	393 6 0	...	17 10 9	393 6 0	...	...	...
	Majhauli ...	2,317 8 4	260 8 7	66 15 10	11 0 0	138 1 3	135 2 10½	2,328 8 4	398 9 10	202 2 8½	32 6 0	3 7 9	61 1 0
	Total ...	49,475 15 6	30,404 9 2	17,322 15 10	15,748 1 3	2,925 15 6	5,502 13 0½	65,224 0 9	33,330 8 8	22,825 12 10½	3,364 2 4	518 4 1	6,302 2 8

STATEMENT No. XVII.—*Return showing what portion of the amounts decreed in favour of the Court of Wards was realized or remitted during the revenue year* 1889-90, *and also the sums outstanding on the* 1st *of October* 1890—(concluded).

District.	Estate.	Balance.			Remitted.			Net Total.			Analysis of balance.		
		Principal.	Interest.	Costs.	Principal.	Interest.	Costs.	Principal.	Interest.	Costs.	Recoverable.	Irrecoverable.	Doubtful.
		Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
Muzaffarnagar	Sambalhera ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Bidauli ...	...	...	202 10 0	...	...	...	...	...	202 10 0	202 10 0	...	...
	Bhandura ...	350 0 0	116 6 10	676 15 0	...	...	...	350 0 0	116 6 10	676 15 0	262 15 10	880 6 0	...
	Karana ...	14 14 0	87 13 6	16 10 0	14 14 0	87 13 6	16 10 0	...	...	...	...	...	...
Meerut ...	Ram Saran Das ...	104 12 0	40 11 0	14 6 0	...	...	...	104 12 0	40 11 0	14 6 0	159 13 0	...	...
	Murari Lal ...	6,076 12 11	346 6 9	457 15 11	...	...	...	6,076 12 11	346 6 9	457 15 11	6,726 10 0	154 9 7	...
Muttra ...	Parshadi Lal ...	82 0 0	...	15 15 10	...	...	...	82 0 0	...	15 15 10	97 15 10	...	...
Farukhabad ...	Abdul Majid Khan,	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Etah ...	Nagla Kisi ...	231 8 9	20 14 2	27 1 0	...	...	...	231 8 9	20 14 2	27 1 0	279 7 11	...	...
	Nagla Amin ...	2,924 8 0	682 7 2	72 9 4	2,915 8 0	682 7 2	72 9 4	9 0 0	...	...	9 0 0	...	...
Mainpuri ...	Arjunpur ...	688 0 9	198 6 0	149 11 0	...	...	...	688 0 9	198 6 0	149 11 0	1,036 1 9	...	...
	Karauli ...	...	...	745 9 3	...	...	...	...	...	745 9 3	745 9 3	...	...
Bijnor ...	Sahanpur ...	1,082 7 11	129 13 11	154 9 3	...	36 2 3	...	1,082 7 11	93 11 8	154 9 3	1,330 12 10	...	...
Moradabad ...	Kunj Behari Lal ...	3,782 13 4	2,559 2 6	626 8 0	...	36 14 11	...	3,782 13 4	2,522 3 7	626 8 0	6,931 8 11	...	...
	Rampur ...	...	...	272 2 0	...	...	...	...	...	272 2 0	272 2 0	...	...
Bareilly ...	Begam Mashuk Mahal,	2,017 6 0	89 9 0	614 7 0	...	...	...	2,017 6 0	89 9 0	614 7 0	2,721 6 0	...	...
Sháhjahánpur,	Azizganj ...	1,117 6 0	182 3 9	127 11 11	290 0 0	152 8 9	22 4 9	827 6 0	29 11 0	105 7 2	962 8 2	...	...
	Bhatpura Rasulpura,	155 1 5	7 0 0	88 4 3	...	...	...	155 1 5	7 0 0	88 4 3	250 5 8	...	...
	Bamrauli ...	14 0 0	...	28 9 6	...	...	...	14 0 0	...	28 9 6	42 9 6	...	...
Fatehpur ...	Arampur Bushi ...	...	...	1,133 0 6	...	...	...	...	...	1,133 0 6	1,133 0 6	...	...
Allahabad ...	Sarai Pitha ...	539 14 5	...	...	539 14 5	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Partab Chand ...	21,791 3 2	21,097 4 1	7,417 12 8	5,492 9 4	19,537 9 5	4,927 14 2	16,298 9 10	1,559 10 8	2,489 14 6	20,267 4 5	...	80 14 7
Jaunpur ...	Batuk Prasad ...	750 0 0	639 13 3	202 8 0	...	...	...	750 0 0	639 13 3	202 8 0	1,592 5 3	...	...
Gházipur ...	Lakshmi Narain ...	1,104 7 0	798 4 11	56 3 5	...	...	...	1,104 7 0	798 4 11	56 3 0	1,958 14 11	...	...
	Anapur ...	15,352 9 11	5,403 2 11	2,867 13 8	177 6 0	40 4 3	152 15 0	15,175 9 11	5,362 14 8	2,714 14 8	23,253 7 3	...	...
Gorakhpur ...	Narharpur ...	...	...	20 1 0	...	...	...	...	...	20 1 0	20 1 0	...	...
	Dumri ...	1,383 14 6	...	...	1,301 14 6	...	...	82 0 0	...	...	82 0 0	...	...
	Bansgaon ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Brijnandan Das ...	...	17 10 9	393 6 0	...	...	...	...	17 10 9	393 6 5	411 1 2	...	...
	Majhauli ...	2,296 2 4	395 2 1	141 1 8½	8 13 0	...	...	2,287 5 4	395 2 1	141 1 8½	2,823 9 1½	...	...
	Total ...	61,859 14 5	32,812 4 7	16,523 10 2½	10,740 9 3	20,573 12 3	5,192 5 3	51,119 5 2	2,238 8 4	11,331 4 11½	73,573 4 3½	1,034 15 7	80 14 7

portion of the income of the estate is derived from the pension of Rs. 1,340 per mensem received from the Rámpur State. The ordinary income of the Madhopur Estate is estimated at Rs. 75,000, and the balance in hand at the end of the year amounted to over 2 lakhs of rupees.

4. Nine estates were released during the year. The most important of these was that of Sarju Parshad, which, however, had only been under the management of the Court for one year. It has been made over to the mother of the ward, as it was not possible for the Court to manage the large banking business left by Sarju Parshad, while at the same time the family not unnaturally objected to a profitable business being wound up. From the short history of the management by the Court of Wards of each of the released estates, given in paragraph 4 of the Board's report, it would appear that in the Khimsipur Estate alone has the Court left any impress of its management in the shape of permanent improvements. (I am to observe, in passing, that this estate has been made over to the youthful widow of the late ward: but the Board do not say what reason they have to believe that she is capable of managing it. A large credit balance, invested in promissory notes, and much ready money, was made over to the Ráni.) It is true that most of the other estates were small or had only been under the Court's management for a short period, but the Bhartna Estate and Ata Husain Khan's Estate had been under the Court for fifteen years, and their annual income exceeded Rs. 12,000 and Rs. 7,000 respectively. It is therefore disappointing to find it recorded that in these estates want of funds had prevented any improvements being undertaken. A moderate outlay would not seriously have retarded the liquidation of the debts. Six months' income would have given material benefit to each estate and its tenantry, and would not as a matter of fact have delayed its discharge. Or if active improvement at the instance and cost of the estate itself were impracticable, some help was always feasible to undertakings by the tenants for the benefit of their holdings.

5. The total income, expenditure and balances of the estates are shown in the following table :—

	Rs.		Rs.
Cash balance at close of last year ...	5,49,396		
Receipts during the year ...	22,18,429		
Net income	27,67,825		
Total expenditure ...	22,99,969	Chargeable to profits	12,67,149
		Personal and miscellaneous ...	10,32,820
Cash balance at the close of the year,	4,67,856		

6. The total demand of rent, current and arrears, amounted to Rs. 16,95,181, of which Rs. 14,35,246 were collected, being 36 per cent. of the demand in the case of arrears and 90 per cent. in the case of current rent, as compared with percentages of 41 and 91

respectively in the previous year, and of 48 and 92 in 1887-88. There is a falling off in all divisions except Agra and Allahabad. The seasons were unfavorable, but there was no such general failure of the harvests as would justify the large balances of current rental which have in some estates been left uncollected. The collections of current rental in the Meerut Division were only 92 per cent. of the demand as against 95 per cent. in the previous year. It is, however, pointed out that were the figures of the Kunjpura Estate excluded, the percentage of collections would have been 96. This estate is managed by the Panjáb Court of Wards, and only 50 per cent. of the demand was collected. There were large balances in most of the estates in the Agra Division. Some of the villages have suffered from the raising of the spring level and have come under inquiry by the officers specially deputed to revise the assessments in the deteriorated portions of the division. There would, however, appear to be also other villages, of which the assets and cultivated area have not decreased to an extent which would bring them within the scope of the special inquiry, but of which the tenants are said to be in distressed circumstances. The rent-rolls of such villages should be at once carefully examined and revised, liberal reductions of rent being made for portions of holdings thrown out of cultivation, and for holdings of which the soil has seriously deteriorated. The explanation of the Collector of Etáwah of the large balances in the Roshanpur Estate is hardly in keeping with the close supervision which that officer ordinarily gives to his revenue work. In the Rohilkhand Division the low percentage was mainly due to the large balances in the Madhopur Estate, most of which are believed to be irrecoverable, and of which Rs. 7,451 have been remitted as such since the close of the year. The estate was only taken under management during the year. In the Allahabad Division the collections were generally good. In the Bánda district however they came to less than 85 per cent. of the demand; and the Lieutenant-Governor trusts that the explanation may not have to be repeated—that these results are due, even in part, to ineffective management. In the Benares Division owing to the floods on the Rapti and the Gogra rivers it was not considered advisable to press the tenants for their rents.

7. The income from sources other than rent amounted to Rs. 7,79,028, of which Rs. 1,19,443 were derived from debts recovered, Rs. 3,16,880 from the sale of Government securities, and Rs. 1,65,901 from miscellaneous receipts. A large sale of Government securities was effected to provide funds for the loan of three lakhs made to the Benares Municipality.

8. A sum of Rs. 8,01,323 was paid on account of the Government demand for land revenue, cesses and rates; the land revenue falling at 48 per cent. of the rental receipts. The high percentage borne to the latter by the land revenue in some estates is explained by the Board. In the Baghel Estate alone does the revenue demand exceed two-thirds of the full rental. The rental which, when this estate was taken over in 1884, amounted to Rs. 900, has in the year under review

fallen from Rs. 665 to Rs. 625, and the percentage of the revenue demand to the full rental has risen from 66 to 70. The affairs of this estate have formed the subject of a separate correspondence, from which it appears that the decrease in the rent-roll was due in no slight measure to mismanagement. The Board will doubtless require the submission of a special report as to the steps that have been taken to arrest further deterioration.

9. The cost of management amounted to Rs. 1,30,598, which falls at 7 per cent. on the ordinary income. The special scheme of management was in force in five districts, and the working is said to have been satisfactory. Rs. 15,00,676 were available as net profits for personal and general expenditure, under which heads Rs. 10,32,820 were actually spent. The ordinary and extraordinary personal expenditure amounted to Rs. 1,83,303, or 12 per cent. of the net profits. The educational charges amounted to Rs. 24,091 as against Rs. 17,846 in the previous year, a larger number of wards having been admitted into the Agra Institute. The question of the advisability of maintaining the Wards' Institute at Agra was considered by the Board last cold weather. The number of wards in the Provinces who could afford to pay for the education given by the Institute has always been limited, but even in a place like Agra, and in the college classes attended by the wards, there was not sufficient association and competition with boys of their own station of life to secure the development which had been hoped; and the budget of the Institute with its limited number of scholars did not provide a salary such as would procure and retain a Superintendent with the qualifications required for the somewhat difficult post. On the recommendation of the Board the Lieutenant-Governor has decided to close the Institute next November. The present pupils will be distributed among other places of education. In the Board's report there is no mention of any arrangements for initiating the older wards in the future administration of their estates and instructing them in the principles of management, but this particularly important matter has doubtless received the necessary attention from the Board and the Collectors.

10. The amount spent on improvements (Rs. 24,208) is a smaller sum than has been spent under this head for years. In 33 estates only were improvements effected, although 63 of the estates under management during the year are apparently free from debt and have more or less large balances at their credit. The Begam Mashuk Mahal Estate has an income of over Rs. 91,000 and a balance of nearly 2½ lakhs, but the improvements were limited to an outlay of Rs. 428. It is said that no wells or other improvements are required, and that all that can be done is to carry out repairs to old wells. The estate includes 21 whole villages and a population of over 12,000, and the Board should ascertain whether the Collector has satisfied himself that the sanitary condition of these 21 villages is beyond improvement, that there are no village roads requiring repair, no lands that could be improved by drainage or by irrigation, that there are sufficient groves, that no trees can

advantageously be planted, and that no money can be usefully spent in the formation of fodder reserves, or that the cattle on the estate cannot be improved by the purchase of one or more good bulls. The expenditure throughout the Provinces on arboriculture was limited to Rs. 1,326, of which Rs. 1,030 were spent in the estates of the Gorakhpur district. In many cases it is urged that instead of carrying out improvements at the cost of the State, liberal advances have been made to tenants to enable them to carry out the improvements themselves, but an examination of the figures in Statement V shows that such advances have been made in 26 estates only and aggregated Rs. 11,798. So far so good. In the Sháhjahánpur district however, for example, there are 12 estates with a surplus of Rs. 46,331, and an income available for expenditure amounting to Rs. 1,42,864: but the expenditure on improvements was *nil* and the takávi advances were limited to three items aggregating Rs. 50. The importance of this branch of the work of management has been repeatedly pointed out both by the Local Government and by the Government of India, and the Lieutenant-Governor regrets to find it so repeatedly overlooked by District Officers. He trusts that the Board will carefully examine the budgets for the coming year, and satisfy themselves that where means permit adequate provision has been made for expenditure on works of improvement or in help to the tenants in such improvements as they can effect. It is peculiarly the business of Commissioners to see that this very important branch of the Wards' estates administration, on which Government has so constantly insisted, is not neglected, and the Lieutenant-Governor looks to them to discharge it. The accumulation of large sums in cash or promissory notes is most objectionable, and the mere purchase of fresh properties should await needful improvements in those already under management.

11. Rupees 2,40,873 were devoted to the payment of debts, which at the close of the year had been reduced to Rs. 8,11,405. Bára remains the most encumbered estate with a debt of Rs. 2,36,486. The debts originally amounted to Rs. 2,88,311; the reduction effected in the past four years is small, and even that was only rendered possible by the recovery of outstanding debts due to the estate. During the year under review Rs. 697 alone were available for the re-payment of the principal. The Board might consider the advisability of selling a portion of the estate. In the years 1876 and 1878 loans aggregating Rs. 48,000 were advanced by Government to the Arjunpur Estate, to be repaid in half-yearly instalments of Rs. 2,271. Instalments to the amount of Rs. 15,381 are in arrears, and the amount repaid during the year came to Rs. 1,325 only. The question as to the measures to be adopted for the liquidation of this debt has been deferred pending the revision of settlement now in progress. Of the nine estates in which instalments have been fixed for the re-payment of loans, the instalments are in arrears in six estates. The liquidation of the debts in the Chakkarnaggar Estate is proceeding very slowly. Taken over in 1876, the debts have in 14 years been reduced from Rs. 90,000 to Rs. 51,000, Rs. 2,000 only being paid off during the year.

In the undermentioned estates also the liquidation of debts would seem likely to be spread over a large number of years :—

Name of estate.	When taken over.	Amount of debts when taken over.	Amount of debts at close of last year.	Paid last year.
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Mubarak Husain	1881 ...	8,678	5,720	150
Singhpur	1882 ...	21,581	18,348	235

The Board might consider, in consultation with the proprietors, whether it would not be desirable to accelerate the payment of the debts by sale of a portion of the estate.

A temporary advance of 3 lakhs, repayable within a year, was made to the Benares Municipality, at 5 per cent. interest, in connection with their water-works; and measures are being taken for the re-payment of this by the Municipal Board.

12. The statistics of rent litigation for the past three years are compared in the following table :—

	Notices of ejectment.						*Applications for enhancement of rent.*						
Year.	Number issued.	Area in acres.	Contested successfully.	Contested unsuccessfully.	Tenants relinquished holding.	Tenants in possession at enhanced rates.	Number of applications.	Number of tenants.	Area in acres.	Rent previously paid.	Rate per acre.	Rent decreed.	Rate per acre.
										Rs.	Rs. a. p.	Rs.	Rs. a. p.
1887-88 ...	328	2,080	24	45	177	64	26	62	269	729	2 11 3	1,104	4 1 6
1888-89 ...	351	2,258	34	49	149	104	17	23	318	725	2 4 6	869	2 11 8
1889-90 ...	582	2,229	40	72	241	228	46	70	378	1,340	3 8 8	1,580	4 2 10

There has been a large increase in the number of ejectment notices issued in Court of Wards' estates. That 40, or 7 per cent., of these notices should have been successfully contested shows that more care should have been exercised in issuing them. It is observed with regret that the notices issued in the Karam Ali Estate in large number (94) were evidently intended to prevent the accrual of occupancy rights, and that with the exception of the 12 tenants who successfully contested the notices served on them, all the tenants concerned were ejected. It should not have been necessary to remind a Collector of the standing orders of the Board and of Government in a matter of such moment in estate management, and the Lieutenant-Governor trusts that the mistake will not recur. In the other estates the notices were issued chiefly to enforce agreements that had already been made to a fair

enhancement of rental. The Collector should have explained how three out of the four notices issued in the Majhauli Estate were successfuly contested.

13. The number of charitable and other trusts on the Board's list was the same as in the previous year. The receipts amounted to Rs. 35,655 and the expenditure to Rs. 36,092, as compared with Rs. 40,173 and Rs. 41,888 respectively in the previous year. The closing balance amounted to Rs. 12,868.

14. The Lieutenant-Governor concurs in the opinion expressed by the Board that the Court of Wards' estates have generally been administered with care during the year, and desires that his own acknowledgments may be conveyed to the officers commended by the Board in paragraphs 21 and 36 of your report.

I have the honor to be,

SIR,

Your most obedient servant,

J. WOODBURN,

Chief Secy. to Govt., N.-W. P. and Oudh.

REPORT OF THE COURT OF WARDS, NORTH-WESTERN PROVINCES, FOR 1889-90.

GENERAL SECRETARIAT LIBRARY

No. $\frac{887}{X-96}$ OF 1891.

FROM

J. M. HOLMS, ESQ., C.S.,

JUNIOR SECY. TO THE BOARD OF REVENUE, N.-W. P. AND OUDH,

TO

THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,

N.-W. PROVINCES AND OUDH, REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

DATED ALLAHABAD, THE 3RD JULY 1891.

SIR,

Present:
J. B. REID, ESQ.,
Junior Member.

Introductory.

I AM directed to submit, for the orders of His Honor the Lieutenant-Governor and Chief Commissioner, the annual report on the administration of estates held under the superintendence of the Court of Wards of the North-Western Provinces, for the revenue year ending 30th September 1890.

2. The estate reports are due to the Board on 1st December. Those of the following estates were received more than three months after that date :—

Estate.	*District.*	*Date of receipt.*
Partab Chand	Allahabad	5th March 1891.
Bahuri	Bánda	10th ditto.
Karhai	do.	21st ditto.
Sultan Husain and Musammat Shams-un-nisa	do.	23rd ditto.
Baghel	do.	23rd ditto.
Bhamba	do.	24th ditto.
Diya Chakwa	do.	28th ditto.
Singhpur	do.	28th ditto.
Bamrauli	Sháhjahánpur and Pilibhít	22nd June 1891.

The delay in Bánda is explained by the Collector to have been due to his having made a personal inspection of each estate before submitting his report. The Bamrauli accounts were received originally in February, but they had to be returned for correction. No explanation of the mistakes was received till 22nd June, but even now the accounts are incomplete. The Board, however, consider it unnecessary to further delay their report by awaiting the fresh explanations which have been called for. It was the late receipt of the accounts of this small estate which delayed the submission of the report in the preceding year, and the Junior Member considers that this year the officers concerned might without difficulty have submitted complete accounts at a much earlier date, even though some time was necessarily taken up in passing references between the two districts. An explanation of the excessive delay has been called for.

APPENDIX I.
Number of estates under management during the year.

3. Of the 108 estates which were under management at the end of 1888-89, nine have since been released, while eight new estates have been taken over, leaving 107 estates at the close of the year under report.

Estates released during the year.

4. The following estates were released during the year :—

Serial number.	Name of estate.	District.	Clause of section 194, Act XIX of 1873, under which originally taken over.
6	Ratheri	Muzaffarnagar ...	(*b*) Minors (by order of Civil Court).
19	Khimsipur	Farukhabad ...	(*b*) Minors (by Court of Wards on its own motion).
27	Bharthna	Etáwah ...	Ditto ditto. (*g*) Persons disqualified on their own application.
45	Kakrauli	Moradabad ...	(*b*) Minors (by order of Civil Court).
58	Kakra	Sháhjahánpur ...	(*b*) Minors (by Court of Wards on its own motion). (*g*) Persons disqualified on their own application.
74	Ata Husain Khan ...	Fatehpur ...	Ditto ditto.
89	Ram Charan ...	Jaunpur ...	(*b*) Minors (by order of Civil Court).
104	Sarju Prasad ...	Gorakhpur ...	Ditto ditto.
112	Karora	Lalitpur ...	(*b*) Minors (by Court of Wards on its own motion).

Ratheri estate, Muzaffarnagar district.—This small estate, belonging to Azmat Ali, minor, was made over to the Collector for management under Act XL of 1858 by order of the Judge of Saháranpur. Formal charge was assumed on 29th November 1887. It comprised shares in three revenue-paying villages and a share in a revenue-free mahál. The rent-roll was Rs. 144 and the Government demand for revenue and cesses Rs. 87. Azmat Ali attained his majority on 20th October 1890, and the property was made over to him on that date with the concurrence of the Civil Court. When superintendence was assumed the debts due from the estate amounted to Rs. 491, and during the Court's management they were reduced to Rs. 140. The balance consisted of a money debt claimed by Azmat Ali's uncle, who could produce no written document in support of his claim. On the date of release the estate had a cash balance of Rs. 68 in hand. As there were no receipts and expenditure after 30th September 1890, the property has been shown as released during the year of report.

Khimsipur estate, Farukhabad district.—This estate now consists of three entire revenue-paying villages, one entire revenue-free village, shares in fourteen revenue-paying villages and shares in three revenue-free villages. It belonged to Rao Pirthi Singh, the representative of a Rathor clan that boasts descent from Jai Chandra, the last Rája of Kanauj, who fell fighting against Muhammad Shahab-ud-din Ghori in 1191 A.D. In November 1875 Rao Pirthi Singh, being at the point of death and having no issue, authorized his wife, Ráni Gauran, then aged 22 years, to adopt after his death a boy named Baldeo Singh. Accordingly after the death of her husband, which took place on 10th November 1875, Ráni Gauran adopted Baldeo Singh, who succeeded to the estate and the title of Rao. The estate was first taken under management as the property of the widowed Ráni, in accordance with G. O. No. 224C, dated 4th February 1875, and subsequently, on completion of the adoption ceremonies, as that of the minor Baldeo Singh, Rao Pirthi Singh having on his deathbed expressed a desire that his property should be held under the superintendence of the Court of Wards during the minority of his successor. Baldeo Singh began his education at the High School at Farukhabad. He was then sent to the Wards' Institute at Agra, where he made satisfactory progress in his studies. He was married to the daughter of a Bais Thákur of good family in the Rae Bareli district. In August 1887, when on a visit home, he died of cholera. Baldeo Singh left no issue; but his widow, Ráni Baisni, survived, as also Ráni Gauran, his mother by adoption, widow of the former Rao Pirthi Singh. There were two other claimants to the estate besides the Ráni Baisni, the junior widow, but the Board, after careful inquiry and consultation with the Legal Remembrancer to Government and counsel, acknowledged the right of succession of the Ráni Baisni. Her interest in the estate is for life only, and she has no power to adopt a son, as it was not given to her by her late husband or by the Court of Wards, under whose jurisdiction he was at the time of his death. On Ráni Baisni coming of age, the estate was made over to her at the end of October 1889.

During the period of management the bazár in the village of Khimsipur was brought into a more sanitary condition, a house of a substantial character was built

for the younger Ráni, and the enclosure wall of the Fort reconstructed. In addition to this, Rs. 5,386 were spent on the construction of and repairs to wells, while a garden was laid out at a cost of Rs. 509. Liberal advances were also given to tenants for the construction of wells, with the result that much land, hitherto unirrigated and untilled, has been brought under cultivation; and a sum of Rs. 135 was expended in checking the encroachment of ravines. The management terminated with an increase of Rs. 2,000 in the rent-roll of the estate (Rs. 14,242), which is mainly due to extension of cultivation and to judicious enhancement of rents. Ráni Baisni succeeded to a flourishing estate, with a credit balance of Rs. 30,000 in Government promissory notes and Rs. 9,035 in cash and gold mohurs, besides Rs. 981 invested in loans and takávi.

Bharthna estate, Etáwah district.—This estate came under the Court of Wards in May 1875. At that time the proprietors were—

1. Rup Singh	...	Adults.
2. Bansgopal	...	
3. Jhandi Lal	...	Minors.
4. Harbans Lal	...	

The property of the adults was placed under the Court of Wards by G. O. No. 172A., dated 16th February 1875, while that of the minors was taken over by the Court of Wards on its own motion. Jhandi Lal and Harbans Lal, on coming of age, were on their own application disqualified by G. O. No. 1859 of 12th October 1882. The two wards, Rup Singh and Bansgopal, died on 15th June 1883, the former leaving two sons, Lallu Singh and Gauri Shankar, and the latter two sons, Pirthipal Singh and Kalian Singh. As all four were then minors, their shares in the estate were retained under the management of the Court of Wards. Pirthipal Singh, who attained his majority in January 1887, at first refused to submit an application for disqualification, and requested the release of his share in the property, but subsequently he applied to be declared disqualified from management, and his request was granted in G. O. No. $\frac{964}{I-525}$, dated 24th June 1889. Kalian Singh completed his 21st year on 2nd February 1890. The estate was heavily indebted when it was brought under the Court of Wards in 1875. The debts had under the Collector's management been reduced to Rs. 5,448 in 1888-89, in which year the adult proprietors, who were anxious to assume management of the property, paid up the whole amount by borrowing money on their own responsibility. The disqualification imposed upon the adult proprietors having been removed by G. O. No. $\frac{181}{I-525}$, dated 31st January 1890, the undivided estate was made over to them on 17th March 1890. At the same time a lease agreement was entered into between them, and the Court of Wards on behalf of the minors, Lallu Singh and Gauri Shankar, in respect to the minors' share in the estate. By this agreement the reinstated adult proprietors are bound for a period of seven years to pay a regular allowance, through the Collector, for the maintenance and education of the minors and to contribute towards the marriage expenses of the minors' sister; and at the close of the period to relinquish to the minors their share in the estate free of all liabilities. Under this arrangement the Collector's responsibilities on behalf of the minors are limited to seeing that the terms of the agreement are complied with. The gross rental of the estate, which, when charge was assumed by the Court of Wards, was Rs. 4,960, has risen to Rs. 5,850 in the year under report, while the income has increased by 18 per cent. during the period of management. The heavy debt on the estate precluded any attempt being made to effect improvements. The educational acquirements of the adult proprietors in whose favor the Court of Wards resigned charge of the estate are not great, but they are sufficient for their position in life as zamíndárs of a small estate. As the Collector in 1883 reported that Rup Singh had left one son only, named Lallu Singh, Gauri Shankar has not been shown in the previous Court of Wards' reports as one of the proprietors of the estate. It was only when the proposals for release of the property were submitted by the present Collector that the Court was informed of the existence of Gauri Shankar.

Kakrauli estate, Moradabad district.—The circumstances under which this small estate came under the Court of Wards are described at page vi of the report for 1887-88. In accordance with the orders there referred to, the property is now in the possession of the Collector as an escheat to Government.

Kakra estate, Shâhjahânpur district.—This estate, which now consists of five whole villages and 26 shares in villages, is owned by Banni Begam and her two sons, Kasim Ali and Nawazish Ali. The shares of the latter were, owing to their minority, taken under the Court of Wards under clause (*b*), section 194, Act XIX of 1873, while that of Banni Begam was placed under management by orders of Government conveyed in G. O. No. 332, dated 21st February 1884, disqualifying her under clause (*g*) of that section. When charge was assumed in July 1883, the debts due from the estate aggregated Rs. 16,206. Of this Rs. 4,231 were paid from the sale proceeds of a portion of the property and the surplus profits of the estate, and the remainder, which carried high rates of interest, was paid off by a loan of Rs. 12,000 arranged for by the Court of Wards at 7 per cent. interest on a simple mortgage of shares in certain villages. Kasim Ali, on attaining majority in January 1890, expressed his unwillingness to furnish the required application for disqualification as he, his brother and his mother were desirous that the estate should be released. As a portion of the debt due from the estate was still unpaid, the matter was referred to Government for orders under section 198 of the Land Revenue Act (XIX of 1873), and Government directed the release of the property (*vide* G. O. No. $\frac{1345}{I-134}$ of 12th August 1890). It was accordingly made over to Kasim Ali on 1st October 1890, who now holds it on behalf of himself, his mother and his minor brother. The balance of the debt on the estate on 30th September last amounted to Rs. 3,081. Kasim Ali was educated at the High School at Shâhjahânpur. The Collector reports that he is by no means wanting in intelligence, and that, if he avoids extravagance and needless litigation, there is no reason why he should not efficiently manage the property.

Ata Husain Khan's estate, Futehpur district.—This estate, consisting of five villages, was the joint property of Ata Husain Khan, Tafazzul Husain and Musammat Shakuran Bibi, and was, on their application, taken over by the Court of Wards under the authority of G. Os. No. 1553A., dated 29th July 1875, and No. 146A, dated 11th February 1876, under clause (*g*), section 194, Act XIX of 1873. In 1882-83 it was discovered that Musammat Shakuran Bibi had no proprietary right in the estate, and that the one-third share recorded in her name really belonged to her son, Ta-ashûk Husain, then a minor. His share was accordingly taken under management under clause (*b*), section 194, Act XIX of 1873. Ata Husain Khan died on 16th February 1886, and mutation of names was effected in favor of his heirs. Ta-ashuk Husain also attained his majority in that year. The debts due from the estate before assumption of charge, amounting to Rs. 11,854, had been liquidated in full by a loan of Rs. 12,000 from the funds of Partab Chand's estate under the superintendence of the Court of Wards in the Allahabad district at 6 per cent. interest per annum. The share in this debt of each individual proprietor was apportioned off according to his liabilities. Ta-ashuk Husain's portion of the debt was paid off in April 1879, and that of Ata Husain Khan in February 1884. But their shares in the property could not be released, as the entire estate, which was hypothecated as security for repayment of the loan, was, in accordance with the terms of the mortgage deed, to remain under the Court of Wards until the amount due to Partab Chand's estate had been fully discharged. The balance of the debt due from Tafazzul Husain having been paid up in 1888-89, the property was restored to the owners on 24th March 1890, with the sanction of Government conveyed in G. O. No. $\frac{1933}{I-579A.}$, dated 19th December 1889. The gross income of the estate at the time of assumption of charge was Rs. 7,144, as compared with Rs. 7,537 in the year of release. The increase was due to the bringing of fallow lands under the plough and to enhancements of rents. During the management by the Court of Wards want of funds prevented any improvements being undertaken, but every encouragement was given to the tenants to construct wells by the

free grant of wood for burning bricks, and several wells were constructed by them. Ta-ashuk Husain was at first taught at home by a private tutor. He then went to live with his uncle, a tahsíldár in the Cawnpore district, who made arrangements for his education.

Ram Charan's estate, Jaunpur district.—This small estate of three occupancy and fixed rate holdings, which was made over by the Civil Court under Act XL of 1858 in April 1885, was released from the superintendence of the Court of Wards in June 189[illegible], when the minor proprietor, Ram Charan, attained his majority. The debts on assumption of management amounted to Rs. 441, of which Rs. 52 only were paid off. The balance was a disputed mortgage debt, and in view of the complications which would arise if suits were instituted to have the mortgage set aside, and of the inability of the estate to afford the costs of litigation, it was left to be settled by the proprietor on being put in possession of his property. The estate when released had a yearly income of Rs. 141, subject to a charge of Rs. 70 on account of cash rents payable to the zamíndár, and a cash balance of Rs. 164 was made over to the proprietor.

Sarju Prasad's estate, Gorakhpur district.—A brief history of this estate was given at page x of the report for 1888-89. It was released on the 14th February 1890.

Karora estate, Lalitpur district.—The minor proprietor, Mahip Singh, came of age on 16th September 1890, on which date the estate was made over to him together with a cash balance of Rs. 140. The property consisted of a one anna and nine pies share in an undivided mahál with a rental of Rs. 88. Mahip Singh was educated in the Zila School at Lalitpur, and at the time of relinquishment of charge he held a post in the Police Department.

5. The following estates were taken under management during the year :— **Estates taken under charge.**

Serial number.	Name of estate.	District.	Clause of section 194, Act XIX of 1873, under which taken over.
16	Lachman Singh ...	Aligarh ...	(*b*) Minors (by order of Civil Court).
22	Muhammad Raza Khan,	Farukhabad ...	Ditto ditto.
46	Anand Sarup and Jagdish Sarup.	Moradabad ...	Ditto ditto.
47	Rámpur ...	Ditto ...	(*a*) Females deemed incompetent to manage their estates. (*b*) Minors (by Court of Wards on its own motion).
52	Madhopur ...	Bareilly ...	(*a*) Females deemed incompetent to manage their estates. (*b*) Minors (by Court of Wards on its own motion).
65	Khiriya Rasúlpur ...	Sháhjahánpur ...	(*b*) Minors (by order of Civil Court).
72	Wahid-un-nisa ...	Fatehpur ...	Ditto ditto.
115	Pahalwan Singh ...	Lalitpur ...	(*b*) Minors (by Court of Wards on its own motion).

Lachman Singh's estate, Aligarh district.—The circumstances under which the guardianship of Musammats Kesar Kuar and Makund Kuar, daughters of Lachman Singh, who are not in possession of any landed property by succession to Lachman Singh, was made over to the Court of Wards by the Judge of Aligarh were reported to Government in the Board's No. $\frac{845}{X-169}$, dated 16th June 1891; and, with the approval of Government, an application will be made to the Judge of Aligarh to relieve the Court of Wards of it. As no property was in the possession of the Court of Wards, there were no receipts or disbursements during the year.

Muhammad Raza Khan's estate, Farukhabad district.—This small estate belonging to Muhammad Raza Khan, a minor, was placed under the Court of Wards by order of the Judge of Farukhabad, dated 20th December 1889, under Act XL of 1858. It is free from debt, and comprises shares in five villages, yielding an annual income of Rs. 338, assessed to a land revenue demand of Rs. 140. Besides this, the estate owns a garden and has also in its possession a sale deed of certain landed property purchased from one Nawadi Begam in respect of which no mutation of names has yet taken place. The income from this property amounts to Rs. 43. The minor, who is 11 years old, has a

house of his own, in which he lives under the care of his aunt, and attends the tahsíli school at Kaimganj.

Anand Sarup's and Jagdish Sarup's estate, Moradabad district.—This estate is owned by two brothers, Anand Sarup and Jagdish Sarup, and was, owing to their minority, brought under the Court of Wards by an order of the Judge of Moradabad under Act XL of 1858, dated 18th September 1889. When charge was assumed a decree for Rs. 4,705 was outstanding against the estate. The Collector by selling part of the property and other arrangements has succeeded in liquidating the decree; and the property, now free of debt, consists of shares in eight villages in the Moradabad and Budaun districts, two of which are revenue-free. The minors, who are studying in the High School at Moradabad and are 15 and 13 years of age respectively, have been favorably reported on by the Inspector of Schools.

Rámpur estate, Moradabad district.—This estate was owned by the late Sahibzada Mohib Ali Khan, who was a member of the ruling Rámpur family. He left Rámpur some years ago and settled at Moradabad. Mohib Ali Khan died on the 17th October 1889, leaving a minor son named Sajjad Ali Khan, and three widows, Abbasi Begam, Nanhi Begam and Abadi Begam. The ladies Abbasi Begam and Abadi Begam, who are not wards of court, are each entitled to a $\frac{1}{24}$th part of the moveable property, and Nanhi Begam, who is the mother of the minor, is entitled, in addition to a similar share, to $\frac{1}{8}$th of the immoveable property. Mohib Ali Khan had another wife, Musammat Hamayun Tajdar Begam, whom he divorced some years ago. This lady, a near relative of the Nawáb of Rámpur, is now living in the Rámpur State. The estate was brought under the Court of Wards under clause (*b*), section 194, Act XIX of 1873, on the recommendation of the Collector, to whom Mohib Ali Khan on his death-bed expressed the desire that the charge of his son should, with the permission of Government, be assumed by the Court of Wards. The fact of assumption of charge on behalf of the minor was, with reference to G. O. No. $\frac{18}{\text{IV}-508}$ of 3rd February 1890 (Political Department) to the address of the Agent to the Lieutenant-Governor for the Rámpur State, intimated to Government in Board's No. $\frac{768\text{N.}}{\text{X}-128}$, dated 23rd June 1890. The share of Musammat Nanhi Begam was placed under the Court of Wards under clause (*a*), section 194, Act XIX of 1873, by G. O. No. $\frac{1096}{\text{I-637A.}}$ of 1st July 1890. The debts due from the estate before assumption of management amounted to Rs. 73,154. To settle urgent claims the furniture used personally by the late Mohib Ali Khan, gold and silver plate, and a house and shop in the Bareilly city were sold. The total liabilities at the close of 1889-90 stood at Rs. 47,971. The estate consists of a village and the half share of a *milk* in another village in the Moradabad district, and of a taluka of seven villages in the Bijnor district. It owns also a large bungalow at Bareilly rented for Rs. 120 per month, besides a large house, seven shops and some gardens and lands in Rámpur. The estate receives a pension of Rs. 1,340 per mensem from the Rámpur State. It also possesses jewels estimated to be worth a lakh of rupees. As regards the landed property of the estate, there was a mortgage of Rs. 2,000 on the *milk*, which is being now paid off; and the village in Moradabad, which was found to be hypothecated for a debt of Rs. 18,000, has been freed from the lien and leased for a period of five years for Rs. 3,200 per annum. The taluka in Bijnor is held by a mortgagee on a usufructuary mortgage for Rs. 40,000, of which seven years have still to run. During the year under report the estate was engaged in litigation in two cases, the causes of action in which arose before the death of Mohib Ali Khan, *viz.*, (1) a suit brought by Sahu Ajudhya Prasad and Ghulam Mustafa in the Civil Court of Moradabad, claiming Rs. 5,151 on account of damages, for cancelling the lease of the taluka in Bijnor; and (2) an appeal instituted by Musammat Hamayun Tajdar Begam in the Rámpur Court, claiming as her dowry the exorbitant amount of one crore of rupees and twenty five thousand Murshidabad *ashrafis*. The estate gained the first case; but the second has since the close of the year been decided in favor of the appellant. The decision of the Rámpur Court has no validity in British territory and can be executed only against the

prepared according to the revenue year. The general charges for superintendence, establishment, &c., amounted to Rs. 6,720, and the private expenses of the wards to Rs. 5,011.

Subjoined is a list of the wards who have been in the Institute during the year. Four of them are Oudh talukdars whose estates were not under the North-Western Provinces Court of Wards:—

District.	Name of estate.	Name of ward.	Remarks.
Meerut ...	Karam Ali Khan,	Khurshed Ali Khan ...	Left the Institute after the close of the year.
	Hapur ...	Saiyid Muhammad Mohsin ...	Left the Institute during the year.
	Ram Saran Das,	Lala Banarsi Das ...	Left the Institute after the close of the year.
		Lala Narain Das ...	Still at the Institute.
Mainpuri ...	Karauli ...	Lal Ishri Prasad Narain Singh,	Left the Institute during the year.
Bareilly ...	Begam Mashuk Mahal ...	Muhammad Husain Khan ...	Still at the Institute.
Ditto ...	Madhopur ...	Sheikh Aziz Ahmad ...	Ditto.
Gorakhpur ...	Bansgaon ...	Babu Dalip Narain Singh ...	Ditto.
Hardoi (Oudh) ...	Marwan ...	Bishambhar Nath ...	Left the Institute during the year.
Ditto ...	Khajurahra ...	Thákur Shankar Bakhsh ...	Still at the Institute.
Bahraich (Oudh) ...	Rehwa ...	Thákur Bijai Bahádur Singh...	Ditto.
Partábgarh (Oudh)...	Dahyawan ...	Babu Randhir Singh ...	Ditto.

The usual manuscript report on the working of the Institute and the progress made by each ward accompanies this report. It has been decided during the current year to close the Institute from November next, because, owing mainly to the small number of boys who are in residence, the Institute has been found not adequately to answer the purpose for which it was founded.

The reports for the various estates show that during the year of report the majority of Collectors were not neglectful of their responsibility for supervising the education and control of the less wealthy minor wards. A large number of these wards are being educated at different Government schools, where they are examined at stated intervals by the Government Inspectors, who submit reports to the Collectors regarding their progress. In many cases these reports have been favorable; and where they have been otherwise, such steps as were possible have been taken to obtain an improvement by the exercise of discipline or a change in the control of the lad.

25. A detailed list of the improvements which were carried out in 33 estates during the year is given in Statement VI. The expenditure amounted to Rs. 24,208 only, against Rs. 36,506 in the previous year. Of this amount Rs. 9,348 or 38 per cent. were spent on the construction of wells. The largest expenditure was incurred in the following estates :— APPENDIX VI. Improvements.

	Rs.
Dumri (Gorakhpur)	3,502
Partab Chand (Allahabad)	3,119
Sahanpur (Bijnor...	2,520
Majhauli (Gorakhpur)	2,191
Mohreni (Aligarh)	1,750
Rawatpur (Cawnpore)	1,647
Bara (Allahabad)	1,121
Budh Prakash (Bijnor)	1,097
Anapur (Gházipur)	1,036
Hapur (Meerut)	1,000

Of the estates commented on in the Government Review for 1888-89 as having spent little on improvements in proportion to their means, Sahanpur (Bijnor), Anapur (Gházipur) and Dhagwan Khurd, No. I, (Jalaun) are still open to criticism in

of the Court of Wards during the revenue year 1889-90.

Number of villages.						Total area.	Cultivated area.			Population.	Total income available for expenditure as in column 18 of statement IV.	Excess of total available income over expenditure chargeable to profits as in column 27 of statement IV.	Remarks.
Revenue-paying.			Revenue-free.										
Whole villages.	Shares.	Patches.	Whole villages.	Shares.	Patches.		Irrigated.	Unirrigated.	Total.				
9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
deemed by the Local Government incompetent to manage their estates.											Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	
Vide (*b*) Minors.													
22	4	...	...	...	...	29,279	1,757	9,798	11,555	13,789	32,956 10 2	7,478 3 6	
Vide (*b*) Minors.													
Ditto.													
Ditto.													
Ditto.													
Ditto.													
Ditto.													
33	...	...	...	...	...	4,993	2,004	975	2,979	4,989	20,893 6 8	7,748 10 10	(*a*) Died on 15th April 1890. Estate released after the close of the year, (*vide* G. O. No. $\frac{2191}{1.-899A}$, dated 24th December 1890).
80	1	...	...	...	...	8,032	2,593	1,911	4,504	7,964	26,769 3 7	8,842 10 2	
Vide (*b*) Minors.													
135	5	...	...	...	...	42,304	6,354	12,684	19,038	26,742	80,619 4 5	24,069 8 6	
of 1873. *Minors.* (*Estates made over by the Civil Court*).													(*b*) Died on 1st June 1890.
													(*c*) Released on 20th October 1890.
...	2	...	...	...	...	390	...	323	323	1,242	1,127 9 10	547 11 3	
...	3	...	...	1	...	31	27	4	31	3,300	248 8 7	67 13 10	(*d*) See narrative on page 8 of report for 1888-89. The case has since the close of the year been won by the Court of Wards on behalf of the ward.
...	1	...	...	...	...	153	42	90	132	635	1,164 7 2	689 9 7	
1	8	...	...	2	...	1,970	497	1,241	1,738	65,032	12,480 1 10	5,696 15 0	
...	2	...	...	..	...	438	188	228	416	325	2,718 14 10	1,006 15 1	
2	6	...	...	...	...	3,730	1,404	1,652	3,056	4,088	14,863 7 2	7,770 2 10	
...	(No receipts and expenditure during the year).												
...	10	...	...	6	...	239	49	73	122	11,272	5,418 2 1	4,646 6 9	
...	5	...	...	...	...	178	17	131	148	12,349	385 6 9	173 4 10	
6	6	...	...	...	..	8,819	350	2,365	2,715	3,404	16,153 6 1	5,727 13 9	
...	4	...	...	...	...	270	106	80	186	249	1,467 15 4	660 10 5	(*e*) This property was taken possession of in January 1890 by the Collector as an escheat to Government.
...	7	...	...	...	...	287	33	97	130	200	1,538 0 3	1,198 3 6	
...	2	...	...	...	...	251	91	68	159	165	989 15 2	472 8 10	
...	7	...	...	1	...	155	26	93	119	435	1,032 15 11	729 15 2	
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
2	11	...	...	...	2	1,212	45	969	1,014	32,047	7,473 15 4	4,718 5 10	(*f*) Occupancy tenures. Released, 27th June 1890.
...	5	...	...	4	...	278	13	158	171	729	766 3 7	617 15 11	
...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	58 0 0	...	
...	6	...	...	2	...	251	80	124	204	410	4,814 12 8	4,797 12 8	
...	31	...	...	8	...	1,957	103	1,271	1,374	745	3,785 13 6	2,573 5 3	(*g*) Chatar Behari Lal has since the close of the year been disqualified under clause (*g*), section 194, Act XIX of 1873 (*vide* G. O. No. $\frac{1828}{1-4-9}$, dated 29th June 1891).
9	...	...	...	...	...	2,300	216	1,661	1,877	3,678	8,143 14 2	3,281 11 4	
2	1	...	...	...	..	1,189	665	298	963	1,705	6,269 9 7	3,413 5 1	
1	2	...	...	2	...	1,181	265	393	658	929	2,545 7 0	934 13 4	
...	1	...	...	1	...	311	37	145	182	200	2,162 11 8	1,220 8 8	
1	5	...	...	...	...	479	67	262	329	251	631 0 2	60 8 1	
3	...	...	...	...	...	1,855	348	567	915	1,105	6,837 8 4	1,581 11 5	
2	4	...	...	...	...	884	428	455	883	3,033	14,413 15 3	8,781 3 3	
1	3	...	...	...	...	398	52	173	225	284	92 7 9	43 0 9	
94	339	...	...	...	...	52,073	11,393	19,451	30,844	47,146	3,25,249 3 2	2,04,831 6 3	
...	28	...	...	...	...	349	192	28	220	6,566	2,089 10 8	2,074 11 10	
...	...	3 *f*	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	229 14 11	31 0 0	
6	9	...	...	...	...	4,922	2,447	1,118	3,565	6,318	7,540 6 3	3,836 2 9	

exclusive of the value of landed property, stock and houses.

		Liabilities.				Balance.		
Cash balance in hand at the close of the year.	Total.	Government loans.	Court of Wards' loans.	Other debts.	Total.	Surplus.	Deficit.	Serial number of estate.
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	
Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	
290 11 9	290 11 9	...	...	2,436 14 3	2,436 14 3	...	2,146 2 6	1
17,142 6 6	24,611 7 9	...	...	...	...	24,611 7 9	...	2
1,069 13 10	9,748 14 9	...	...	2,919 5 9	2,919 5 9	6,829 9 0	...	3
4,227 15 5	5,650 11 11	...	...	..	...	5,650 11 11	...	4
4,710 4 11	76,280 11 1	...	...	...	...	76,280 11 1	...	5
67 13 10	67 13 10	...	...	140 0 0	140 0 0	...	72 2 2	6
47 11 6	257 11 6	...	...	...	...	257 11 6	...	7
3,958 3 5	55,822 13 5	...	...	...	...	55,822 13 5	...	8
294 12 2	798 1 9	...	...	...	...	798 1 9	...	9
864 13 11	17,106 15 6	...	...	...	...	17,106 15 6	...	10
6,349 8 2	48,835 11 0	...	...	192 8 3	192 8 3	48,643 2 9	...	11
593 6 7	593 6 7	...	...	...	...	593 6 7	...	12
281 0 6	7,385 6 10	...	...	6,589 13 9	6,589 13 9	795 9 1	...	13
230 15 1	10,307 7 9	...	...	...	...	10,307 7 9	...	14
2,154 7 10	9,969 4 11	...	...	...	...	9,969 4 11	...	15
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	16
42,284 1 5	2,67,727 6 4	...	...	12,278 10 0	12,278 10 0	2,57,667 1 0	2,218 4 8	
490 10 11	1,088 13 7	...	...	1,247 10 11	1,247 10 11	...	208 13 4	17
5,857 2 5	6,373 12 5	...	...	...	...	6,373 12 5	...	18
...	31,497 1 2	...	...	...	...	31,497 1 2	...	19
2,502 6 9	5,307 7 10	...	...	...	...	5,307 7 10	...	20
230 15 10	238 4 4	...	...	...	...	238 4 4	...	21
145 4 10	215 6 6	...	...	...	...	215 6 6	...	22
909 6 4	11,003 13 10	21,764 13 8	...	...	21,764 13 8	...	10,760 15 10	23
8,049 14 9	69,764 8 1	...	...	...	..	69,764 8 1	...	24
529 2 0	2,032 1 6	...	13,471 1 0	825 0 0	14,296 1 0	...	12,263 15 6	25
2,373 3 6	2,423 3 6	...	...	52,209 10 2	52,209 10 2	...	49,786 6 8	26
...	1,970 15 11	...	...	...	...	1,970 15 11	...	27
500 8 6	7,052 9 1	...	36,228 5 8	25,496 5 9	61,724 11 5	...	54,672 2 4	28
491 7 9	4,113 7 6	...	...	...	...	4,113 7 6	...	29
378 10 5	4,220 11 5	...	...	...	...	4,220 11 5	...	30
1,054 3 6	3,952 8 3	...	...	...	...	3,952 8 3	...	31
148 3 9	172 10 4	...	926 14 2	...	926 14 2	...	754 3 10	32
363 8 10	708 15 9	...	1,100 0 0	...	1,100 0 0	...	391 0 3	33
285 8 0	1,747 15 8	...	...	10,121 0 4	10,121 0 4	...	8,373 0 8	34
24,310 6 1	1,53,834 6 8	21,764 13 8	51,726 4 10	89,899 11 2	1,63,390 13 8	1,27,654 3 5	1,37,210 10 5	
3,935 15 11	11,294 2 10	...	...	7,670 9 9	7,670 9 9	3,623 9 1	...	35
1,121 15 11	7,677 10 1	...	...	...	...	7,677 10 1	...	36
127 0 2	1,429 12 2	...	...	...	...	1,429 12 2	...	37
661 7 8	671 3 2	...	...	...	...	671 3 2	...	38
7,394 4 6	58,181 4 6	...	...	...	...	58,181 4 6	...	39
98 13 5	142 0 11	...	8,074 3 11	...	8,074 3 11	...	7,932 3 0	40
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	41
2,734 11 11	19,467 7 6	...	...	...	...	19,467 7 6	...	42
322 9 8	458 15 0	...	...	5,720 7 5	5,720 7 5	...	5,261 8 5	43
697 15 2	22,540 6 5	...	...	...	...	22,540 6 5	...	44
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	45
92 2 11	563 2 5	...	...	...	...	563 2 5	...	46
253 14 6	1,461 5 9	...	...	47,971 2 6	47,971 2 6	...	46,509 12 9	47
970 6 4	1,970 6 4	...	...	5,000 0 0	5,000 0 0	...	3,029 9 8	48
45,561 14 9	4,31,762 0 11	...	...	121 10 10	121 10 10	4,31,640 6 1	...	49
100 3 4	1,449 13 7	...	9,189 14 10	3,999 0 0	13,188 14 10	...	11,739 1 3	50
2,102 1 1	4,949 6 2	...	...	...	...	4,949 6 2	...	51
2,14,195 15 6	2,33,633 12 2	...	...	...	...	2,33,633 12 2	...	52
50 7 2	1,080 3 5	...	...	...	...	1,080 3 5	...	53
2,772 11 11	7,249 15 1	...	...	...	...	7,249 15 1	...	54
3,323 11 3	7,305 8 8	...	...	...	...	7,305 8 8	...	55
103 6 7	632 15 11	...	...	3,129 0 7	3,129 0 7	...	2,496 0 8	56
165 0 4	993 9 6	...	7,464 9 8	25 7 5	7,490 1 1	...	6,496 7 7	57
454 9 7	7,759 4 6	...	3,081 1 7	...	3,081 1 7	4,678 2 11	...	58
244 2 3	11,069 1 11	...	...	25,945 0 4	25,945 0 4	...	14,875 14 5	59
3 12 8	17 8 5	...	156 15 5	...	156 15 5	...	139 7 0	60
...	1,588 14 4	...	...	3,075 9 1	3,075 9 1	...	1,486 10 9	61
100 8 8	520 7 11	...	...	2,580 0 0	2,580 0 0	...	2,059 8 1	62
1,882 15 4	27,097 8 0	...	...	...	...	27,097 8 0	...	63
328 12 0	8,954 10 0	...	791 15 7	19,277 11 6	20,069 11 1	...	11,115 1 1	64
12 0 10	622 15 4	...	...	4,648 1 11	4,648 1 11	...	4,025 2 7	65
2,89,713 11 4	8,72,545 8 11	...	28,758 13 0	1,29,163 13 4	1,57,922 10 4	8,81,789 5 10	1,17,166 7 3	

subject to further explanations which have been called for.

and balances of the several estates for the revenue year 1889-90—(concluded).

Collections during the year.			Balance.			Percentage of collections to demand.			Advance collections made in year under report on account of the following year.	Serial number of estate.
Current.	Arrears.	Total.	Current.	Arrears.	Total.	Current.	Arrears.	Total.		
9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	
Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.				Rs. a. p	
1,778 1 6	4 15 9	1,783 1 3	52 0 9	69 14 3	121 15 0	97·	7·	94	...	107
7,322 2 10	113 12 3	7,435 15 1	400 2 6	589 15 2	990 1 8	95·	16·	88·	32 12 0	108
2,242 4 9	109 0 0	2,351 4 9	...	...	...	100·	100·	100·	...	109
3,401 15 8	98 13 5	3,500 13 1	165 10 1	81 1 11	246 12 0	95·	55·	93·	...	110
2,069 9 6	200 5 1	2,269 14 7	69 8 0	148 2 3	217 10 3	97·	57·	91·	...	111
52 15 6	37 6 4	90 5 10	40 12 5	...	40 12 5	56·	100·	68·	...	112
102 5 2	...	102 5 2	23 1 5	19 5 1	42 6 6	82·	...	70·	...	113
187 12 6	...	187 12 6	...	...	...	100·	...	100·	...	114
accounts were	received.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	115
1,792 5 7	37 6 0	1,829 11 7	250 2 8	52 3 11	302 6 7	88·	42·	86·	...	116
18,949 9 0	601 10 10	19,551 3 10	1,001 5 10	960 10 7	1,962 0 5	95·	38·	91·	32 12 0	
13,72,485 13 2	62,760 1 10	14,35,245 15 0	1,48,854 10 10	1,11,081 0 7	2,59,935 11 5	90·	36·	85·	4,154 12 6	

in Statement No. IV incurred during the revenue year 1889-90.

Miscellaneous disbursements.									
Works of improvement.	Takávi advances.	Repayment of principal of loans made by Court of Wards or Government.	Repayment of principal of other debts.	Purchase of Government securities.	Purchase of landed property and houses.	Loans granted on mortgage or otherwise.	Total personal expenditure and miscellaneous disbursements.	Total expenditure of estate during the year	Serial number of estate.
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	
Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	
...	...	...	245 0 0	...	...	...	256 15 6	836 14 1	1
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	20 0 0	3,448 1 10	2
274 0 0	...	...	...	...	...	...	5,978 13 9	23,671 12 0	3
149 11 0	...	...	...	...	...	...	3,815 1 6	9,300 0 8	4
503 8 0	...	...	229 10 6	19,985 14 7	...	...	24,067 1 1	60,008 0 1	5
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	180 10 9	6
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	120 0 0	203 3 7	7
550 13 0	...	8,000 0 0	...	8,500 0 0	1,494 0 0	...	27,024 13 0	44,981 10 10	8
...	...	...	...	500 0 0	...	...	692 0 0	1,316 9 3	9
1,000 0 0	...	...	...	...	...	...	3,432 9 6	6,301 9 0	10
569 12 0	64 0 0	...	...	...	11,000 0 0	...	17,796 8 3	29,336 14 1	11
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	96 3 0	571 0 7	12
4 0 0	...	...	3,418 6 3	...	...	...	5,415 14 6	12,199 1 4	13
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	776 0 0	2,487 15 9	14
1,750 1 11	...	...	...	2,498 4 4	...	...	5,615 11 0	12,708 15 4	15
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	16
4,801 13 11	64 0 0	8,000 0 0	3,893 0 9	31,484 2 11	12,494 0 0	...	95,107 11 1	2,07,552 7 2	
...	...	...	24 0 0	...	...	...	1,156 8 0	2,456 2 11	17
...	700 0 0	...	...	...	...	...	2,074 0 0	9,849 14 0	18
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	926 0 0	10,154 10 7	19
...	...	...	...	2,000 0 0	...	...	2,144 0 0	2,915 11 4	20
...	...	...	227 15 6	...	...	...	308 9 3	669 8 11	21
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	28 0 0	240 1 11	22
...	...	1,324 10 0	...	...	...	...	1,423 11 2	16,918 2 11	23
231 11 3	1,206 0 0	...	...	7,000 0 0	...	...	12,937 10 1	40,206 6 4	24
...	...	228 15 0	...	...	...	...	948 15 0	7,691 7 4	25
...	...	...	2,000 0 0	...	105 0 0	...	5,105 0 0	30,583 6 8	26
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	412 2 11	3,218 9 3	27
334 13 5	3,241 0 0	...	47,954 15 1	...	...	...	53,808 9 11	87,058 14 1	28
...	1,696 0 0	...	2,420 12 0	...	...	...	5,236 6 0	15,661 14 4	29
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	282 0 0	1,089 4 11	30
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	144 0 0	483 12 9	31
...	...	204 5 1	...	...	...	...	324 5 1	841 11 5	32
...	...	300 0 0	...	...	...	...	601 8 0	1,427 15 9	33
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	165 0 0	1,326 2 3	34
566 8 8	6,843 0 0	2,057 14 1	52,627 10 7	9,000 0 0	105 0 0	...	88,026 5 5	2,32,793 13 8	
11 8 0	280 0 0	...	...	...	184 3 2	...	1,911 8 5	8,787 4 10	35
8 0 0	200 0 0	...	...	1,500 0 0	45 14 0	...	3,929 8 3	9,848 14 7	36
1 8 0	...	...	...	400 0 0	...	...	602 15 0	905 15 9	37
...	...	...	453 11 10	...	...	...	601 15 4	1,977 10 3	38
2,519 12 10	100 0 0	...	...	40,000 0 0	272 10 1	...	52,507 13 2	1,18,433 0 1	39
...	...	3,731 0 1	6,139 8 0	...	...	...	9,877 0 9	11,527 8 0	40
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	41
1,096 13 5	...	...	...	...	...	...	1,983 9 11	4,739 3 5	42
...	...	...	150 0 0	...	...	...	295 6 3	443 9 11	43
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	792 10 0	2,851 4 1	44
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	58 0 0	45
...	...	...	4,705 9 9	...	...	...	4,705 9 9	4,722 9 9	46
...	...	...	25,763 5 6	...	...	...	33,284 1 10	40,144 13 9	47
...	...	...	...	1,002 14 11	...	...	1,602 14 11	2,815 7 2	48
428 3 9	975 0 0	...	3 14 11	...	...	2,35,000 0 0	2,58,433 1 1	2,82,717 10 2	49
...	200 0 0	1,800 0 0	...	...	...	...	3,131 8 0	8,043 10 10	50
200 0 0	...	...	...	...	...	...	1,311 4 0	4 167 8 6	51
230 0 0	1,000 0 0	...	...	...	...	...	3,055 12 1	21,005 5 11	52
...	...	...	0 11 9	...	...	...	420 11 9	1,727 15 3	53
...	...	...	...	1,000 8 2	...	...	1,590 8 2	5,058 5 8	54
...	30 0 0	5,139 6 7	...	...	50 0 0	...	6,311 6 7	23,803 14 5	55
...	...	...	60 14 0	...	...	...	831 6 9	2,442 0 5	56
...	...	720 6 6	1,071 8 9	...	...	...	2,271 15 3	8,163 14 6	57
...	...	783 1 6	...	...	...	...	1,814 1 6	9,828 4 11	58
...	10 0 0	...	7,422 13 9	...	...	...	10,442 8 4	31,440 15 5	59
...	...	13 4 5	...	...	...	...	13 4 5	106 3 11	60
...	...	...	11,337 14 0	...	...	...	11,337 14 0	13,552 10 2	61
...	...	...	1,120 0 0	...	...	...	1,120 0 0	2,062 3 0	62
...	...	...	...	5,002 9 0	385 8 0	...	9,417 4 2	17,384 7 4	63
...	10 0 0	510 10 7	2,197 5 3	...	...	...	3,067 7 10	19,010 0 6	64
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	48 7 3	618 15 4	65
4,495 14 0	2,805 0 0	12,697 13 8	60,427 5 6	48,906 0 1	938 3 3	2,35,000 0 0	4,27,613 10 9	6,58,449 7 10	

to further explanations which have been called for

by the Government and the Court of Wards.

Amount actually advanced.	Amount due at the close of the year 1889-90, including interest.	Amount repaid up to the close of the year under report.			Arrears due at the close of the year.		
		Principal.	Interest.	Total.	Principal.	Interest.	Total.
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
LOANS.							
Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
26,000 0 0	...	26,000 0 0	1,270 9 9	27,270 9 9	...	...	...
13,700 0 0	13,471 1 0	228 15 0	1,154 12 3	1,383 11 3	1,826 1 0	...	1,826 1 0
35,000 0 0	36,228 5 8	...	382 9 8	382 9 8	1,025 0 0	1,228 5 8	2,253 5 8
1,500 0 0	926 14 2	573 1 10	258 2 2	831 4 0	...	...	...
9,000 0 0	1,100 0 0	7,900 0 0	2,031 12 0	9,931 12 0	...	...	...
2,806 4 0	...	2,806 4 0	...	2,806 4 0	...	...	...
9,000 0 0	8,074 3 11	925 12 1	612 1 3	1,537 13 4	...	...	...
15,000 0 0	9,189 14 10	6,500 0 0	2,749 0 0	9,249 0 0	...	689 14 10	689 14 10
9,200 0 0	7,464 9 8	2,003 0 6	1,632 13 7	3,635 14 1	...	267 10 2	267 10 2
9,000 0 0	3,081 1 7	5,960 5 2	1,545 3 8	7,505 8 10	...	41 6 3	41 6 9
} 470 0 0	156 15 5	313 4 5	38 11 7	352 0 0	...	0 3 10	0 3 10
1,300 0 0	791 15 7	510 10 7	89 5 5	600 0 0	...	2 10 2	2 10 2
2,500 0 0	890 7 6	1,739 1 9	470 14 3	2,210 0 0	280 0 0	129 9 3	409 9 3
3,500 0 0	1,701 10 3	1,723 5 7	1,101 10 5	2,825 0 0	...	14 15 10	14 15 10
} 6,800 0 0	4,457 5 5	2,891 5 11	2,808 10 1	5,700 0 0	1,151 0 0	548 11 4	1,699 11 4
11,000 0 0	5,522 8 1	5,520 9 3	4,964 6 9	10,485 0 0	850 0 0	43 1 4	893 1 4
1,300 0 0	1,574 1 8	537 11 6	392 4 6	930 0 0	525 0 0	211 13 2	736 13 2
68,723 6 4	4,131 15 1	64,596 8 7	44,197 12 4	1,08,794 4 11	...	5 1 4	5 1 4
} 2,36,000 0 0	2,35,148 2 4	897 1 10	72,075 8 9	72,972 10 7	...	45 4 2	45 4 2
600 0 0	404 0 8	197 5 6	51 1 6	248 7 0	...	1 6 2	1 6 2
4,62,999 10 4	3,34,405 4 10	1,31,824 7 6	1,37,827 5 11	2,69,651 13 5	5,657 1 0	3,230 2 0	8,887 3 0
48,000 0 0	21,764 13 8	26,235 2 4	27,688 4 1	53,923 6 5	15,380 11 4	...	15,380 11 4
70,000 0 0	...	70,000 0 0	33,106 4 1	1,03,106 4 1	...	...	...
3,000 0 0	2,781 3 7	218 12 5	275 13 1	494 9 6	...	...	...
7,55,528 14 8	27,373 11 2	7,28,155 3 6	5,72,901 2 0	13,01,056 5 6	...	...	...
8,76,528 14 8	51,919 12 5	8,24,609 2 3	6,33,971 7 3	14,58,580 9 6	15,380 11 4	...	15,380 11 4